

AUDIT

## City of Fort Saskatchewan

Audit Planning Report For the year ending December 31, 2014

KPMG LLP

Prepared January 23, 2015 for the Mayor and Council meeting on February 10, 2015

kpmg.ca





The contacts at KPMG in connection with this report are:

Audit Senior Manager, Engagement Lead

**Taylor Rolheiser** 

Tel: 780.429.7368 trolheiser@kpmg.c a

**Concurring Partner** 

John Stelter

Tel: 780.429.6511 jstelter@kpmg.ca

Audit Senior,

Engagement In-Charge

Justin Kinal

Tel: 780.429.6014 jkinal@kpmg.ca

## Table of Contents

Executive summary	<u>3</u>
Risk Assessment	<u>4</u>
Audit approach	<u>5</u>
Materiality	<u>9</u>
Your team	<u>10</u>
Value for fees	<u>11</u>
Audit cycle and timetable	<u>12</u>
Appendices	<u>13</u>
Appendix 1: Audit Quality and Risk Management	<u>14</u>
Appendix 2: KPMG's audit approach and methodology	<u>15</u>
Appendix 3: Required communications	<u>16</u>
Appendix 4: Current developments	<u>17</u>

At KPMG, we are **passionate** about earning your **trust**. We take deep **personal accountability**, individually and as a team, to deliver **exceptional service and value** in all our dealings with you.

At the end of the day, we measure our success from the **only perspective that matters – yours**.



## **Executive summary**

## Audit and business risk

Our audit is risk-focused. In planning our audit we have taken into account key areas of focus for financial reporting. These areas of focus include:

- Recognition of revenue amounts subject to external restrictions
- Completeness of accounts payable and accrued liabilities
- Accuracy and valuation of contributions of tangible capital assets
- Accuracy, existence and completeness of tangible capital assets
- Completeness and accuracy of environmental obligations and other contingencies
- Risk of management override of controls

## **KPMG** team

The KPMG team will be led by Taylor Rolheiser. She will be supported by John Stelter and Justin Kinal. Subject matter experts will be involved to ensure our approach is appropriate and robust.

## Audit Materiality

Materiality has been determined based on prior year expenses. We have determined materiality to be \$1.7 million for the year ending December 31, 2014 (2013 – \$1.5 million), which represents approximately 3% of prior year expenses.

## Effective communication

We are committed to transparent and thorough reporting of issues to Senior Management, and the Mayor and Council.

## Independence

We are independent and have extensive quality control and conflict checking processes in place. We provide complete transparency on all services and follow Mayor and Council approved protocols.

This Audit Planning Report should not be used for any other purpose or by anyone other than the Mayor and Council. KPMG shall have no responsibility or liability for loss or damages or claims, if any, to or by any third party as this Audit Planning Report has not been prepared for, and is not intended for, and should not be used by, any third party or for any other purpose.



## **Risk Assessment**

Our planning begins with an assessment of inherent risk of material misstatement in your financial statements. Our assessment is based on a variety of factors that include our knowledge of your business, the market and the susceptibility of the account balance to the risk of material misstatement.

This diagram represents our top-down view of the key financial reporting risks and their potential misstatement impact, mapped against the likelihood of a misstatement occurring (before controls).



Significant risks, including estimates and judgement

Control and operational risks

Other areas of focus



to the internal of the entity.

# Audit approach

Inherent risk is the	Areas of audit focus	Why	Our audit approach
susceptibility of a	Recognition of	There is a risk of inappropriate	We will review the recognition of amounts subject to external
balance or assertion to	revenue amounts	revenue recognition of amounts	restrictions to ensure they are recognized appropriately. We will
misstatement which	subject to external restrictions	received with external restrictions attached to them	confirm all significant government transfers, examine related agreements, and review the developer levy model, including the list
could be material,	16311010113	(taxes, government transfers,	of active development arrangements and the corresponding levies.
individually or when		developer levies and other	
aggregated with other		amounts).	
misstatements, assuming	Completeness of	There is a risk that appropriate	• We will use our understanding of the City's operations, our
that there are no related	accounts	cut-off of accrued liabilities is not	discussions with management and our review of Council minutes to
controls.	payable and accrued liabilities	achieved.	determine if completeness of accruals has been achieved as at December 31, 2014.
Our assessment of			• Our year-end procedure will include a search for unrecorded liabilities
inherent risk is based on			(primarily through review of unprocessed transactions and payments
various factors including			subsequent to year-end) and a detailed analysis of key accruals.
the size of the balance, its	Accuracy and	There is a risk that contributions	We will evaluate controls over how departments capture tangible
inherent complexity, the	valuation of contributions of	of tangible capital assets are not appropriately captured in the	capital assets which are contributed from developers and other parties.
level of uncertainty in	tangible capital	consolidated financial	<ul> <li>We will review a sample of developments which have been</li> </ul>
measurements as well as	assets	statements.	completed by the City during the year to ensure contributed tangible
significant external market			capital assets have been appropriately recorded.
factors or those particular			• We will review the value ascribed to assets contributed and donated
to the internal environment			to the City.
of the entity			



## Audit approach (continued)

Accuracy, existence and completeness of tangible capital assets	There is a risk that tangible capital assets are not appropriately captured in the consolidated financial statements.	•	We will evaluate controls over how departments capture completed and or purchased tangible capital assets and communicate with finance. We will review a sample of tangible capital asset additions, as well as tangible capital assets sitting in the City's work in progress accounts to ensure the assets have been appropriately recorded (time and cost) in the City's financial records. We will review the asset accounts for completeness, based on our knowledge of City infrastructure projects.
Completeness and accuracy of environmental obligations and other contingencies	There is a risk that environmental obligations and other contingent liabilities are not appropriately identified and reasonably estimated.	•	We will conduct direct communication with the relevant City departments that all significant contingent liabilities including environmental obligations are appropriately disclosed and/or recorded. We will review significant findings with management and internal legal counsel.



companies.

# Audit approach (continued)

Professional standards presume the risk of	CAS requirements	Why	Our audit approach
•	Fraud risk from	This is a presumed fraud risk.	As the risk is not rebuttable, our audit methodology incorporates the
fraudulent revenue	management	We have not identified any	required procedures in professional standards to address this risk. These
recognition and the risk of	override of controls	specific additional risks of	procedures include testing of journal entries and other adjustments,
management override of		management override relating to	performing a retrospective review of estimates and evaluating the business
controls exist in all		this audit.	rationale of significant unusual transactions.

The risk of fraudulent recognition can be rebutted, but the risk of management override of control cannot because management is typically in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively.



## Audit approach (continued)

Professional standards require that we obtain an understanding of the City's organizational structure, including its components and their environments that is sufficient to identify those subsidiaries that are financially significant or that contain specific risks that must be addressed during our audit.

As the City's auditors, we are required to be involved in significant component auditors' risk assessments in order to identify significant risks to the consolidated financial statements.

There are no significant components.

The components over which we plan to perform audit procedures are as follows:

Components	Why	Our audit approach	
City of Fort Saskatchewan			
Fort Saskatchewan Public Library	Non-significant component	<ul> <li>Audit of component financial information</li> <li>KPMG has been appointed as external auditor for the Fort Saskatchewan Public Library and, as such; no additional procedures will be required.</li> </ul>	

## **Materiality**

Professional standards require us to re-assess materiality at the completion of our audit based on period-end results or new information in order to confirm whether the amount determined for planning purposes remains appropriate.

Our assessment of misstatements, if any, in amounts or disclosures at the completion of our audit will include the consideration of both quantitative and qualitative factors.

The first step is the determination of the amounts used for planning purposes as follows.

The determination of materiality requires judgment and is based on a combination of quantitative and qualitative assessments including the nature of account balances and financial statement disclosures:

2014 materiality determination	Comments	
Benchmark	Based on the total expenditures for the prior year. This benchmark is consistent with the prior year	\$57 million
% of Benchmark	Consistent with industry standards and the prior year.	3%
Materiality	Determined to plan and perform the audit and to evaluate the effects of identified misstatements on the audit and of any uncorrected misstatements on the financial statements. The corresponding amount for the 2013 audit was \$1.5 million.	\$1.7 million
Performance materiality	Approximately 75% of materiality, and used primarily to determine the nature, timing and extent of audit procedures. The corresponding amount for the 2013 audit was \$1.1 million	\$1.27 million
Audit Misstatement Posting Threshold (AMPT)	Threshold used to accumulate misstatements identified during the audit. The corresponding amount for the 2013 audit was \$75 thousand.	\$85 thousand



## Your team

 Team	Background / experience	Discussion of
Taylor Rolheiser Audit Senior Manager, Engagement Lead Tel: 780.429.7368 trolheiser@kpmg.ca	• With over nine years of experience, Taylor is a senior manager in the Edmonton audit practice and a leader in Edmonton's Public Sector team. Taylor's experience includes providing accounting, audit, tax and business advisory services to a variety of enterprise clients, not-for-profit organizations and governments. Taylor fully understands the mandates and related accounting for public sector clients	<ul> <li>Taylor will lead our audit for the City and be responsible for the quality and timeliness of everything we do.</li> <li>She will often be onsite with the team and will always be available and accessible to you.</li> </ul>
John Stelter Concurring Partner Tel: 780.429.6511 jstelter@kpmg.ca	<ul> <li>John is a partner in KPMG's audit practice and is the Not-for-Profit and Public Sector Practice Leader in Edmonton. He has over 25 years of experience in client service engagements at KPMG serving municipalities, health care, universities and not-for-profit organizations.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>John will provide an objective evaluation of the significant judgments made by the audit senior manager and the engagement team, and the conclusions reached in formulating the audit report.</li> </ul>
Justin Kinal Audit Senior, Engagement In- Charge Tel: 780.429.6014 jkinal@kpmg.ca	<ul> <li>Justin has acted as the lead senior on a number of public sector engagements, including the City of Fort Saskatchewan. He has solid knowledge of the reporting requirements for the main financial statements, as well as the various special reporting requirements.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Justin will execute the detailed audit program during the field work phase of the audit, and initiate all information requests from the City.</li> <li>He will be on-site regularly during the audit period and will be your main contact during the year. He will work closely with both your on-site audit team, and you're your management and staff.</li> </ul>



# Value for fees

## The Value of our Audit Services

We recognize that the primary objective of our engagement is the completion of an audit of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with professional standards. We also believe that our role as external auditor of the City of Fort Saskatchewan and the access to information and people in conjunction with our audit procedures, places us in a position to provide other forms of value. We know that you expect this of us.

We want to ensure we understand your expectations. To facilitate a discussion, we have outlined some of the attributes of our team and our processes that we believe enhance the value of our audit service. We recognize that certain of these items are necessary components of a rigorous audit. We welcome your feedback.

- Extensive industry experience on our audit team as outlined in our team summary, the senior members of our team have extensive experience in audits of companies in your industry. This experience ensures that we are well positioned to identify and discuss observations and insights that are important to you.
- Current development update sessions If requested, we would be pleased to organize and deliver a tailored information session on current developments on financial reporting and other matters that are likely to be significant to the City, the Council and your team.

In determining the fees for our services, we have considered the nature, extent and timing of our planned audit procedures as described above. Our fee analysis has been reviewed with and agreed upon by management.



## Audit cycle and timetable

2015.

Our key activities during the year are designed to achieve our one principal objective:

To provide a robust audit, efficiently delivered by a high quality team focused on key issues.

#### Planning Meetings:

Management - October 2014;

Mayor and Council on February 10, 2015





Appendices

Appendix 1: Audit Quality and Risk Management

Appendix 2: KPMG's audit approach and methodology

**Appendix 3: Required communications** 

Appendix 4: Current developments



# Appendix 1: Audit Quality and Risk Management

KPMG maintains a system of quality control designed to reflect our drive and determination to deliver independent, unbiased advice and opinions, and also meet the requirements of Canadian professional standards.

Quality control is fundamental to our business and is the responsibility of every partner and employee. The following diagram summarises the six key elements of our quality control systems.

Visit <u>http://www.kpmg.com/Ca/en/services/Audit/Pages/Audit-Quality-Resources.aspx</u> for more information.



- All KPMG partners and staff are required to act with integrity and objectivity and comply with applicable laws, regulations and professional standards at all times.
- We do not offer services that would impair our independence.
- The processes we employ to help retain and develop people include:
  - Assignment based on skills and experience;
  - Rotation of partners;
  - Performance evaluation;
  - Development and training; and
  - Appropriate supervision and coaching.
- We have policies and procedures for deciding whether to accept or continue a client relationship or to perform a specific engagement for that client.
- Existing audit relationships are reviewed annually and evaluated to identify instances where we should discontinue our professional association with the client.



# Appendix 2: KPMG's audit approach and methodology

# Technology-enabled audit work flow (eAudIT)

#### Engagement Setup

- Tailor the eAudIT work flow to your circumstances
- Access global knowledge specific to your industry
- Team selection and timetable

#### Completion

- Tailor the eAudIT work flow to
- Voolater disk stancesment
- Perform completion procedures and overall evaluation of results and financial statements
- Form and issue audit opinion on financial statements
- Obtain written representation from management
- Required Mayor and Members of Council communications



#### **Risk Assessment**

- Tailor the eAudIT work flow to your circumstances
- Understand your business and financial processes
- Identify significant risks
- Plan involvement of KPMG specialists and others including external experts, internal auditors, service organizations auditors and component auditors
- Determine audit approach
- Evaluate design and implementation of internal controls

#### Testing

- Tailor the eAudIT work flow to your circumstances
- Test operating effectiveness of internal controls (as considered necessary)
- Perform substantive tests

Debrief audit process



# **Appendix 3: Required communications**

In accordance with professional standards, there are a number of communications that are required during the course of our audit. These include:

- Engagement letter the objectives of the audit, our responsibilities in carrying out our audit, as well as management's responsibilities, are set out in the engagement letter and any subsequent amendment letters.
- Audit planning report as attached.
- Fraud related inquiries professional standards required that during the planning of our audit we obtain your views on risk of fraud. We make similar inquiries to management as part of our planning process; responses to these will assist us in planning our overall audit strategy and audit approach accordingly.

- Management representation letter we will obtain from management at the completion of the annual audit. In accordance with professional standards, copies of the representation letter will be provided to the Mayor and Council.
- Audit findings report we will provide this report at the completion of our audit to the Mayor and Council.
- Annual independence letter we will provide this letter at the completion of our audit to the Mayor and Council.
- CPAB multi-firm report please refer to <u>http://www.cpab-</u> <u>ccrc.ca/Documents/Topics/Public%20Reports/2013 Public Report EN.pdf</u> for the CPAB 2013 Public Report.



# Appendix 4: Current developments

The following is a summary of the current developments that are relevant to the City.

Standard	Summary and implications
Liability for Contaminated Sites, Section PS 3260	This section provides guidance on applying the existing definition of a liability in the CPA Public Sector Accounting Handbook to the specific context of contaminated sites.
	Fiscal years beginning on or after April 1, 2014. Earlier adoption is encouraged.
Financial Statement Presentation, Section PS 1201	This Section establishes general reporting principles and standards for the disclosure of information in government financial statements.
	Effective when Sections PS 2601 and PS 3450 are adopted.
Foreign Currency Translation, Section PS 2601	This Section establishes standards on how to account for and report transactions that are denominated in a foreign currency in government financial statements.
	Fiscal years beginning on or after April 1, 2016 except for government organizations that applied the CPA Canada Handbook – Accounting prior to adopting the CPA Canada Public Sector Accounting Handbook. Earlier adoption is permitted when adopting Sections PS 1201 and PS 3450.
Portfolio Investments, Section PS 3041	This Section establishes standards on how to account for and report portfolio investments in government financial statements. Effective when Sections PS 1201, PS 2601 and PS 3450 are adopted.
Financial Instruments, Section PS 3450	The transitional provisions in Section PS 3450 do not allow retroactive application of financial instrument recognition, derecognition and measurement policies when entities adopt the standard.
	Fiscal years beginning on or after April 1, 2016 except for government organizations that applied the CPA Canada Handbook – Accounting prior to adopting the CPA Canada Public Sector Accounting Handbook. Earlier adoption is permitted when adopting Sections PS 1201 and PS 2601.



## Appendix 4: Current developments (continued)

Documents	Subject
Handbook sections	Introduction (September 2014) Related party transactions (March 2015) Inter-entity transactions (March 2015)
	Assets, contingent, contractual (June 2015)
Exposure Drafts	Asset retirement obligations (June 2015) Revenue (September 2015) Joint not-for-profit (2015?)
Statement of Principles	Impairment of non-financial assets (September 2015) Conceptual framework (December 2015)
Projects	Pensions (December 2014)



#### kpmg.ca

KPMG LLP, an Audit, Tax and Advisory firm (kpmg.ca) and a Canadian limited liability partnership established under the laws of Ontario, is the Canadian member firm of KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"). KPMG member firms around the world have 155,000 professionals, in 155 countries.

The independent member firms of the KPMG network are affiliated with KPMG International, a Swiss entity. Each KPMG firm is a legally distinct and separate entity, and describes itself as such.

© 2014 KPMG LLP, a Canadian limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG network of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Cooperative ("KPMG International"), a Swiss entity. All rights reserved.

The KPMG name, logo and "cutting through complexity" are registered trademarks or trademarks of KPMG International.