# CITY OF FORT SASKATCHEWAN <br> AGENDA 

## Regular Council Meeting <br> Tuesday, June 14, 2016 -6:00 P.M. Council Chambers - City Hall

## 6:00 P.M.

1. Call to Order
2. Approval of Minutes of May 24, 2016 Regular Council Meeting

## 3. Delegations

Those individuals in attendance at the meeting will be provided with an opportunity to address Council regarding an item on the agenda, with the exception of those items for which a Public Hearing is required or has been held. Each individual will be allowed a maximum of five (5) minutes.
4. Presentations:
4.1 Fort Saskatchewan Public Library Update
4.2 Northern Gateway Pipelines Project Update

Grant Schaffer
(attachment)
6. New Business
6.1 Public Auction of Land in Tax Arrears
6.2 Naming of Southfort Park to the Henderson Park

## 7. Bylaws

7.1 Bylaw C10-16 - Amend Land Use Bylaw C10-15 - C5 - Fort Mall Redevelopment District - Haro Developments Inc. $1^{\text {st }}$ reading
7.2 Bylaw C11-16 - Amend Fees \& Charges Bylaw C23-15 3 readings

Mayor Katchur
(attachment)

Morgan Northey, Board Chair / David Larsen, Executive Director, FSPL
(attachment)

Sam MunckhofSwain, Mgr., AB Community Relations, Northern Gateway Pipelines (attachment)
5. Unfinished Business
5.1 Southfort Transportation Study Update

Jeremy Emann (attachment)

Richard Gagnon (attachment)

Katie Mahoney (attachment)
8. Notice of Motion
9. Points of Interest
10. Councillor Inquiries
11. Adjournment

# CITY OF FORT SASKATCHEWAN 

MINUTES
REGULAR COUNCIL
$\frac{\text { Tuesday, May 24, 2016-6:00 PM }}{\text { Council Chambers - City Hall }}$

Present:
Members of Council:
Mayor Gale Katchur
Councillor Birgit Blizzard
Councillor Sheldon Bossert
Councillor Frank Garritsen
Councillor Stew Hennig
Councillor Arjun Randhawa
Councillor Ed Sperling
Administration:
Kelly Kloss, City Manager
Troy Fleming, General Manager, Infrastructure \& Community Services
Brenda Rauckman, General Manager, Corporate \& Protective Services
Brenda Molter, Director, Legislative Services
Wendy Kinsella, Director, Corporate Communications
Brad Ward, Director, Protective Services
Ed Barden, Supervisor, Municipal Enforcement
Sheila Gagnon, Recreation Development Coordinator
Reade Beaudoin, Corporate Communications Officer
Sheryl Exley, Recording Secretary

## 1. Call to Order

Mayor Katchur called the regular Council Meeting of May 24, 2016 to order at 6:00 p.m.
2. Approval of Minutes of May 10, 2016 Regular Council Meeting

R85-16 MOVED BY Councillor Garritsen that the minutes of the May 10, 2016 regular Council Meeting be adopted as presented.

In Favour: Gale Katchur, Frank Garritsen, Stew Hennig, Arjun Randhawa, Birgit Blizzard, Sheldon Bossert, Ed Sperling

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

## 3. Delegations

None.

## 4. Unfinished Business

### 4.1 Policy GEN-023-C - Community Grants

Presented by: Sheila Gagnon, Recreation Development Coordinator

MOVED BY Councillor Bossert that Council adopt Community Grants Policy GEN-023-C.

In Favour: Gale Katchur, Frank Garritsen, Stew Hennig, Arjun Randhawa, Birgit Blizzard, Sheldon Bossert, Ed Sperling

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
MOVED BY Councillor Bossert that Council appoint the following members of Council to the Community Grants Committee:
a) Councillor Bossert;
b) Councillor Randhawa; and
c) Councillor Sperling.

In Favour: Gale Katchur, Frank Garritsen, Stew Hennig, Arjun Randhawa, Birgit Blizzard, Sheldon Bossert, Ed Sperling

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Mayor Katchur called a short recess at 6:19 p.m.
The regular Council Meeting reconvened at 6:28 p.m.

### 4.2 Harbour Pool Swimming Lesson Registration

Presented by: Troy Fleming, General Manager, Infrastructure \& Community Services

MOVED BY Councillor Randhawa that Council direct Administration to implement an advanced registration system that includes a two day "resident only" registration period beginning with the winter 2017 program session and bring forward a budget request in the 2017 budget process including all additional operating costs related to implementation.

In Favour: Arjun Randhawa, Ed Sperling
Against: Gale Katchur, Frank Garritsen, Stew Hennig, Birgit Blizzard, Sheldon Bossert

DEFEATED

## 5. New Business

### 5.1 Fort Saskatchewan Gymnastics Club

Presented by: Leanne Cameron, Head Coach, Fort Gymnastics and Troy Fleming, General Manager, Infrastructure \& Community Services

MOVED BY Councillor Garritsen that Administration bring forward, as part of the 2017 budget process, a project addressing the presentation made by Fort Gymnastics that includes the scope of work listed for consideration.

In Favour: Gale Katchur, Frank Garritsen, Stew Hennig, Arjun Randhawa, Birgit Blizzard, Sheldon Bossert, Ed Sperling

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
Mayor Katchur called a short recess at 7:44 p.m.
The regular Council Meeting reconvened at 7:52 p.m.

### 5.2 RCMP 2016/2017 Annual Performance Plan Priorities

Presented by: Brad Ward, Director, Protective Services; S/Sgt. Craig O'Neill, outgoing RCMP Detachment Commander; and S/Sgt. Peter Tewfik, Interim RCMP Detachment Commander

MOVED BY Councillor Sperling that Council approve the three recommended RCMP 2016/2017 Annual Performance Plan priorities as identified in the May 24, 2016 Council Report.

In Favour: Gale Katchur, Frank Garritsen, Stew Hennig, Arjun Randhawa, Birgit Blizzard, Sheldon Bossert, Ed Sperling

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY
6. Bylaws

### 6.1 Bylaw C7-16 - Animal Control Bylaw - Repeals Bylaw C1-02-3 readings Presented by: Ed Barden, Supervisor, Municipal Enforcement

MOVED BY Councillor Sperling that Council give first reading to Animal Control Bylaw C7-16.

In Favour: Gale Katchur, Frank Garritsen, Stew Hennig, Arjun Randhawa, Birgit Blizzard, Sheldon Bossert, Ed Sperling

R92-16

R93-16

R94-16
7. Notice of Motion

None.

## 8. Points of Interest

Members of Council were given the opportunity to bring forward information that would be of interest to the public.

## 9. Councillor Inquiries

Members of Council were given the opportunity to ask questions and provide concerns and comments.
10. In-Camera

MOVED BY Councillor Garritsen that Council move in-camera at 8:44 p.m. to discuss a matter that falls within one of the exceptions to disclosure in Division 2 of Part 1 of the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (FOIP).
10.1 Land Matters
10.2 Labour Relations

In Favour: Gale Katchur, Frank Garritsen, Stew Hennig, Arjun Randhawa, Birgit Blizzard, Sheldon Bossert, Ed Sperling

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

R96-16

R97-16

MOVED BY Councillor Blizzard that Council move out of in-camera at 9:41 p.m.
In Favour: Gale Katchur, Frank Garritsen, Stew Hennig, Arjun Randhawa, Birgit Blizzard, Sheldon Bossert, Ed Sperling

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

### 10.1 Land Matter

MOVED BY Councillor Blizzard that Council approve the recommendation (Alternative \#1) put forward in the confidential in-camera report presented to Council on May 24,2016 regarding land matters.

In Favour: Gale Katchur, Frank Garritsen, Stew Hennig, Arjun Randhawa, Birgit Blizzard, Sheldon Bossert, Ed Sperling

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

## 11. Adjournment

The regular Council Meeting of May 24, 2016 adjourned at 9:43 p.m.

## CITY OF FORT SASKATCHEWAN

## Fort Saskatchewan Public Library Presentation to Council

## Purpose:

Ms. Morgan Northey, Chair, Fort Saskatchewan Public Library Board and Mr. David Larsen, Library Director will be in attendance to present an update on the Fort Saskatchewan Public Library's activities, programs, and services.

## Action Required:

That Ms. Morgan Northey and Mr. David Larsen be thanked for their presentation.

## Attachment:

Fort Saskatchewan Public Library PowerPoint Presentation

File No.:

| Prepared by: | Sheryl Exley <br> Legislative Officer | Date: June 8, 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approved by: | Brenda Molter <br> Director, Legislative Services | Date: June 8, 2016 |
| Approved by: | Brenda Rauckman <br> General Manager, Corporate \& Protective <br> Services | Date: June 8, 2016 |
| Reviewed by: | Kelly Kloss <br> City Manager | Date: June 8, 2016 |
| Submitted to: | City Council | Date: June 14, 2016 |

Fort Saskatchewan Public Library: Celebrating Our Successes, Building Community

Morgan Northey, Board Chair David Larsen, Library Director

City Council Meeting June 14, 2016


The Library as Community Hub

A place for seniors


A place for children and families


A place to gather, tutor, study and more.


Programming: The Heart of Public Library Services

Teen Takeover


Lego Robotics


Minecraft Club


Programming: The Heart of Public Library Services

- International Pen Friends

- Writer-in-Residence (April-June)



## Programming: The Heart of Public Library Services

Adult Colouring Club

Armchair Travellers

and so much more...

## Traditional Collections

- Books, CDs, DVDs, Blu-rays, magazines, newspapers



## Online Resources

Available through our website with library card


- Ebooks, emagazines

zinio"
- Language Learning

- Films, music, audiobooks

- Online research databases and other learning tools


## EBSCO HOST

@WORLD BOOK

## Technologies



- Computer stations with Internet and printing
- Free Wifi
- iPads for in-house use
- "Smart" TV with WiFi, Blu-ray, Apple TV



## Introducing the FSPL "Makerspace"

## A truly creative space:



## Partnering With Other Libraries

- TAL Card and TAL Online: millions of items available across Alberta's public, academic, and government libraries

- ME Libraries: With FSPL card can directly access materials at over 300 public libraries in Alberta

- Our partnership with Strathcona County
 Library: a shared catalogue and more
- Traditional Interlibrary loans: across Canada and beyond


## Serving the Print Disabled



Thousands of audio and alternative format items available for loan/streaming/download through two provincially funded organizations:

- CELA: Centre for Equitable Library Access


Centre for Equitable Library Access
Public library services for Canadians with print disabilities

- NNELS: National Network for Equitable Library Service



## Serving the Visually and

 Physically Challenged- "Adaptive Technology Station" (DOW) (for the visually/physically challenged)



## Partnered Programs

Families First



FCSS, City of Fort Saskatchewan

## FORT SASKATCHEWAN

ongaged poople, thrining commuruin,
Multicultural Association

## CALLS


(English Language and Computer 1 on 1 training) C A L L S

## Partnered Programs

Free settlement services offered here.
On-site Immigrant Settlement
Practitioner
Are you new to Canada?
R.C.M.P

Royal Canadian Gendarmerie royale Mounted Police du Canada

Boys \& Girls Club of Fort Saskatchewan


## Looking Ahead

- Continued growth in usership
- Increasing outreach programming: schools, assisted living facilities, off-site programming, Library community events and
 programming vehicle
- Continued growth of online resources
- Expansion


## 2016 FSPL Library Board

Morgan Northey (Chair)
Alix Hennig
Lisa Berry
Gail Shkolny
Judy Raaschou
Allison Santo
Don Segberg
Miranda Brun
Renetta Peddle
Sheldon Bossert
David Larsen (Library Director)


## Questions/Comments?

Thank you.

## CITY OF FORT SASKATCHEWAN

Northern Gateway Pipelines Project Update

## Purpose:

Mr. Sam Munckhof-Swain, Manager, Alberta Community Relations, Northern Gateway Pipelines will be in attendance to present Council and Administration an update on the Northern Gateway Project.

## Action Required:

That Mr. Sam Munckhof-Swain be thanked for his presentation.

## Attachment:

1. Appendix A - Northern Gateway PowerPoint Presentation
2. Appendix B - May 2016 Letter to Communities

File No.:

| Prepared by: | Sheryl Exley <br> Legislative Officer | Date: June 7, 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approved by: | Brenda Molter <br> Director, Legislative Services | Date: June 7, 2016 |
| Approved by: | Brenda Rauckman <br> General Manager, Corporate \& Protective <br> Services | Date: June 8, 2016 |
| Reviewed by: | Kelly Kloss <br> City Manager | Date: June 8, 2016 |
| Submitted to: | City Council | Date: June 14, 2016 |

## Appendix A

## Northern Gateway:

## The need for Market Access

Date: June 14, 2016
Presenter: Sam Munckhof-Swain, Manager Community Relations, Alberta

## Overview

- Market Access
- Project Overview
- Advantages
- Local Benefits
- Challenges
- Where are we now?
- How can you get involved?




## Oil Prices

Q: What is the price of oil today?
A: -\$50 WTI

Q: What do we get for Canadian
Oil?
A: ~\$38 for WCS


## Why is their a discount on Canadian Oil?

1. Canada does not have a pipeline that reaches tidal water so we can only sell to the USA (98-99\%). We have no bargaining power
2. USA has multiple customers on where they choose to purchase their oil from
3. Canadian oil (WCS) is a heavier crude than some of the other plays around the world so it is easier (cheaper) to refine in the USA refineries

## How do we achieve a better price for our oil?

- Market Access!
- There 3 key benchmarks for oil price:
- Western Canadian Select (Hardisty, AB) $=\sim \$ 38$
- West Texas Intermediate (Cushing , OK) = ~ \$50
- Brent (Global price) $=\sim \$ 50$
* Prices as of June 6, 2016
- Project viability is not driven by price of oil


## What is the Northern Gateway Project?

- 1,177 km pipeline (36 inch) from Bruderheim to Kitimat
- 525,000 barrels per day capacity to the coast
- Marine Terminal with 9+ million barrels of storage
- Very Large Crude Carriers (VLCC) out the Douglas Channel to Asian Market


NORTHERN GATEWAY

## Northern Gateway Route



## Growing Optimism - 3 advantages

- Aboriginal Equity Partners (AEP) www.aepowners.ca
- From 26-31 in the last 2 years
- 33\% ownership in the pipeline
- VLCC Capability (2 million barrels)
- GIC approved project- Subject to 209 conditions


ABORIEINAL EQUITY
PARTHERS $\infty$

NORTHERN GATEWAY

## Local Benefits

- 4000 construction jobs and 1000 long term jobs
- $\$ 2$ billion in local communities with at least $\$ 1$ billion going to First Nations and Metis owned businesses
- \$150M to local businesses in this area (Bruderheim to Whitecourt)
- Contribute $\$ 98$ billion in total tax revenue to local, provincial, and federal governments over the economic life of the project
"When we spend and hire locally, the outcomes are mutually rewarding and beneficial" - Jim Bowers, Director of Supply Chain Management


NORTHERN GATEWAY

## Where are we now?

- One of the 209 conditions required us to start construction by December 2016. This is referred to as the "Sunset Clause"
- On May 6, 2016, we requested to the NEB to extend the time for commencement of construction to 2019

Key Challenges:

1. Clarity on legal and regulatory issues

- Challenged in the Federal Court of Appeal
- Moratorium on Tankers on the West Coast of BC

2. Market Uncertainty for our Shippers
3. First Nation support on the Coast

NORTHERN GATEWAY

## Why We Need More Time

- More time to build relationships
- Maintains the value of what we've built
- Receive legal clarity
- Complete 113 of the conditions required prior to construction
- A truly historic partnership is at risk

"If Northern Gateway receives the Sunset Extension, we will use the time to further our engagement with all stakeholders"
- John Carruthers, President Northern Gateway


## Sunset Extension Request - Next Steps

- Northern Gateway filed its Extension Request on May 6, 2016
- The Board will accept public comments until 27 June 2016.
- The Board will allow Northern Gateway and AEP to submit reply comments by 18 July 2016.
- The Board will review all the information presented
- If the Board approves the request, it will not be effective until approved by GIC (Federal Cabinet)


## N NORTHERN

 GATEWAY
## How can I get involved?

- If you would like to demonstrate your support for the Northern Gateway's sunset extension you can submit a letter by E-filing, Fax or Mail
"The Board would be interested in hearing comments on those reasons, whether other issues should also be considered, and if additional information should be required. Interested parties are encouraged to provide any information that they recommend the Board consider in deciding on the request."
- NEB

To find out more visit www.gatewayfacts.calsupport

## Questions

N NORTHERN GATEWAY

## Appendix B

# Northern Gateway: Building a Better Project Letter to Communities 



May 2016

## DEAR COMMUNITIES:

> Northern Gateway is changing. First Nations and Métis people and communities are playing an important leadership role as owners, together with the other Project proponents.

We believe that resource projects like ours should be built with First Nations and Métis environmental stewardship, ownership, support and shared control. From the beginning, Northern Gateway should have done a better job of building relationships with First Nations and Métis communities, particularly on the west coast of British Columbia. While we had the right intentions, we could have done a better job listening and fostering these critical relationships.

Today, we are committed to the process we have followed over the last two years to build trust, engage in respectful dialogues and build meaningful partnerships with First Nations and Métis communities, stakeholders, and communities along the Project route rather than be driven by a construction schedule.

We recently filed a request with the National Energy Board for a threeyear extension to the sunset clause (a condition on the Project that stipulates when construction must begin) to allow us the time needed to receive legal clarity and to continue our important discussions.

We believe this is the right course of action for Northern Gateway and the right thing to do as Canadians. We know that this process requires time - and we are committed to getting this right.

Together with First Nations and Métis communities, we have been reviewing and improving key aspects of the Project to address their concerns, notably regarding environmental protection and long-term economic prosperity for generations of First Nations and Metis people.

The Aboriginal Equity Partners and Project proponents are fully committed to building this critical infrastructure at a time when Canada needs it most.

> We remain open to change and to working with federal and provincial governments, First Nations, Métis and stakeholders.

We are starting to see the impact of low energy prices in all of Canada - such impact is felt federally, provincially and regionally, but more directly, it is felt by many Canadian families.

With this serious decline in the Canadian economy, there is growing momentum for increased international market access for our natural resources and new energy
infrastructure is critical. Northern Gateway provides a long-term and tangible opportunity for British Columbian and Albertan by creating short and long-term jobs.

On behalf of Northern Gateway, thank you for your continued interest in the Project. More information can be found at our website www.gatewayfacts.ca.


John Carruthers
President, Northern Gateway


## DEAR COMMUNITIES:

The Aboriginal Equity Partners (AEP) are the First Nations and Métis communities who own Northern Gateway. We support Northern Gateway's sunset extension request and have jointly signed the formal request to the National Energy Board. This extension is necessary so that we can continue building important relationships and making important improvements to the Project.

Our ownership and involvement has led to significant changes in the Northern Gateway Project. As the Stewards for the Aboriginal Equity Partners, we are focused on ensuring our communities benefit from this Project and are actively involved in Northern Gateway's decision making so that we can protect both the environment and our traditional way of life.

> There is a misconception that there is no First Nations and Métis support for Northern Gateway. This is not true. In fact, support for our Project has grown from 26 to 31 communities over the past two years and is continuing to grow.

Our communities need the economic and business benefits that Northern Gateway can bring. This Project provides hope for the future and will ensure long-term benefits for
our communities. Collectively, our communities will receive more than \$2 billion in long-term generational ownership, economic and educational benefits from the Project.

## Our hope is that Northern Gateway will help our young people to have a future where they stay in their communities with training and work opportunities.



## Chief Elmer Derrick <br> AEP Steward



David MacPhee
AEP Steward


Bruce Dumont
AEP Steward

## Ptelumerat.

Elmer Ghostkeeper
AEP Steward

## CONDITION \#2 OF 209 FOR THE NORTHERN GATEWAY PROJECT STATES:

"Unless the [National Energy Board] otherwise directs prior to 31 December 2016, the certificate will expire on 31 December 2016 unless construction of the pipeline or the Kitimat Terminal has commenced by that date."

We have requested that the National Energy Board extend the time for commencement of construction from December 31, 2016 to December 31, 2019.

## WHAT IS A SUNSET CLAUSE?

A sunset clause is a condition that is placed on most regulated major projects in Canada. It identifies a date when construction is required to start by to ensure that the facts presented during the regulatory proceedings remain current and relevant. If the project does not start by such date, the "sun will set" on the certificate and the project will no longer be able to proceed unless an extension is granted.


Our priority is to work closely with First Nations and Métis communities to protect the lands, water and territories that sustain their traditional way of life while ensuring long-term economic benefits and jobs from the Project.


## WHY ARE WE APPLYING TO EXTEND THE SUNSET CLAUSE?



## There are outstanding court decisions

Due to a recent court decision, Northern Gateway must now receive an Environmental Assessment Certificate from British Columbia before commencing construction. In addition, the Project approval from the federal government has been challenged in the Federal Court of Appeal. These challenges were heard last October, and we are currently waiting for a decision from the Court. Once the decision is released, one or more of the parties may appeal to the Supreme Court of Canada. If that happens, these legal challenges will not be resolved until after the expiration of the sunset clause. We are committed to keeping you informed as this progresses.

## Market uncertainty remains

The companies who will use the Northern Gateway pipeline to ship their products to tidewater need to know the outcome of these legal challenges before making final commercial commitments. We are also continuing to work closely with our Aboriginal Equity Partners to achieve final certainty regarding the benefits available to their communities, businesses and people.

## We want to take the time to do it right

Since we received approval, our primary focus has been to build trust, engage in respectful dialogues and build meaningful partnerships with First Nations and Métis communities. We have made considerable progress over the past two years but we have further work to do. Going forward, Northern Gateway, in conjunction with our Aboriginal Equity Partners and other First Nations and Métis communities, will continue to work in a collaborative manner regarding environmental stewardship and to significantly increase First Nations and Métis ownership and benefits.

## HOW NORTHERN GATEWAY WILL BOOST CANADA'S ECONOMY:

The recent dramatic drop in oil prices coupled with the lack of pipeline infrastructure is not only affecting future production but jeopardizing existing Canadian oil production. The significant decrease in oil prices has negatively impacted levels of investment and employment across the country, particularly here in Western Canada. Once the commercial and legal uncertainties are addressed, Northern Gateway will provide a badly needed multibillion dollar private infrastructure investment in Canada's future.

Northern Gateway will:

- Create over $\mathbf{4 , 0 0 0}$ construction jobs and $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ long-term jobs
- Spend $\$ 2$ billion in local communities with at least $\$ \mathbf{1}$ billion going to First Nations and Métis owned businesses
- Contribute $\$ 98$ billion in total tax revenue to local, provincial and federal governments over the 30 year economic life of the Project that can be directed to education, environment, health, infrastructure and other community needs


When we spend and hire locally, the outcomes are mutually rewarding and beneficial - which is why we are actioning plans to ensure we meet or exceed our First Nations, Métis and local contracting and procurement commitments.


## WORKING CLOSELY WITH ALL COMMUNITIES:

Northern Gateway is changing. Over the past year, we have been working closely with our Aboriginal Equity Partners and Community Advisory Boards, listening and working jointly with communities to renew this Project.

We will continue to collaborate with local communities along the Project route on several of the 209 conditions attached to the Project's certificates of approval as well as working to address British Columbia's five conditions. For example, together with First Nations, Métis and local communities, we drafted our Marine and Pipeline Environmental Effects Monitoring Programs and filed them with the National Energy Board.

Going forward we will work with you on several programs including these four:

- Traditional Land Use Investigation Plan
- Construction Environmental Protection and Management Plan
- Socio-Economic Effects Monitoring Plan
- Emergency Response Plans

To find out more, please visit www.gatewayfacts.ca.

Our ongoing discussions have resulted in changes to the Project that directly address concerns regarding environmental protection and long-term economic prosperity. The work on these changes and collaboration with communities continues.


We will use the additional time to further our engagement on increased ownership, governance, control and benefits for First Nations and Métis communities along the pipeline route and on the BC Coast.

We will continue discussions on our primary focus which is to ensure that First Nations and Métis communities secure long-term economic benefits and jobs from the Project while protecting the lands and resources that sustain their traditional way of life. By being open to change, we hope to welcome additional new Aboriginal Equity Partners in the months ahead.

We will also spend time in local communities listening, engaging and working on our conditions. Key tasks will involve developing critical environmental protection and management plans as well as continuing our engagement with the Community Advisory Boards and working to identify local business capacity to ensure local people and communities are ready to benefit from our Project. To find out more, please visit www.gatewayfacts.ca.

## WHAT ARE THE NEXT STEPS FOR THE SUNSET CLAUSE EXTENSION? CAN I GET INVOLVED?

In May 2016, we requested the National Energy Board to extend the sunset clause.
The National Energy Board will take some time to review our request and then it is anticipated that the National Energy Board will set out their process for receiving comments from interested parties on the sunset clause extension request.

Northern Gateway is committed to keeping you informed throughout this process and we will send you additional communications as soon as we have more information.

If you would like to demonstrate your support for Northern Gateway's sunset extension, there are three ways to contact the National Energy Board.

Mail:<br>National Energy Board<br>517 Tenth Avenue SW<br>Calgary, Alberta<br>Fax:<br>403-292-5503<br>T2R 0A8<br>Toll-Free:<br>1-877-288-8803

For the latest information, please check out our website at gatewayfacts.ca as well as the website for our Aboriginal Equity Partners at aepowners.ca

CONTACT INFORMATION

| EMAIL | info@northerngateway.ca | y | @northerngateway <br> @AboriginalEP |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MAIL | Northern Gateway <br> C103 Parkwood Place <br> 1600 15th Avenue <br> Prince George, BC V2L 3X3 | f | facebook.com/ <br> AboriginalEquityPartners or <br> facebook.com/ <br> enbridgenortherngateway |
| $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ | 1.888.434.0533 | gatewayfacts.ca <br> aepowners.ca |  |

## CITY OF FORT SASKATCHEWAN

Southfort Transportation Study Update

## Motion:

That Council adopt the Southfort Transportation Study Update (September 2015) with the Addendum to the Transportation Study dated April 21, 2016.

## Purpose:

To provide Council with the findings of the Southfort Transportation Study Update and the Addendum to the Study, which addresses concerns raised by Council at the January 12, 2016 regular Council Meeting.

## Background:

In 2013, an update of the Southfort Area Structure Plan (SF-ASP) was approved. This Plan adjusted some of the proposed land uses and major road networks within the Southfort Development Area.

As a result of this SF-ASP update in 2014, a review of the Southfort Transportation Plan was undertaken in 2014 to determine expected traffic volumes, road classifications, and intersection treatments.

The resulting Study was conducted using traffic simulations of the Southfort area at 50\% development and at full development. The findings of these simulations resulted in the following recommendations:

1. Southfort Drive can be reclassified as a Collector Road south of Southfort Boulevard and should terminate at Southridge Boulevard, rather than extending to the south boundary.
2. The 94 Street / Southridge Boulevard corridor can be reclassified as a Collector Road between Southfort Drive and Sienna Boulevard.
3. Six additional signalized intersections will be required in the SF-ASP.
4. Six single lane round-a-bouts should be installed at the intersections of major collectors, rather than signals as the moderate off-peak traffic volumes do not require signals.
5. An additional access to Highway 21 south of the SF-ASP area will be required at full development to relieve congestion at the Highway intersections.
6. The Highway 15 / 21 Corridor adjacent to the Study area will require six lanes within the $50 \%$ development horizon. This confirms the 2008 Road Right-of-Way Master Plan findings.

At the January 12, 2016 Council Meeting, Council referred the Study back to Administration with concerns regarding the 94 Street Classification as a two-lane collector and the recommendation for round-a-bouts on 94 Street.

Because the report is a technical document based on a scientific process, the original report remains unchanged. An addendum to the report was prepared by the Consultant to address Council's concerns.

The April 21, 2016 Addendum addresses three aspects of the original report.

1. Southfort Drive Classification: The south end of Southfort Drive between Southfort Boulevard and Southridge Boulevard is changed in classification from collector to arterial. While the
projected traffic volumes do not meet the arterial classification, this road has already been constructed to the arterial standard, therefore the addendum reflects the current configuration.
2. Round-a-bout intersections on 94 Street: The projected traffic volumes on 94 Street and the intersecting roads demonstrate that they do not need to be signalized and that stop control on the intersecting roadways will perform adequately. However, with 94 Street configured as a long straight roadway with no stop control, it is likely that traffic speeds will tend to be higher than what is acceptable through a neighbourhood. The round-a-bouts will create a traffic calmed streetscape reducing future speed and noise complaints, they will reduce shortcutting through the neighbourhood and will allow easier access to 94 Street from the intersecting roadways.
3. 94 Street Classification: Council had concerns regarding 94 Street being constructed to two lanes only. The projected traffic volumes do not meet the criteria for a four-lane roadway. However, to mitigate these concerns, a modified cross-section has been developed that will allow for the widening to four-lanes sometime in the future. Projected traffic volumes on 94 Street are between 3,800 and 7,850 vehicles per day.

The Southfort Transportation Study is a technical document that forms the basis of what transportation projects can and will be included in the Southfort Levy. If the technical merit of a project cannot be demonstrated within a supporting technical study, the project cannot be included in the Levy and becomes a future cost to the City. If the recommendations of the Study are followed then the projects identified within the Study will be constructed either at the developer's expense at the time of construction or by the Levy (also developer money). This will help mitigate future City costs like retro-fitting traffic calming features, intersection control, and roadway widening.

The round-a-bouts and intersection treatments will be investigated during the planning of construction. This is done at all intersection construction / improvement projects to ensure that the best option is used to accommodate the users. The recommendation of round-a-bouts ensures that a sufficient right-of-way is set aside and that this option is given a thorough review (it is new to Fort Saskatchewan). Without the round-a-bouts it is likely that speed and noise complaints similar to those the City receives about Westpark Drive and 95A Avenue will be duplicated in this area and that a future traffic calming plan may have to be implemented at the City's expense.

The 99 Avenue ring road in Pineview has traffic volumes of 9,000 vehicles per day and 95A Avenue has traffic volumes of 6,000 vehicles per day. Both of these roadways function very well as 2-lane collectors, however, the City does receive complaints about excessive speed and noise on these roadways. Over-building 94 Street will result in speed issues and short-cutting through predominantly residential areas rather than pushing the through traffic to the Highway, Southfort Drive (arterial), or the future arterial at 101 Street (with annexation).

Constructing 94 Street to a collector standard now will provide the City with a much more functional roadway regardless of what happens in the future. A two-lane collector standard is actually built three-lanes wide to allow for an auxiliary lane to accommodate transit stops, emergency relief (flat tire) and cyclists. If an arterial roadway classification were used, the first two lanes would be constructed (Southfort Drive) with no auxiliary lane, with the final two lanes not being constructed until warranted (if ever).

## Plans/Standards/Legislation:

- Southfort Area Structure Plan.
- Transportation Association of Canada - Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads (2007).
- Institute of Traffic Engineers trip generation rates.
- Transportation Research Board of the National Academies of Science - Highway Capacity Manual (HCM).


## Financial Implications:

The results of this Study will require an update to the Southfort Levy. The Levy will be updated in 2016 using internal staff capacity. Once complete, the Levy Bylaw will be brought to Council for approval and the projects will be staged within the long-term Capital Plan. As the projects will be levy-funded, there will be no tax impact on the City, other than operating.

If Council approves a Plan beyond the recommendations of the Study, the over-built projects cannot be included in the Levy and these costs will be the responsibility of the City.

## Internal Impacts:

With the adoption of the Southfort Transportation Study Update, the SF-ASP will require an update to reflect the changes in classifications. As well, the Levy Bylaw will require an update. Both of these projects will be completed using existing internal resources.

## Recommendation:

That Council adopt the Southfort Transportation Study Update (September 2015) and the Addendum to the Transportation Study dated April 21, 2016.

## Attachments:

1. Appendix A - Southfort Transportation Study Update (September 2015)
2. Appendix B - Addendum to Transportation Study for the Southfort Area Structure Plan (April 21, 2016)
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## CORPORATE AUTHORIZATION

This report entitled Southfort Transportation Study was prepared by Al-Terra Engineering Ltd., under authorization and exclusive use of the City of Fort Saskatchewan.

The designs and recommendations put forward reflect Al-Terra's best judgment with the information available. Any use of this information in a manner not intended or with the knowledge that situations have changed shall not be the responsibility of Al-Terra Engineering Ltd.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The City of Fort Saskatchewan retained Al-Terra Engineering to complete the Southfort Transportation Study, a supplementary document to the 2013 Southfort Area Structure Plan (ASP). This study includes an implementation plan for expansion and improvement of the roadway network in the Southfort development area within a mulit-modal context to support new and existing development.

The Southfort ASP is located in the southeast corner of the City of Fort Saskatchewan, bounded on the west and north by Highway 21 and Highway 15, and on the south and east by the city boundary. The ASP plans for a population of between 18,300 and 21,000 people in a variety of housing types units, multiple commercial sites, school sites, a community centre, a hospital, a correctional institution, and a variety of parks and open spaces.

The Southfort Transportation Study's objectives were to identify projected traffic impacts along the road network adjacent to and within the Southfort area and to identify roadway standards, intersection geometry, and traffic control to accommodate the projected traffic volumes at acceptable levels of service. The methodology included:

- Reviewing the Southfort ASP land use assumptions
- Reviewing the proposed road network including road alignments, classification, and cross-sections
- Reviewing and confirming background traffic volumes
- Projecting traffic on the proposed roadway network generated by the Southfort area based on trip generation, trip distribution, mode spilt, and trip assignment assumptions
- Evaluating intersection treatments (traffic control and intersection geometry) throughout the development and the external intersection connections to Highway 21, Strathcona County, and other areas of Fort Saskatchewan
- Reviewing pedestrian and bicycle routes to and through the Southfort area
- Reviewing transit opportunities in the community

Existing external arterial roadways include Highway 21 and Highway 15. Internal arterial roadways include Southfort Drive/86 Avenue, 94 Street, and connections between Highway 21 and Southfort Drive on Southridge Blvd, Southfort Blvd, and 84 Street. There are a number of collector roads identified within the Southfort ASP. Some areas within the Southfort ASP have been developed for over ten years, so some of the roadways are already constructed to a first stage or ultimate cross-section.

Existing traffic volumes were available from counts completed in 2013. Additional traffic volumes from growth in the Southfort ASP were developed based on the ASP land use concept and trip generation rates developed by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) and studies completed locally in the City of Edmonton. Low, medium, and high density residential; school; and three commercial land use types were used to generate expected trips. The trips were distributed and assigned to the network using a combination of existing splits and data obtained from Alberta Transportation's Edmonton Regional Traffic Model (which includes Fort Saskatchewan). Two scenarios were reviewed - one at $50 \%$ development of the Southfort area, and one with full development of the Southfort Area.

Major intersections were analyzed using Synchro Studio 9 utilizing the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodology. Recommendations were made for additional through lanes, turn lanes, signalization, and/or roundabouts where required.

Arterial and collector roadway corridors were reviewed with respect to projected daily traffic volumes; some roads identified in the ASP as arterial roads are recommended to be reduced to collector status upon this analysis. Some of these collector roads do not require on-street parking, therefore a revised multi-modal roadway cross-section is recommended to provide separate space for pedestrians, cyclists, and drivers. A number of roundabouts are recommended along the collector road corridor to provide traffic calming and consistent intersection operations.

Final recommendations from the Southfort Transportation Study include:

- Highway 21 and Highway 15 adjacent to the study area will require 6 basic lanes within the $50 \%$ development horizon.
- An additional access to Highway 21, south of the Southfort ASP area, will be required at full development.
- Southfort Drive will require four lanes from Southfort Blvd. to 94 Street within the 50\% development horizon.
- Southfort Drive could be reclassified as a collector road south of Southfort Blvd.
- Southridge Drive east of Southfort Drive and 94 Street south of Sienna Blvd could be reclassified as collector roads, and provide multi-modal road corridors with bicycle lanes.
- Five additional signalized intersections are identified along Southfort Drive, Southridge Blvd, and 94 Street.
- Two intersections at the south end of Southfort Drive are identified as potential roundabout locations due to the moderate traffic volumes that do not require signals.
- Four roundabouts are proposed along the Southridge Blvd/94 Street extensions.

Exhibit ES. 1 illustrates the road network recommendations at full buildout of the Southfort ASP.

|  | CITY BOUNDARY | (8) | PROPOSED ROUNDABOUT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | STUDY AREA | (8) | POTENTIAL ROUNDABOUT |
|  | ALBERTA HIGHWAY |  | EXISTING SIGNAL |
|  | ARTERIAL ROAD |  |  |
|  | COLLECTOR ROAD | F | PROPOSED SIGNAL |

FORT SASKATCHEWAN

Al-Terra

## CITY OF FORT SASKATCHEWAN SOUTHFORT TRANSPORTATION STUDY INTERSECTION CONTROLS FULL DEVELOPMENT OF SOUTHFORT

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Corporate Authorization
Executive Summary
Page No.
1.0 Introduction ..... 1
1.1 Background ..... 1
1.2 Study Objectives ..... 1
1.3 Study Methodology ..... 1
2.0 Site Context ..... 2
2.1 Site Location ..... 2
2.2 Existing Area Characteristics ..... 2
2.2.1 Existing Land Uses ..... 2
2.2.2 Existing Road Network ..... 2
2.2.3 Existing Traffic ..... 3
3.0 Development and Traffic Characteristics ..... 4
3.1 Proposed Development ..... 4
3.2 Growth Trends and Analysis Horizon ..... 5
3.3 Background Traffic ..... 5
3.4 Transportation Study Methodology and Assumptions ..... 5
3.4.1 Zone Structure ..... 6
3.4.2 Trip Generation ..... 6
3.4.3 Trip Distribution and Assignment ..... 7
3.4.4 Design Traffic Volumes ..... 8
3.4.5 Daily Volumes ..... 8
4.0 Transportation Assessment ..... 9
4.1 Capacity Analysis ..... 9
4.1.1 Southfort Full Development ..... 10
4.1.2 Southfort 50\% Development Level ..... 26
4.2 Road Standards ..... 32
4.2.1 Collector Road Cross-Section ..... 33
4.3 Intersection Treatment Options ..... 33
4.4 Public Transportation and Pedestrian/Cyclist Network ..... 35
4.4.1 Public Transportation ..... 35
4.4.2 Pedestrian/Cyclist Network ..... 35
5.0 Conclusions and Recommendations ..... 36

## TABLES

Table 3.1 - Existing Residential Development of Southfort ASP - based on 2013 Census Data
Table 3.2 - Full Development of Southfort -Residential Dwelling Units Estimate
Table 3.3 - Trip Generation Rates and Directional Split
Table 3.4 - Trip Generation Summary
Table 4.1 through Table 4.16 - Intersection Operation Summary at Southfort Full Development Table 4.17 through Table 4.22 - Intersection Operation Summary at 50\% Development Level

## EXHIBITS

Exhibit 2.1 - Key Map
Exhibit 3.1 - Land Use Map at Full Development of Southfort
Exhibit 3.2 - Land Use Map at 50\% Development of Southfort
Exhibit 3.3 - Transportation Zones
Exhibit 3.4 - Traffic Distribution
Exhibit 3.5 - Estimated AM Peak Design Volumes at Full Development
Exhibit 3.6 - Estimated PM Peak Design Volumes at Full Development
Exhibit 3.7 - Estimated AM Peak Design Volumes at 50\% Development Level
Exhibit 3.8 - Estimated PM Peak Design Volumes at 50\% Development Level
Exhibit 3.9 - Estimated Daily Traffic Volumes at Full Development
Exhibit 3.10 - Estimated Daily Traffic Volumes at 50\% Development Level
Exhibit 3.11 - Proposed Collector Typical Cross-Section
Exhibit 3.12 - Intersection Controls at Full Development of Southfort

## APPENDICES

Appendix A - Existing Traffic (2013) - Estimated and Balanced - Synchro View.
Appendix B - Trip Generations Rates used in Edmonton Capital Region
Appendix C - Traffic Operation Reports
Appendix D - Signal Warrants Worksheets

### 1.0 Introduction

In the spring of 2014, Al-Terra Engineering was commissioned by the City of Fort Saskatchewan to undertake the Southfort Transportation Study, a supplementary document to the 2013 Southfort Area Structure Plan. The study was to include an implementation plan for expansion and improvement of the roadway network in the Southfort development area within a multi-modal context.

### 1.1 Background

The Southfort Area Structure Plan (ASP) was approved by the City in June 2013 - Bylaw C7-13. The document provides land uses, access and servicing, and policy direction for an area on the southeast side of Fort Saskatchewan that will ultimately accommodate a population of 18,300-21,000.

The ASP is a guide for the location, intensity and character of land uses. The Southfort ASP land uses include:

- A variety of residential housing types and densities with 7,300-8,400 dwelling units
- Commercial sites located between Highway 21 and Southfort Drive
- School sites to potentially accommodate 5 schools
- Community Hospital - completed in 2012
- DOW Centennial Centre, a major recreational/cultural facility - completed in 2003
- The Fort Saskatchewan Correctional facility
- The future site of the Royal Canadian Mounted Police detachment
- Open spaces with interconnecting walkways and City's trail systems
- General water, sanitary and storm servicing facilities for the area


### 1.2 Study Objectives

The objective of this study was to identify the projected traffic impacts along the roadway network adjacent to and within the Southfort area and to identify required roadway standards, intersection geometry, and traffic control to accommodate the projected traffic volumes at acceptable levels of service.

### 1.3 Study Methodology

The Southfort Transportation Study methodology included the following components:

- A review of the Southfort ASP land use assumptions
- Examination of the proposed road network including road alignments, classification, and crosssections
- Review and confirmation of background traffic volumes associated with the study area
- Projecting traffic on the proposed roadway network that is anticipated to be generated by the Southfort area based on trip generation, trip distribution, mode spilt, and trip assignment assumptions
- Evaluating intersection treatments (traffic control and intersection geometry) throughout the development and the external intersection connections to Highway 21, Strathcona County, and other areas of Fort Saskatchewan
- Review of pedestrian and bicycle routes to and through the Southfort area
- Review of transit opportunities in the community


### 2.0 Site Context

### 2.1 Site Location

The Southfort ASP includes approximately 700 hectares (1700 accres) of land located in the southeast part of the City of Fort Saskatchewan. The area is bounded by Highway $21 /$ Highway 15 to the northwest, $101^{\text {st }}$ Street to the northeast and by the east and south city boundary to the east and south, respectively. Exhibit 2.1 - Key Map illustrates the location of Southfort within the City of Fort Saskatchewan and surrounding municipalities.

### 2.2 Existing Area Characteristics

### 2.2.1 Existing Land Uses

The existing Southfort area is partially developed and the existing developments are mainly located in the west and north. The area is continuously developing and progressing to the south and east.

Initial development of the Southfort ASP was concentrated east of Highway 21/Highway 15 and on the north side of Southfort Drive, which included commercial land uses. Residential developments followed along Southfort Drive and $94^{\text {th }}$ Street. The majority of the residential areas east of Southfort Drive are low density developments.

The completed institutional developments include a community hospital, correctional institution and the Dow Centennial Recreation Centre. They are located in the northeast part of the Southfort area, west of Highway 21.

### 2.2.2 Existing Road Network

Existing major roadways in the area include Highway 21 and Highway 15, which are classified as expressways. The basic cross-section of these roadways is a divided four lane rural roadway with widening through major intersections to accommodate turning movements. Five major signalized intersections provide primary access to Southfort and to developed areas to the west. In addition, there are right-in/rightout intersections with auxiliary lanes, which provide additional access to Southfort commercial developments.

Internal roadways in Southfort include:

- Southfort Drive/86 Avenue, which is designated as an arterial roadway between Southridge Boulevard and 101 Street, runs parallel to Highway 21 and Highway 15. In the longer term Southfort Drive is planned as a conventional four lane divided roadway south of 94 Street. Currently Southfort Drive is completed to the ultimate four lane section for only a short distance south of 94 Street. Other sections are constructed with two initial lanes and some widening at intersections. The southern portion of Southfort Drive is yet to be completed to provide continuation to Southridge Boulevard. 86 Avenue, north of 94 Street, is adjacent to the hospital and correctional institute to the east and commercial development to west. It is constructed as 4 lane undivided roadway.
- 94 Street is a southeast extension of Highway 15, southeast of the Highway 21/Highway 15 intersection. The roadway is constructed as a four lane cross-section which transitions to two lanes east of Southfort Drive. Currently 94 Street extends south and terminates at Sienna Boulevard. Eventually, 94 Street will extend south and west to connect to Southridge Boulevard when

development progresses futher south. 94 Street provides access to the Sienna neighborhood currently under construction.
- Southridge Boulevard, Southfort Boulevard, and 84 Street provide arterial standard road connections between Highway 21 and Southfort Drive, and are constructed as either 4 or 2 lane cross-sections.
- 101 Street, at the north boundary of Southfort ASP, is constructed to an urban arterial standard with a 4 lane divided cross-section. East of 86 Avenue, the roadway transitions to 2 lane rural cross-section.
- Collector roadways which include Allard Way and Greenfield Way provide access to developed Southfort Neighborhoods east of Southfort Drive.


### 2.2.3 Existing Traffic

Existing (2013) intersection traffic counts at the Highway 21/Highway 15 intersection, and link volumes at some internal Southfort locations were provided by the City. In addition, permanent counter information was used to determine the peak hour relationship to daily traffic volumes on the road network.

No intersection traffic information was provided within the existing internal roadways, but it was estimated based on methodology used for new developments and then iteratively balanced between available link volumes

Estimated traffic volumes representing year 2013 conditions, which include the road network and development that existed at that time, are illustrated in Appendix A. 2013 is considered the base year for future traffic estimates throughout the study.

### 3.0 Development and Traffic Characteristics

### 3.1 Proposed Development

The Southfort ASP is bounded by Highway 21 and Highway 15 to the west, 101 Street to the north, Range Road 225 (east city boundary) and section line 525 (south city boundary).

The area includes commercial developments between Highway 21/15 and Southfort Drive, which are mostly developed; the remaining lots (approximately 7.0ha [17 acres]) are being developed and will be completed in the near future.

The existing to date developed dwelling unit numbers in Southfort were based on 2013 census data and are presented in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1: Existing Residential Units

|  | Existing Number of Units <br> $(\mathbf{2 0 1 3 )}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Low Density Residential | 1045 |
| Medium Density Residential | 210 |
| High Density Residential | 195 |
|  | Total |

The number of dwelling units (DU) for full buildout of Southfort was estimated based on existing and future preliminary development plans using the lot counts. The areas where plans were not yet available and for the high density development, the following assumptions were used to estimate number of dwelling units:

- Low Density Residential (LD) - 28 DU/hectare
- Medium Density Residential (MD) - 35 DU/hectare
- High Density (HD) - 55 DU/hectare

Estimated total number of dwelling units based on full development of Southfort area is summarized in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2: Full Development Residential Units

|  | Number of Units <br> @ Full Development |
| :--- | :---: |
| Low Density Residential | 5210 |
| Medium Density Residential | 730 |
| High Density Residential | 630 |
|  | Total |

Additional developments indicated on the Southfort ASP include five potential school sites. For the purpose of this traffic assessment, one school was included with an assumed 600 students.

### 3.2 Growth Trends and Analysis Horizon

There are two residential areas developing concurrently in the City of Fort Saskatchewan. These areas include the Southfort and Westpark areas. Based on historical development intensity and local contractors' workforce potential, it is assumed that approximately 500 residential lots could be developed in one year. Futher, it is assumed that half of these lots would be developed in Westpark and the other half in Southfort. It is estimated that Westpark would be fully developed within 6 years and then the full development effort will be directed into Southfort.

Based on the above assumptions it is estimated that $50 \%$ development level of Southfort could occur within 10 years and the area could be fully developed in 15 years. Exhibit 3.1 illustrates the land use at full development of Southfort. Existing Southfort development trends indicate that areas along Southfort Drive and 94 Street (north) would be developed first. The 50\% development level is illustrated in Exhibit 3.2, indicating that southeast area of Southfort will be developed last.

The two future horizons were established for this study are:

1. Full development of the Southfort Area with possible timeline - 15 years
2. $50 \%$ development level with estimated possible timeline -10 years

## $3.3 \quad$ Background Traffic

Alberta Transportation's Regional Transportation Traffic Model for 2044 includes the City of Fort Saskatchewan with the main road network. The model inputs include future industrial developments in the north part of the City and developments north of the City in addition to the residential areas such as Southfort and Westpark.

The future model traffic estimates indicate Highway 21 and Highway 15 volumes lower than existing (2012) volumes, which suggests that external to the City through traffic will not grow, especially if another higher speed road corridor is provided, such as new river crossing south of the City.

It is assumed that future Highway 21 and Highway 15 growth will be the result of pending development in the City.

### 3.4 Transportation Study Methodology and Assumptions

A traditional four step traffic model was used to estimate future traffic volumes on the road network. Requirements for transportation infrastructure, which includes road laning and intersection control requirements, were developed based on the model.

The model includes following steps:

1. Trip Generation - estimates of number of trips generated within each land use
2. Trip Distribution - determination of origin and destination of the trips
3. Modal Split - vehicles, transit and other transportation modes' share of the trip generation
4. Trip Assignment - assumption of which roads would be used to execute the trips

PTV Vistro modelling software was used to estimate traffic on the road links and the turning movements at intersections. The estimated future traffic was analyzed using Synchro 9 software, in which the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methodology was utilized. The analysis determined Level of Service (LOS) which
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| ---- | CITY BOUNDARY | RESIDENTIAL-MEDIUM DENSITY |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [1/1/17 | UNDEVELOPED LANDS | RESIDENTIAL-HIGH DENSITY |
|  | ALBERTA HIGHWAY | PARK |
|  | ARTERIAL ROAD | STORM WATER MANAGEMENT POND |
|  | COLLECTOR ROAD | COMMERCIAL |
|  | RESIDENTIAL- LOW DENSITY | INSTITUTIONAL |
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## LAND USE MAP

AT 50\% DEVELOPMENT OF SOUTHFORT
is based on average vehicle delay. In addition, Volume to Capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratios and queues at intersections were determined to indicate effectiveness of the transportation system.

Future traffic within the area and at external intersections was estimated by adding new trips generated by new developments to the existing traffic.

### 3.4.1 Zone Structure

The Southfort area was divided into homogeneous zones, representing specific land uses (residential, commercial, etc.) for which trips were estimated. Exhibit 3.3 shows the zone map developed for the model. The zones included existing developments as well as future ones. All Southfort zones are considered internal.

The external zones are accessible via entry/exit points identified in Exhibit 3.3, and are often referred to as gates.

The gates are located outside the Southfort area and treat other city locations such as Westpark, Pine View, Sherridon, and East Gate Business Park as external zones. Other external zones include the City of Edmonton, Strathcona County, and Sturgeon County - all which are accessible via Highway 21, Highway 15, and various Township and Range Roads.

Two additional zones were added to the structure to account for the Westpark development and a possible commercial development west of Highway 21 between 84 Street and Highway 15, which would impact Highway 21 traffic.

### 3.4.2 Trip Generation

Trip generation was assigned to the different housing types, school, and commercial development. Residential, school and commercial trip generation rates used in the traffic estimation are based on studies conducted locally by the City of Edmonton and the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE). Appendix B includes a summary of trip generation rates and fitted curve formulas for the lane uses in the model.

The Trip Generation Rates and Directional Splits are presented in Table 3.3:
Table 3.3: Trip Generation Rates and Directional Splits

| Land Use | Land Use |  | Trip Generation Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Variable | Weekday | AM Peak | \% In | $\%$ Out | PM Peak | $\%$ In | $\%$ Out |
| Low Density Residential |  | DU | 7.92 | 0.69 | $19 \%$ | $81 \%$ | 0.79 | $67 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| Medium Density Residential |  | DU | 6.59 | 0.46 | $21 \%$ | $79 \%$ | 0.58 | $65 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| High Density Residential |  | DU | 5.81 | 0.34 | $17 \%$ | $83 \%$ | 0.4 | $63 \%$ | $37 \%$ |
| School |  | Students |  | 0.2 | $55 \%$ | $45 \%$ | 0.05 | $49 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Commercial <br> (Floor Area 22,000-50,000sq.ft) |  | 1,000 sq.ft. |  | 5.62 | $55 \%$ | $45 \%$ | *Based on <br> fitted Curve | $48 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Commercial <br> (Floor Area 50,000-108,000sq.ft) |  | 1,000 sq.ft. |  | 4.02 | $53 \%$ | $47 \%$ | *Based on <br> fitted Curve | $48 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Commercial <br> (Floor Area <22,000 and >108,000sq.ft) | 820 | 1,000 sq.ft |  | *Based on <br> fitted Curve | $67 \%$ | $33 \%$ | *Based on <br> fitted Curve | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
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A summary of trips generated within the Southfort Area, excluding existing developments, is presented in Table 3.4.

Table 3.4: Summary of New Trips Generated in Southfort

| Land Use | Size | Units | Trips Generated |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AM Peak | In | Out | PM Peak | In | Out |
| Low Density Residential | 4,042 | DU | 2,789 | 530 | 2,259 | 3,193 | 2,139 | 1,054 |
| Medium Density Residential | 518 | DU | 238 | 50 | 188 | 300 | 195 | 105 |
| High Density Residential | 405 | DU | 138 | 23 | 114 | 162 | 102 | 60 |
| School | 900 | students | 72 | 40 | 32 | 45 | 22 | 23 |
| Commercial Areas Combined | 727 | 1,000 sq.f.t. | 1,416 | 836 | 580 | 4,271 | 2,100 | 2,172 |

For the purpose of this study the residential trip generation has been reduced by $7 \%$ to reflect anticipated use of transit, ride sharing, walking, cycling, and work at home as an alternative to using a vehicle.

### 3.4.3 Trip Distribution and Assignment

Residential vehicle trips to and from the Southfort area were distributed assuming $93 \%$ and $90 \%$ of trips would be between an external and internal zone during the am and pm peak, respectively. The remaining residential trips would be internal trips.

The trip distribution origin and destination for the study area uses the regional traffic model developed by Alberta Transportation. The model includes inputs pertaining to trip production and trip attraction for traffic analysis zones in the Edmonton region including the City of Fort Saskatchewan.

A detailed review of the regional model provided the basis for determining peak hour trip distribution percentages between Southfort and the external zones in the longer term, when Southfort reaches full development.

Exhibit 3.4 presents the traffic distribution during the AM and PM Peak hours for the road network in the study area road network.

Internal trips are assumed to occur between residential and other land uses, such as residential and commercial, residential and the DOW Centennial Centre, and residential and the hospital. These trips were distributed proportionately to the size of the zone. School trips, because of the minor impact on the road network during peak hours were distributed in similar manner as residential trips.

Trip assignment has been carried out based on the shortest and fastest way between the origin and destination using available road network.

New commercial trips generated by the Southfort ASP and the commercial west of Highway 21 have been broken into two types of trips: primary and pass-by.


- Primary trips are trips solely between an origin and destination: for example between home and the commercial development. Primary trips are assumed to represent $70 \%$ of all commercial trips.
- Pass-by trips are the secondary stops that are made at the commercial development when driving from another origin to destination (for example, from work to home via the commercial site). It is assumed that $30 \%$ of trips to the commercial site(s) would be by-pass trips.

Diverted trips are a result of vehicles travelling outside of the study area (origin and destination outside the study boundary) that makes a pass-by stop within the study area. No diverted trips were assumed for the study because these trips are accounted in the primary trip totals.

### 3.4.4 Design Traffic Volumes

Traffic volumes for full development of the Southfort areas, which represent combined existing and development traffic, are presented in Exhibit 3.5 (AM peak) and Exhibit 3.6 (PM peak).

The road network and the design traffic volumes at $50 \%$ development of the Southfort ASP are presented in Exhibit 3.7 (AM peak) and Exhibit 3.8 (PM Peak).

### 3.4.5 Daily Volumes

Review of the existing AM and PM peak volumes and their proportion at various locations in the city indicates that AM peak represents about 8\% and the PM peak represents about 10\% of the daily volumes.

It was assumed that future traffic patterns will remain similar and the above percentages were used to estimate daily traffic volumes for the both the full development and the $50 \%$ development scenarios.

Estimated future traffic volumes in the Southfort area are presented in Exhibit 3.9 (full development) and Exhibit 3.10 (50\% development).

Based on the daily traffic volume estimates, not all the roads identified as arterials in the ASP reach traffic volumes expected for arterial roadways ( $5,000-20,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) where the major function is traffic mobility. Roads with lower volumes may be accommodated with a collector road standard (less than $8,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ ) where mobility and access to adjacent residential areas is of equal importance.

Collector roadways could be accommodated with a two lane undivided road within the standard collector right-of-way. Traffic control at intersections was determined based on the projected traffic during peak hours.
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### 4.0 Transportation Assessment

### 4.1 Capacity Analysis

Using projected traffic, operations within the road network during AM and PM peak were determined using Synchro Studio 9 and Sidra 6.1 software suites. Level of Service (LOS) at intersections in the Southfort road network were determined using 2000 and 2010 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) methods. The software determines the LOS, which is defined by the HCM as average vehicle delay at an intersection. In addition, volume to capacity ratio ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) and the length of the queues were determined, which provided information used during intersection and turning lanes design.

The objective of the traffic operations at intersections is to provide an acceptable LOS which in the long term is considered:

- LOS D - delays less than 55 sec./veh. at signalized intersections and less than 35 sec./veh. at unsignalized intersections
- $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ less than 0.9

The intersection operations were analyzed utilizing the following inputs for the signalized intersections:

- Ideal saturation flow: 1850 veh./hr./In.
- Peak Hour Factor (PHF):
- 1.0, at all Highway 21 and Highway 15 intersections where conditions approach saturation,
- 0.92 (default), for the internal intersections
- $5 \%$ heavy vehicles
- 5 pedestrian calls/hr.
- Actuated pedestrian phase provided for all approaches

All Southfort internal and external intersections were modelled during peak hours and at the two future horizons. Major intersections at Highway 21/Highway 15 and the Southfort Drive corridors were modeled in detail and the results are included in this report. The internal intersections with low and moderate traffic volumes can operate satisfactorily with unsignalized controls.

In addition, existing non-signalized major intersections on Southfort Drive and other internal intersections were analyzed using the Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) signals warrant procedure. The analyses take into consideration intersection lanes, geometry, spacing to upstream signalized intersections, traffic volumes, traffic composition, main roadway speed, pedestrian presence, bus routes, demographics in the area, and size of the community. The analyses produce a score, which if higher than 100, indicates that significant controls such as signals or a roundabout are likely required to provide satisfactory operations. Signal Warrants worksheets are included in Appendix D.

The following signalized intersections require some improvements to satisfactorily accommodate the future traffic:

- Highway 21 - Wilshire Blvd./Southridge Blvd.
- Highway 21 - Westpark Blvd./Southfort Blvd.
- Highway 21-84 Street
- Highway 21 - Commercial Access west, south of Highway 15
- Highway 21/ Highway 15 - Highway 15/94 Street
- Highway 15-101 Street
- 88 Avenue - 101 Street
- 86 Avenue - 101 Street
- 86 Avenue/Southfort Blvd. - 94 Street
- 94 Street - 87 Avenue
- Southfort Drive - Allard Way
- Southfort Drive - Greenview Way North
- Southfort Drive - 84 Street
- Southridge Blvd. - Ridge Point Gate

In addition, warrant analyses were completed for intersections at:

- 94 Street - South Pointe/Hospital Access
- 84 Street - DOW Centre Access
- Southfort Drive - Greenview Way South
- Southfort Drive - Southfort Boulevard
- Southridge Blvd. - Southfort Drive


### 4.1.1 Southfort Full Development

Initially, the traffic was assigned to Highway 21 south, utilizing existing Highway 21 intersections. This resulted in the Highway 21 and Southridge Boulevard intersection failing during the AM peak due to excessive left turning volumes ( $>850 \mathrm{vph}$ ) in addition to other traffic at the intersection. Based on this preliminary analysis, an additional Highway 21 connection was proposed, which would be used by traffic originating in southeast area of Southfort. The connection to Highway 21 would be provided at an intersection located south of Southridge Boulevard, possibly in conjunction with possible developments along Highway 21 and south of the existing city boundary. Exhibits 3.5 and 3.6 illustrate traffic for which the additional connection to Highway 21 would be provided to assure that the proposed roadway system provides acceptable traffic operations at full development of the Southfort ASP.

The following tables summarize the AM and PM peak hour capacity analysis results for the above noted signalized intersections.

Note the makings in the following tables:

- $m$ - Volume for $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues is metered by upstream signal
- \#-95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer
- Phases:
- Prot - Protected
- Pm+pt - Permissive and protected
- Perm - Permissive
- Pm+ov - Permissive and Right Turn Overlap

Detailed Synchro Reports are included in Appendix C.

Table 4.1 - Highway 21 \& Wilshire Blvd/Southridge Blvd Intersection Analysis
Highway 21 - Wilshire Blvd./Southridge Blvd.

| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec . Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Nestbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 518 | 28 | 296 | 509 | 34 | 134 | 72 | 1180 | 119 | 160 | 1073 | 122 |
| v/c | 0.84 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.75 | 0.1 | 0.09 | 0.39 | 0.52 | 0.15 | 0.61 | 0.45 | 0.15 |
| Delay(s) | 65.7 | 53.3 | 0.3 | 58.4 | 54.4 | 0.1 | 69.0 | 28 | 6.6 | 66.4 | 29.4 | 11.7 |
| LOS | E | D | A | E | D | A | E | C | A | E | C | B |
| $95^{\text {n }}$ Queue (m) | 96.3 | 8 | 0 | 95.5 | 9.3 | 0 | 19.7 | 139.1 | 16.8 | 38.5 | 103.1 | 21.3 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 35.2 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | D |  |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec . Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Nestbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 294 | 44 | 107 | 190 | 25 | 240 | 348 | 1733 | 429 | 201 | 1390 | 472 |
| v/c | 0.69 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.59 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.78 | 0.61 | 0.6 | 0.67 | 0.53 | 0.47 |
| Delay(s) | 67.5 | 53.5 | 0.1 | 64.1 | 59.3 | 0.2 | 71.4 | 22.8 | 9.5 | 88.3 | 13.2 | 8.4 |
| LOS | E | D | A | E | E | A | E | C | A | F | B | A |
| 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | \#61.4 | 10.7 | 0 | 39.5 | 8.3 | 0 | 68.2 | 181 | 64.3 | m36.0 | 156.3 | 121.4 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 26.4 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |

Table 4.1 presents the intersection analysis for Highway 21 at Wilshire Blvd/Southridge Blvd. It is assumed that Highway 21 would be improved to six lanes with double left turn lanes for north and southbound approaches. The Wilshire Boulevard and Southridge Boulevard approaches configuration would provide adequate operations in the long term.

The improved intersection would operate at marginally acceptable LOS, which for the whole intersection would provide LOS D and C during AM and PM peak hours with some movements operating at LOS E. The results indicate $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ values within the set objective of less than 0.9.

Table 4.2 - Highway 21 \& Westpark Blvd/Southfort Blvd Intersection Analysis
Highway 21 - Westpark Blvd./Southfort Blvd.

| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec . Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | pm+pt |  | Perm | pm+pt |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 145 | 158 | 79 | 199 | 106 | 203 | 78 | 1628 | 126 | 90 | 1077 | 122 |
| v/c | 0.53 | 0.44 | 0.33 | 0.4 | 0.61 | 0.6 | 0.56 | 0.61 | 0.13 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.12 |
| Delay(s) | 48.6 | 61 | 9.4 | 44 | 74 | 31 | 61.2 | 22.9 | 3.9 | 67.3 | 23.1 | 2.3 |
| LOS | D | E | A | D | E | C | E | C | A | E | C | A |
| $95^{\text {m }}$ Queue (m) | 53.5 | 34.9 | 10.8 | 34.1 | 50.3 | 51 | m38.6 | 156 | m13.2 | 24.4 | 77.3 | 8.2 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 28.4 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec. Cycle |  | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | pm+pt |  | Perm | pm+pt |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 76 | 126 | 56 | 206 | 299 | 140 | 188 | 1826 | 253 | 305 | 1798 | 369 |
| v/c | 0.57 | 0.21 | 0.14 | 0.36 | 0.84 | 0.34 | 0.8 | 0.76 | 0.29 | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.44 |
| Delay(s) | 57.4 | 48.3 | 0.8 | 40.7 | 73.5 | 7.7 | 72.4 | 26.3 | 4 | 73.0 | 33.6 | 11.4 |
| LOS | E | D | A | D | E | A | E | C | A | E | C | A |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 28.6 | 24.8 | 0 | 32.5 | 112.3 | 15.3 | \#114.4 | 181 | 2.4 | \#63.6 | 174.7 | 53.5 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 33.6 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |

Table 4.2 presents the intersection analysis for Highway 21 at Westpark Blvd/Southfort Blvd. The Westpark Boulevard/Southfort Boulevard and Highway 21 intersection would perform adequately in long term providing that Highway 21 is upgraded to six lanes.

Table 4.3 - Highway 21 \& 84 Street Intersection Analysis

| Highway 21-84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec . Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | pm+pt |  | Free | Perm |  | Free | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 182 | 91 | 321 | 58 | 153 | 109 | 119 | 1863 | 74 | 33 | 910 | 47 |
| v/c | 0.63 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.08 | 0.52 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.06 |
| Delay(s) | 52.6 | 39.4 | 0.4 | 75.4 | 67.4 | 0.1 | 59.7 | 16.8 | 3.1 | 71.8 | 15.2 | 0.1 |
| LOS | D | D | A | E | E | A | E | B | A | E | B | A |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 62.5 | 16.8 | 0 | 31.5 | 34.8 | 0 | 28.1 | 91.7 | m3.1 | 21.9 | 61.1 | 0.2 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 20.9 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec . Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | pm+pt |  | Free | Perm |  | Free | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 137 | 225 | 216 | 65 | 347 | 88 | 329 | 1544 | 178 | 109 | 2191 | 259 |
| v/c | 0.74 | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.41 | 0.71 | 0.06 | 0.85 | 0.55 | 0.19 | 0.52 | 0.86 | 0.29 |
| Delay(s) | 66.7 | 41.9 | 0.2 | 59.2 | 61.8 | 0.1 | 77.2 | 18.7 | 3.8 | 69.8 | 32.3 | 6.1 |
| LOS | A | D | A | E | E | A | E | B | A | E | C | A |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | \#53.4 | 38.1 | 0 | 31.4 | 64.5 | 0 | \#75.2 | 120.2 | 14.8 | 26.3 | 232.5 | 24.6 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 31.4 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |

Table 4.3 presents the intersection analysis for Highway 21 at 84 Street. The highest Highway 21 and Highway 15 traffic volumes occur in the area adjacent to the commercial centers between 84 Street and 101 Street. The heaviest traffic occurs during the PM peak where the commercial traffic mixes with commuter traffic to create high volume demands. The intersections suffer significant congestion even with Highway 21 and Highway 15 upgraded to 6 lanes.

To provide additional capacity it is proposed to provide 2 through lanes eastbound and westbound at 84 Street approaches (there is currently one lane on each approach).

Assuming that the intersection would be improved, the overall operations in the long term would be acceptable even though some of the movements would operate at LOS E.

Table 4.4 - Highway 21 \& Future Commercial Access Intersection Analysis

| Highway 21 - F | Com | rcia | cess |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec. Cycle |  | asbou |  |  | estbo |  |  | Northbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Thro | Right | Left |  | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | Per |  |  | Per |  |  | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 34 | 6 | 31 | 93 | 9 | 24 | 46 | 2032 | 67 | 27 | 866 | 33 |
| v/c | 0.12 |  |  | 0.15 |  |  | 0.26 | 0.68 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| Delay(s) | 45.2 |  |  | 32.9 |  |  | 54.4 | 21.8 | 8.2 | 52.7 | 17 | 0.1 |
| LOS | D |  |  | C |  |  | D | C | A | D | B | A |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 18.5 | 11.4 |  | 15.1 | 11.2 |  | m11.2 | 172.1 | m13.0 | \#22.2 | 62.6 | 0 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 21.2 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  | C |  |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec . Cycle |  | asbou |  |  | stbou |  |  | Orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Thro | Right | Left | Thro | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | Per |  |  | Per |  |  | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 145 | 34 | 131 | 186 | 28 | 119 | 146 | 1380 | 245 | 152 | 2242 | 99 |
| v/c | 0.76 |  |  | 0.47 |  |  | 0.52 | 0.5 | 0.26 | 0.59 | 0.83 | 0.11 |
| Delay(s) | 76.1 |  |  | 44.2 |  |  | 64.2 | 18.7 | 3.4 | 68.8 | 28.2 | 4.1 |
| LOS | E |  |  | D |  |  | E | B | A | E | C | A |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 61.4 | 29.7 |  | 30.9 | 23.2 |  | 32.1 | 108.4 | 16.5 | 34.4 | 241.7 | 10.5 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 27.3 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |

Table 4.4 presents the intersection analysis for Highway 21 at the Future Commercial Access. This alldirection intersection to the future commercial area located both west and east of Highway 21, south of Highway 15 , should operate satisfactory in the long term. Highway 21 requires widening to a 6 basic lane cross-section and additional auxiliary lanes are required to accommodate turning commercial traffic.

Table 4.5 - Highway 21/Highway 15 \& Highway 15/94 Street Intersection Analysis

| Highway 21/Hig | 15- | ighway | 15/94 | reet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec. Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | Prot |  | Free | Prot |  | Free | Prot |  | Free | Prot |  | Free |
| Lanes | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 740 | 314 | 278 | 98 | 587 | 325 | 688 | 1317 | 85 | 71 | 553 | 234 |
| v/c | 0.9 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.44 | 0.79 | 0.22 | 0.87 | 0.71 | 0.06 | 0.33 | 0.65 | 0.16 |
| Delay(s) | 62.6 | 27.8 | 0.3 | 64.7 | 57.04 | 0.3 | 60.8 | 39.7 | 0.1 | 62.9 | 55.1 | 0.2 |
| LOS | E | C | A | E | E | A | E | D | A | E | E | A |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | \#136.3 | 41.4 | 0 | 22.6 | \#100.6 | 0 | 114 | 121.6 | 0 | 17.6 | 60.3 | 0 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 42.4 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | D |  |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec. Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | Prot |  | Free | Prot |  | Free | Prot |  | Free | Prot |  | Free |
| Lanes | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 335 | 854 | 840 | 347 | 650 | 264 | 581 | 838 | 227 | 421 | 1340 | 529 |
| v/c | 0.84 | 0.96 | 0.56 | 0.81 | 0.7 | 0.15 | 0.91 | 0.5 | 0.15 | 0.79 | 0.89 | 0.35 |
| Delay(s) | 79 | 72.7 | 1.5 | 78.4 | 39.7 | 0.2 | 75.3 | 40.7 | 0.2 | 51.5 | 48.9 | 0.4 |
| LOS | E | E | A | E | D | A | E | D | A | D | D | A |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | \#69.7 | \#165.8 | 0 | 69.1 | 91.5 | 0 | \#112.7 | 80.7 | 0 | 67 | 151 | 0 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 42.7 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | D |  |

Table 4.5 presents the intersection analysis for Highway 21/Highway 15 at Highway 15/94 Street. Based on the estimated long term traffic, this intersection will experience congestion. The overall intersection delay is acceptable, operating at a LOS D during AM and PM peaks, and v/c values for all movements are less than 1. Some of the movements show LOS E (delay 55-80 sec./veh), and the westbound left turn operates at a LOS F (delay > $80 \mathrm{sec} . / v e h$.). Similar to intersections to the south, Highway 21 requires improvements to a 6 lane cross-section with double left turn lanes for all four approaches.

There is no further widening considered due to physical constraints of Highway 15 to the west.

Table 4.6 - Highway 15 \& 101 Street Intersection Analysis

| Highway 15-101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec. Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | Perm |  | Perm | pm+pt |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 46 | 142 | 211 | 149 | 148 | 458 | 262 | 1870 | 147 | 58 | 498 | 186 |
| v/c | 0.48 | 0.5 | 0.15 | 0.34 | 0.25 | 0.32 | 0.65 | 0.63 | 0.15 | 0.32 | 0.19 | 0.21 |
| Delay(s) | 75.4 | 66.4 | 0.2 | 50.3 | 47.9 | 0.6 | 66.1 | 16.3 | 4.4 | 67.2 | 14.7 | 2.5 |
| LOS | E | E | B | D | D | A | E | B | A | E | B | A |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 26.7 | 32.5 | 25.3 | 29.5 | 29.9 | 144.8 | 55.3 | 195.7 | 9.1 | 14.4 | 35.2 | 11.9 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 21.0 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec . Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | Perm |  | Perm | pm+pt |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 34 | 360 | 414 | 191 | 288 | 155 | 413 | 664 | 91 | 443 | 1686 | 128 |
| v/c | 0.26 | 0.75 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.45 | 0.1 | 0.74 | 0.43 | 0.13 | 0.7 | 0.73 | 0.17 |
| Delay(s) | 56.3 | 66.7 | 0.3 | 45.4 | 49.5 | 0.1 | 62.2 | 13.4 | 0.5 | 59.3 | 32.1 | 6.7 |
| LOS | E | E | A | D | D | A | E | B | A | E | C | A |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 18.3 | 64.3 | 61.8 | 34.5 | 54.8 | 0 | m81.8 | 52.2 | m0.0 | 81.1 | 165.3 | 16.5 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 33.9 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |

Table 4.6 presents the intersection analysis for Highway 15 at 101 Street. This intersection will operate satisfactory in the long term providing that the Highway 15 is upgraded to 6 lanes and double left turn lanes are provided as indicated in the table above.

Table 4.7-88 Avenue \& 101 Street Intersection Analysis

| 86 Avenue - 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coordinated/Actuated 70 sec . Cycle |  | uth/Easbound |  |  | th/Westboun |  |  | th/Eastbound |  |  | th/Westbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Through | Lef/ | Through | Through | Lef/ | Through | Through |
| Phase | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | /Right | Through |  | /Right | Through |  | /Right |
| Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 25 | 64 | 88 | 15 | 111 | 53 | 400 | 256 | 15 | 27 | 173 | 70 |
| V/c | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.15 |  | 0.82 | 0.32 |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |  |
| Delay(s) | 17.7 | 16.8 | 5.2 | 19.3 | 13 | 3.1 | 27.8 | 10 | 0 | 6.2 | 6. |  |
| LOS | B | B | A | B | B |  | C | 27 |  | A | A |  |
| $95^{\prime \prime}$ Queue (m) | 7.6 | 14.7 | 0 | 6 | 13. | 3.6 | 68.7 |  |  | 10.4 | 10.4 |  |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 15.3 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated <br> 70 sec. Cycle |  | uth/Easbo |  |  | th/Westbound |  |  | th/Eastbo |  |  | th/Westbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Through | Lefl | Through | Through | Lef/ | Through | Through |
| Phase | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | /Right | Through |  | /Right | Through |  | /Right |
| Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 250 | 160 | 97 | 45 | 107 | 15 | 204 | 167 | 60 | 44 | 350 | 59 |
| v/c | 0.39 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.07 |  | 0.82 | 0.4 |  | 0.46 | 0.46 |  |
| Delay(s) | 8.2 | 6.9 | 2.2 | 11.6 | 9.4 |  | 45.2 | 15.6 |  | 17.8 | 17.8 |  |
| LOS | A | A | A | B | A |  | D | B |  | B | B |  |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue ( m ) | 60.8 | 30.2 | m6.9 | 10 | 9.6 |  | 40 | 27.9 |  | 27.1 | 27.1 |  |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 16.6 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |

Table 4.7 presents the intersection analysis for 88 Avenue at 101 Street. This existing unsignalized intersection will require signals in the future. The TAC warrant indicates a score of 138, further confirming the capacity analysis. The intersection configuration currently constructed, with signals added, would provide good LOS in the long term.

Table 4.8-86 Avenue \& 101 Street Intersection Analysis

| 86 Avenue - 101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coordinated/Actuated 70 sec. Cycle | South/Easbound |  |  | North/Westbound |  |  | North/Eastbound |  |  | South/Westbound |  |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Through | Lef/ | Through | Through | Leff | Through | Through |
| Phase | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | /Right | Through |  | /Right | Through |  | /Right |
| Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 25 | 64 | 88 | 15 | 111 | 53 | 400 | 256 | 15 | 27 | 173 | 70 |
| v/c | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.03 | 0.13 |  | 0.82 | 0.32 |  | 0.19 | 0.19 |  |
| Delay(s) | 15.2 | 14.8 | 3.4 | 19.3 | 13.1 |  | 27.8 | 10 |  | 6.2 | 6.2 |  |
| LOS | B | B | A | B | B |  | C | A |  | A | A |  |
| $95^{\text {n }}$ Queue (m) | 5.8 | 11.9 | 0 | 6 | 13.6 |  | 68.7 | 27.3 |  | 10.4 | 48 | 8.8 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 15.1 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 70 sec . Cycle |  | th/Easbound |  |  | h/Westbou |  |  | th/Eastbo |  |  | th/Westbound | und |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Through | Leff | Through | Through | Lefl | Through | Through |
| Phase | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | /Right | Through |  | /Right | Through |  | /Right |
| Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 250 | 160 | 97 | 45 | 107 | 15 | 204 | 167 | 60 | 44 | 350 | 59 |
| v/c | 0.39 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.07 |  | 0.82 | 0.4 |  | 0.46 | 0.46 |  |
| Delay(s) | 8.5 | 6 | 1.9 | 11.6 | 9.4 |  | 45.2 | 15.6 |  | 17.8 | 17.8 |  |
| LOS | A | A | A | B | A |  | D | B |  | B | B |  |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 60.8 | 30.2 | m6.9 | 10 | 9.6 |  | 40 | 27.9 |  | 27.1 | 27.1 |  |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 16.6 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | B |  |

Table 4.8 presents the intersection analysis for 86 Avenue at 101 Street. The existing 86 Avenue and 101 Street signalized intersection will perform well in the long term with acceptable LOS and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios.

Table 4.9-86 Avenue/Southfort Drive \& 94 Street Intersection Analysis

| 86 Avenue/Sout | Drive | - 94 Stre |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec. Cycle |  | rth/Easbound |  |  | th/Westbo |  |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Through | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | pm+pt |  | /Right | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 354 | 507 | 62 | 18 | 168 | 59 | 54 | 615 | 62 | 101 | 153 | 155 |
| v/c | 0.55 | $0.35$ |  | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.47 | 0.15 | 0.47 | 0.12 | 0.23 |
| Delay(s) | 24.6 | 20.1 |  | 48.6 | 48.5 | 9.4 | 26.9 | 31.8 | 5.2 | 37.1 | 24.6.4.8 | 5.4 |
| LOS | C | C |  | D | D | A | C | C | A | D | C | A |
| $95^{\text {n }}$ Queue (m) | 87.3 | 63.7 |  | 12.5 | 34.3 | 10.8 | 20.1 | 89 | 11.5 | 38.4 | 22.7 | 16.3 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 25.4 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec . Cycle |  | th/Easbound |  |  | th/Westbou |  |  | Northbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Through | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | pm+pt |  | /Right | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 287 | 322 | 63 | 119 | 931 | 217 | 70 | 279 | 41 | 194 | 547 | 390 |
| v/c | 78 - 0.2 | 0.2 |  | 0.32 | 0.68 | 6.9 | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.08 | 0.62 | 0.5 | 0.52 |
| Delay(s) | 37 | 10.1 |  | 33.4 | 38.5 | 6.9 | 43.9 | 35.9 | 2.3 | 42.3 | 36.3 | 7.1 |
| LOS | D | B |  | C | D | A | D | D | A | D | D | A |
| 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 81 | 24.6 |  | 44.2 | 153 | 23.3 | 31.4 | 43.4 | 3 | m46.4 | 52.8 | m26.3 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 28.9 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |

Table 4.9 presents the intersection analysis for 86 Avenue/Southfort Drive at 94 Street. The existing signalized intersection will operate satisfactorily in the long term horizon.

It is assumed that Southfort Drive, currently 2 lane roadway south of 94 Street, will be widened to 4 lanes progressively with the increasing traffic due to development within the Southfort area.

Table 4.10-87 Avenue \& 94 Street Intersection Analysis


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec. Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Through | Left | Through | Through | Left | Through | Through |
| Phase | pm+pt |  | Perm | Perm |  | /Right | Perm |  | /Right | Perm |  | /Right |
| Lanes | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 319 | 10 | 24 | 154 | 30 | 261 | 35 | 681 | 67 | 183 | 954 | 365 |
| V/c | 0.8 | 0.05 |  | 0.76 | 0.78 |  | 0.39 | 0.44 |  | 0.68 | 0.79 |  |
| Delay(s) | 50.9 | 10.2 |  | 78.6 | 38.7 |  | 47.3 | 28 | . 1 | 35.9 | 29.2 |  |
| LOS | D | B |  | E | D |  | D | C |  | D | C |  |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 99.5 | 7.7 |  | 64.2 | 67 |  | m19.9 | 123.8 |  | m31.6 | \#m105.8 |  |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 35.0 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | D |  |

Table 4.10 presents the intersection analysis for 87 Avenue at 94 Street. This intersection provides access to commercial areas north and south of 94 Street. This intersection will fail with its current unsignalized configuration. Signals will be required at this intersection, which result in acceptable levels of service and $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios.

Table 4.11 - Southfort Drive \& Allard Way Intersection Analysis

| Southfort Drive | d Wa |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coordinated/Actuated 70 sec. Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northboun |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Through | Left | Through | Through | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | Perm |  | /Right | Perm |  | /Right | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 68 | 5 | 22 | 54 | 1 | 323 | 110 | 527 | 25 | 77 | 288 | 21 |
| v/c | 0.71 | 0.0 | 09 | 0.23 | 0.7 | 76 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.02 |
| Delay(s) | 59.7 | 10 | . 1 | 23.3 | 21 | 1.7 | 7.9 | 7 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 0.9 |
| LOS | E | B | B | C | C | C | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 20 | 5.5 |  | 13.4 | 37.7 |  | 13.7 | 26.3 | 2.5 | 13.1 | 18.6 | 1.4 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  |  | 12.4 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  | B |  |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 70 sec . Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Through | Left | Through | Through | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | Perm |  | /Right | Perm |  | /Right | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 95 | 20 | 94 | 75 | 5 | 136 | 130 | 439 | 164 | 310 | 1061 | 9 |
| v/c | 0.52 | 0.35 |  | 0.4 | 0.4 |  | 0.39 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.01 |
| Delay(s) | 36.4 | 11.2 |  | 31.5 | 9 |  | 12.4 | 6 | 3.4 | 6.9 | 3.1 | 0 |
| LOS | D | B |  | C | A |  | B | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 23.7 | 13.9 |  | 19.3 | 13.4 |  | 22.9 | 20.3 | 9.8 | 26.4 | 17.5 | 0 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 7.3 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  | A |  |

Table 4.11 presents the intersection analysis for Southfort Drive at Allard Way. The existing Southfort Drive and Allard Way intersection will require signal control to accommodate future traffic. The TAC signal warrant indicates score of 195 in the long term. The intersection is currently constructed to first stage configuration with single through/right and left turn lanes on Southfort Drive would experience significant congestion under long term traffic demand, even if signalized. To provide adequate operation it is assumed that by full development of the Southfort area, Southfort Drive will be already upgraded to 4 lanes; analysis of operations under these conditions are summarized in the above table.

Table 4.12 - Southfort Drive \& Greenview Way North Intersection Analysis

| Southfort Drive - Greenview Way North |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coordinated/Actuated 70 sec. Cycle | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | $\begin{gathered} \text { h Through } \\ \text { /Right } \end{gathered}$ | Left | Through | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Through } \\ \text { /Right } \end{array}$ | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 29 | 8 | 22 | 33 | 9 | 107 | 25 | 533 | 7 | 24 | 267 | 51 |
| v/c | 0.24 | 0.1 | 16 | 0.25 | 0.4 | 46 | 0.03 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.1 | 0.04 |
| Delay(s) | 38 | 20 | . 1 | 31.9 | 13 | 3 3 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 0 | 2.9 | 2.6 | 1.5 |
| LOS | D | C | C | C | B | B | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | m10.1 | m7 | 7.1 | 12 | 14 | . 4 | m1.4 | 9.7 | m0 | 4.3 | 17.6 | 4.7 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 5.5 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |
| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coordinated/Actuated 70 sec. Cycle | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | $\begin{gathered} \text { h } \\ \hline \text { Through } \\ \text { /Right } \end{gathered}$ | Left | Through | $\begin{gathered} \text { h Through } \\ \text { /Right } \end{gathered}$ | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  | Perm |  | Perm | Perm |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 168 | 58 | 50 | 79 | 33 | 101 | 38 | 438 | 74 | 161 | 938 | 107 |
| v/c | 0.45 | 0.35 |  | 0.4 | 0.3 | 39 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Delay(s) | 30.2 | 18.4 |  | 32.2 | 12 | . 6 | 6.3 | 5.6 | 3 | 3 | 2.9 | 0.3 |
| LOS | C | B |  | C | B | B | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 18.5 | 19 |  | 20.9 | 17 | 7 | m6.3 | 20.9 | 6.4 | 2.1 | 12 | 0.1 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 7.8 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |

Table 4.12 presents the intersection analysis for Southfort Drive at Greenview Way North. This intersection will require signals, and Southfort Drive will require widening to 4 lanes to accommodate the long term traffic. The TAC signal warrant score indicates value 141. As shown in the table above, the upgraded intersection will provide very good level of service at full development of the Southfort area.

Table 4.13 - Southfort Drive \& 84 Street Intersection Analysis

| Southfort Drive | treet |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coordinated/Actuated 70 sec. Cycle |  |  |  | bound | South | und |
| Movement | Left | Right | Left | Through | Through | Right |
| Phase | Prot | Free | Perm |  |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 64 | 65 | 184 | 419 | 297 | 56 |
| v/c | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.34 | 0.04 |
| Delay(s) | 23.1 | 11.2 | 13.1 | 13.2 | 9.9 | 0.1 |
| LOS | C | B | B | B | A | A |
| $95^{\text {T }}$ Queue ( m ) | 17.8 | 11.1 | 29.5 | 58.4 | 49 | 0 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 12.1 | Intersection LOS B |  |  |


| Southfort Drive - 84 Street |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coordinated/Actuated 70 sec . Cycle |  |  | North | bound | South | ound |
| Movement | Left | Right | Left | Through | Through | Right |
| Phase | Prot | Free | Perm |  |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 187 | 198 | 161 | 355 | 748 | 268 |
| v/c | 0.43 | 0.37 | 0.61 | 0.33 | 0.7 | 0.18 |
| Delay(s) | 25.4 | 5.8 | 22.0 | 8.1 | 14.9 | 0.2 |
| LOS | C | A | C | A | B | A |
| 95" Queue (m) | 38.8 | 14.4 | \#43.1 | 35.5 | 124 | 0 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 12.3 | Intersection LOS B |  |  |

Table 4.13 presents the intersection analysis for Southfort Drive at 84 Street. Signals will be required at this intersection in the long term. With the existing two lanes on Southfort Drive, the TAC signal warrant score is expected to be 140 with long term traffic volumes; with a future four lane cross-section on Southfort Drive, the TAC signal warrant score is 126 with long term traffic.

The results presented in the above table show the intersection analyzed with signal control utilizing a possible first stage intersection configuration, which includes a single lane northbound and southbound through movements and turning lanes for the three approaches.

South of 84 Street, Southfort Drive traffic volumes drop significantly and could be accommodated with a two lane roadway in the long term rather than four lanes which will be required north of 84 Street.

When the existing unsignalized intersection operates at poor service levels, two options should be considered: signal control or a roundabout. Roundabouts, especially singe lane, are considered superior to signals. They are safer for vehicles, cyclist, and pedestrians; they are easy to navigate and provide better operation for all movements during off peak hours when the vehicles do not need to stop at the intersection.

Roundabouts are safer than comparable signalized intersections in part because the roundabout geometry acts as calming feature and significantly reduces severity of collisions.

Table 4.14 - Southfort Drive \& Greenfield Way South Intersection Analysis

| Southfort Drive - Greenfiled Way South |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stop Controlled | Westbound | Northbound | Southbound |  |  |  |
| Movement | Left | Right | Through | Right |  |  |
| Left | Through |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 105 | 172 | 431 | 36 |  |  |
| 47 | 315 |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c | 0.38 | 0.3 | 0.27 | 0.02 |  |  |
| Delay(s) | 24.4 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 0 |  |  |
| 0.6 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | C | B | A | A |  |  |
| $95^{\text {II }}$ Queue (m) | 13.3 | 10 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) | 4.8 | A | Intersection LOS A |  |  |  |


| Southfort Drive - Greenfiled Way South |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stop Controlled | Westbound |  | Northbound |  | Southbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Right | Through | Right | Left |  |
| Through |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Volume (vph) | 63 | 111 | 408 | 96 | 202 |  |
| v/c | 0.32 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.19 |  |
| Delay(s) | 32.1 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 0 | 9.3 |  |
| LOS | D | B | A | A | A |  |
| $95^{\text {II }}$ Queue (m) | 10.5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | A |  |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) | 3.2 | Intersection LOS A |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.14 presents the intersection analysis for Southfort Drive at Greenfield Way South. The existing Southfort Drive is currently a two lane road with turning lanes at the Greenfield Way intersection. Greenfield Way is also a two lane roadway and at the approach to Southfort Drive has one shared lane to accommodate left and right turning movement.

The existing intersection in the long term does not warrant signals with a TAC warrant score of 95 but the Greenfield approach would experience long delays especially during pm peak. The delays may be reduced with an additional lane provided to separately accommodate the right and left turn movements.

The analysis in the above table show results assuming that right and left turn lanes are provided, and indicate that intersection would operate satisfactorily in the long term. The delays experienced by left turning vehicles would likely result in drivers choosing either a right turn at the intersection to travel to the south via 84 Street or use the nearby signalized intersection at Greenfield Way North.

Table 4.15 - Southfort Drive \& Southridge Boulevard Intersection Analysis (Stop Control)


## PM Peak

| Stop Controlled | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | NorthboundLeff/Through/RightStop |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | Left | Through/Right Free |  | Left | Through/Right Free |  | Lef/Through/Right Stop |  |  | Left | Through/Right Stop |  |
| Control | Perm |  |  | Perm |  |  | Stop |  |  |
| Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Volume (vph) | 37 | 387 | 50 | 1 | 119 | 91 | 23 | 20 | 2 | 126 | 44 | 99 |
| v/c | 0.028 |  |  | 0.001 |  |  |  | 0.147 |  | 0.365 |  |  |
| Delay(s) | 7.75 |  |  | 8.26 |  |  |  | 18.8 |  | 21.3 |  |  |
| LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  |  | C |  | C |  |  |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 0.9 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 13.9 |  |  |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 5.7 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  | A |  |

Table 4.15 presents the intersection analysis for Southfort Drive at Southridge Boulevard. The TAC signal warrant analysis indicates that intersection does not require signals in the long term (score of 57), assuming an undivided 2 lane standard of approaching roads. The analysis shows that turn lanes are required and some movements operate at LOS C and D.

An alternative intersection control, a roundabout, was also considered at this location. Table 4.16 presents the intersection analysis for Southfort Drive at Southridge Boulevard with a roundabout control.

Table 4.16 - Southfort Drive \& Southridge Boulevard Intersection Analysis (Roundabout)

## Southfort Drive and Southridge Boulevard




Both intersection controls provide very good traffic operations. The roundabout control would require fewer approach lanes to the intersection, and provides a more consistent level of service for all approaches.

The internal roadways south and east of Southfort Drive were analyzed assuming two lane roadways (collector standard) with widening at the intersections to provide left turning lanes. The analysis indicate that the LOS at the intersections during AM and PM peaks are LOS A or B (average delays less than 15 sec./veh.). Some selected left turn movements from minor roads would operate at LOS D (average delays no more than 35 sec./veh.), which is considered acceptable in the long term. None of the internal intersections reach the warrant for signals.

### 4.1.2 Southfort 50\% Development Level

For the scenario with $50 \%$ development of the Southfort ASP, Highway 21 and Highway 15 intersections initially were analyzed assuming the existing four lane roadway. The analysis indicated that the intersections providing access to the Southfort area would fail during peak hours with only four lanes on the highway. Therefore, all analysis relating to the $50 \%$ Southfort development scenario assumes six through lanes on the Highway 15 and Highway 21 corridor adjacent to the Southfort area.

Table 4.17 - Highway 21 \& Wilshire Blvd/Southridge Blvd Intersection Analysis, 50\% Development
Highway 21 - Wilshire Blvd./Southridge Blvd.

| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec . Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | pm+pt |  | Free | pm+pt |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 526 | 13 | 526 | 346 | 13 | 75 | 72 | 1202 | 57 | 84 | 1192 | 125 |
| v/c | 0.88 | 0.03 | 0.2 | 0.62 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.06 | 0.42 | 0.4 | 0.13 |
| Delay(s) | 65.8 | 45.9 | 0.3 | 53.3 | 52.3 | 0.1 | 68.7 | 18.6 | 0.1 | 58.8 | 19.9 | 7.8 |
| LOS | E | D | A | D | D | A | E | B | A | E | B | A |
| $95^{\text {n }}$ Queue (m) | 69.9 | 4.2 | 0 | 42.9 | 4.6 | 0 | 18.9 | 116.2 | 0 | M21.4 | 97 | 15.1 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 27.7 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec . Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | pm+pt |  | Free | pm+pt |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 308 | 23 | 192 | 197 | 21 | 309 | 212 | 1701 | 265 | 295 | 1412 | 473 |
| v/c | 0.77 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.53 | 0.06 | 0.2 | 0.67 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.74 | 0.47 | 0.43 |
| Delay(s) | 66.4 | 51.8 | 0.2 | 56 | 53.2 | 0.3 | 72.1 | 22.3 | 7.5 | 51.8 | 27.9 | 12.7 |
| LOS | E | D | A | E | D | A | E | C | A | D | C | B |
| 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 46.4 | 6.7 | 0 | 30.8 | 6.4 | 57.2 | 44.7 | 181 | 36.1 | m58.4 | 130.9 | 81.1 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 27.7 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |

Table 4.17 presents the intersection analysis for Highway 21 at Wilshire Blvd/Southridge Blvd at 50\% Southfort development. This intersection will operate at an overall acceptable LOS with the lane configuration identified in the table.

Table 4.18 - Highway 21 \& Westpark Blvd/Southfort Blvd Intersection Analysis, 50\% Development
Highway 21 - Westpark Blvd./Southfort Blvd.

| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec. Cycle | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | pm+pt |  | Perm | pm+pt |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 144 | 151 | 71 | 271 | 107 | 203 | 58 | 1614 | 131 | 90 | 1077 | 130 |
| v/c | 0.65 | 0.41 | 0.23 | 0.69 | 0.6 | 0.68 | 0.45 | 0.54 | 0.13 | 0.52 | 0.36 | 0.14 |
| Delay(s) | 62.9 | 61 | 1.8 | 60.4 | 73.4 | 26.3 | 64.9 | 16.8 | 4.9 | 66.0 | 14.9 | 4.4 |
| LOS | E | E | A | E | E | C | E | B | A | E | B | A |
| $95^{\text {n }}$ Queue (m) | 55.3 | 32.2 | 0 | 46.9 | 48.6 | 36.8 | m26.8 | 142.5 | m14.5 | 25.4 | 56.7 | 4.4 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 25.4 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec . Cycle |  | Eastbound |  |  | Nestbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | pm+pt |  | Perm | pm+pt |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 79 | 118 | 48 | 218 | 289 | 140 | 188 | 1858 | 272 | 303 | 1918 | 384 |
| v/c | 0.49 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.37 | 0.84 | 0.34 | 0.81 | 0.79 | 0.34 | 0.81 | 0.85 | 0.48 |
| Delay(s) | 48.2 | 48.1 | 0.6 | 41.2 | 74.6 | 6.8 | 79.8 | 42 | 20.5 | 65.8 | 34 | 14.5 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | E | A | E | D | C | E | C | B |
| 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 29.4 | 23.6 | 0 | 34.4 | 110 | 13.6 | \#90.0 | 222.3 | m78.3 | m50.4 | \#177.8 | m40.8 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 39.6 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | D |  |

Table 4.18 presents the intersection analysis for Highway 21 at Westpark Blvd/Southfort Blvd at 50\% Southfort development. The intersection will operate at an overall acceptable LOS.

Table 4.19 - Highway 21 \& 84 Street Intersection Analysis, 50\% Development

Highway 21-84 Street

| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec . Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | pm+pt |  | Free | Perm |  | Free | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 184 | 72 | 300 | 83 | 98 | 109 | 119 | 1847 | 74 | 33 | 927 | 32 |
| v/c | 0.68 | 0.27 | 0.7 | 0.13 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.5 | 0.61 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.34 | 0.04 |
| Delay(s) | 58.8 | 55.3 | 22.1 | 41.1 | 66.5 | 11.8 | 78.1 | 12.4 | 1.2 | 50.3 | 23.5 | 3.7 |
| LOS | E | E | C | D | E | B | E | B | A | E | C | A |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 62 | 32 | 42.1 | 11.9 | 42.1 | 14.4 | m28.4 | 83.7 | m2.6 | 20.9 | 81.7 | 3.8 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 22.8 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec. Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | pm+pt |  | Free | Perm |  | Free | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 136 | 169 | 218 | 138 | 276 | 86 | 345 | 1704 | 178 | 109 | 2256 | 289 |
| v/c | 0.76 | 0.56 | 0.5 | 0.28 | 0.85 | 0.21 | 0.98 | 0.66 | 0.21 | 0.53 | 0.95 | 0.36 |
| Delay(s) | 66.7 | 60.2 | 10.2 | 39.1 | 78.7 | 1.2 | 79.2 | 7.8 | 0.6 | 88.6 | 23.9 | 7.6 |
| LOS | E | E | B | D | E | A | E | A | A | F | C | A |
| 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | \#54.0 | 67.9 | 22.8 | 23.6 | \#111.0 | 0 | m\#79.8 | 37.5 | m0.2 | m20.0 | \#268.2 | m30.6 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 26.3 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |

Table 4.19 presents the intersection analysis for Highway 21 at 84 Street at 50\% Southfort development. Overall the intersection would provide acceptable operations with some movements experiencing longer delays during pm peak.

Considering that this analysis is at a planning stage, actual volumes may be slightly different and signals timing and coordination could be adjusted and improved during implementation and service to reflect actual traffic conditions.

Table 4.20 - Highway 21 \& Future Commercial Access Intersection Analysis, 50\% Development

| Highway 21 - F | Com | rcia | ess |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec . Cycle |  | asbo |  |  | estbo |  |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Thro | Right | Left |  | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | Per |  |  | Per |  |  | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 34 | 6 | 31 | 102 | 9 | 16 | 46 | 2039 | 69 | 30 | 830 | 34 |
| v/c | 0.06 |  |  | 0.18 |  |  | 0.25 | 0.59 | 0.06 | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.03 |
| Delay(s) | 43.5 |  |  | 45.3 |  |  | 58.2 | 10.8 | 3.4 | 31 | 9.2 | 0.5 |
| LOS | D |  |  | D |  |  | E | B | A | C | A | A |
| 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 9 | 11.2 |  | 20.8 | 10.2 |  | m11.2 | 113.1 | m7.6 | 11.2 | 30.3 | 0.2 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 12.6 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  | B |  |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec. Cycle |  | asbou |  |  | estbo |  |  | orthbound |  |  | Southbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Thro | Right | Left |  | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | Per |  |  | Per |  |  | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 147 | 29 | 138 | 250 | 25 | 118 | 150 | 1486 | 290 | 158 | 2266 | 101 |
| v/c | 0.45 | 0.59 |  | 0.85 | 0.53 |  | 0.3 | 0.54 | 0.31 | 0.74 | 0.85 | 0.12 |
| Delay(s) | 50.3 | 21.6 |  | 74.6 | 21.1 |  | 66.4 | 33.3 | 17.5 | 52.9 | 47.4 | 14.1 |
| LOS | D | C |  | E | C |  | E | C | B | D | D | B |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 24.3 | 27.4 |  | 39.1 |  |  | m27.6 | 141.7 | m61.1 | m56.8 | m\#298.0 | m18.5 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  |  | 41.6 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  | D |  |

Table 4.20 presents the intersection analysis for Highway 21 at the future commercial access at 50\% Southfort development. The intersection operates at an overall acceptable LOS, with some longer delays occurring for some movements.

Table 4.21 - Highway 21/Highway 15 \& Highway 15/94 Street Intersection Analysis, 50\% Development

## Highway 21/Highway 15 - Highway 15/94 Street

| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec. Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | pm+pt |  | Free | pm+pt |  | Free | Prot |  | Free | Prot |  | Free |
| Lanes | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 33 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 740 | 276 | 262 | 101 | 401 | 195 | 660 | 1317 | 85 | 53 | 554 | 238 |
| v/c | 0.91 | 0.25 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.61 | 0.13 | 0.91 | 0.65 | 0.13 | 0.32 | 0.48 | 0.16 |
| Delay(s) | 48 | 35.9 | 0.3 | 27.8 | 56.2 | 0.2 | 59.3 | 41.4 | 3.9 | 69.7 | 47.9 | 0.2 |
| LOS | D | D | A | C | E | A | E | D | A | E | D | A |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | \#105.8 | 43.2 | 0 | 15.1 | 74.3 | 0 | \#130.3 | 143.1 | M7.6 | 15.2 | 64.2 | 0 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 39.9 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | D |  |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec . Cycle |  | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | pm+pt |  | Free | pm+pt |  | Free | Prot |  | Free | Prot |  | Free |
| Lanes | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 33 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 333 | 650 | 836 | 380 | 577 | 229 | 576 | 877 | 298 | 351 | 1339 | 529 |
| v/c | 0.7 | 0.87 | 0.56 | 0.86 | 0.74 | 0.2 | 0.91 | 0.5 | 0.43 | 0.75 | 0.88 | 0.35 |
| Delay(s) | 40.8 | 65.5 | 1.5 | 51 | 45.3 | 0.2 | 70.4 | 40.9 | 21.2 | 82.9 | 32.8 | 0.5 |
| LOS | D | E | A | D | D | A | E | D | C | E | C | A |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 47.3 | \#126.4 | 0 | m\#62.8 | m93.4 | m0 | \#115.6 | 113.2 | 84.9 | 66.3 | 147 | 0 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 37.1 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | D |  |

Table 4.21 presents the intersection analysis for Highway 21/Highway 15 at Highway 15/94 Street at 50\% Southfort Development. Overall the intersection operates within acceptable LOS but there will be congestion during peak hours.

Table 4.22 - Highway 15 \& 101 Street Intersection Analysis, 50\% Development

| Highway 15-101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec . Cycle |  | uth/Easbound |  |  | th/Westbound |  |  | orthbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Rtth | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | Perm |  | Perm | pm+pt |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 46 | 131 | 209 | 159 | 130 | 407 | 221 | 1770 | 150 | 49 | 478 | 166 |
| v/c | 0.49 | 0.33 | 0.59 | 0.33 | 0.55 |  | 0.58 | 0.65 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.2 | 0.23 |
| Delay(s) | 64.3 | 47.1 | 13.2 | 35.9 | 13.9 |  | 52.9 | 19.6 | 4.7 | 52.4 | 17.2 | 3.5 |
| LOS | E | D | B | D | B |  | D | B | A | D | B | A |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 22.7 | 24.6 | 22 | 24.6 | 34.8 |  | 38.8 | 136 | 14.8 | 12.3 | 33.6 | 13.1 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 21.2 |  |  | Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  | C |  |


| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coordinated/Actuated 140 sec . Cycle |  | th/Easbound |  |  | th/Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | outhbound |  |
| Movement | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Rtth | Left | Through | Right | Left | Through | Right |
| Phase | Perm |  | Perm | pm+pt |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm | Prot |  | Perm |
| Lanes | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Volume (vph) | 34 | 320 | 408 | 193 | 258 | 136 | 406 | 668 | 94 | 380 | 1618 | 128 |
| v/c | 0.23 | 0.74 | 0.81 | 0.48 | 0.45 |  | 0.82 | 0.57 | 0.21 | 0.31 | 0.73 | 0.17 |
| Delay(s) | 52.2 | 65.7 | 24.6 | 42.5 | 33.9 |  | 79.2 | 39.9 | m17.4 | 33 | 34.4 | 6 |
| LOS | D | E | C | D | C |  | E | D | A | C | C | A |
| $95^{\text {th }}$ Queue (m) | 18.4 | 64.4 | 61.5 | m28.7 | 47 |  | 80.4 | 76.2 | m17.4 | 56.9 | 174.9 | 15.2 |
| Intersection Average Delay(s) |  |  | 39.3 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS |  | LOS | D |  |

Table 4.22 presents the intersection analysis for Highway 15 at 101 Street at 50\% Southfort development. This intersection will operate satisfactorily within the $50 \%$ development level horizon.

Traffic estimates at the $50 \%$ development level indicate volumes that are lower than at the full development level, so intersections along Southfort Drive should operate satisfactory. The improvement implementation would be dependent on actual development progress within the Southfort area.

### 4.2 Road Standards

The projected daily traffic volumes are illustrated in Exhibits 3.9 (full development) and Exhibit 3.10 (50\% development).

The land use concept for the Southfort ASP indicates Southridge Boulevard, Southfort Boulevard, 84 Street, 94 Street, and Southfort Drive with arterial standard road designation and typical four lane crosssections.

Typically, roads with volumes less than 8,000 vehicle per day in residential areas may be accommodated with a collector roadway standard. Based on the traffic estimates, not all the roads designated as arterials in the ASP reach arterial roadway volumes. This applies to 94 Street, south of the Sienna neighborhood and the Southridge Boulevard extension east of Southfort Drive.

It is proposed to designate these roadways as collectors, with road width of 11.5 m (lip to lip of gutter) with widening at intersections to accommodate turning movements as appropriate.

It is estimated that the south section of the Southfort Drive, south of Southfort Boulevard, will experience daily volumes around 4,000 vehicles at full Southfort development. This section could be accommodated with a two lane roadway (the arterial four lane road is not required). However, the first stage of Southfort Drive (two lanes of the ultimate four lanes) were constructed in 2015. Considering that the first two lanes are considered a temporary measure, the City may wish to have Southfort Drive a four-lane arterial for its entire length for consistency along the corridor.

Southfort Drive terminates at Southridge Boulevard and the south leg of the intersection will provide access to high density development.

As was indicated, there would be a capacity constraint at the Highway 21 and Southridge Boulevard intersection at full development of Southfort. This necessitates an additional connection to Highway 21 to the south. The connection is shown on the exhibits, for which a location is approximate and should be determined in conjunction with development plans for the area south of Southfort. Based on the current traffic assessment the connection to the south could be accommodated with a collector road standard.

### 4.2.1 Collector Road Cross-Section

Sections of 94 Street and Southridge Boulevard which are to be constructed using collector standard are 11.5 m wide and due to a lack of active or passive traffic calming, these roads may inadvertently encourage speeding - especially that there are no front facing lots and no demand for parking along these corridors. A cross-section illustrated in Exhibit 3.11 that shows elements that can be implemented within the paved width which would include two opposing 3.2 m wide travel lanes separated with a 1.0 m wide median. The remaining width on both sides would be designated to cyclists. The 1.8 m wide cycling lane would have a 0.5 m wide buffer (two parallel lines on pavement) to provide extra protection to cyclists. This cross-section would visually narrow the roadway and influence the drivers to travel at slower speeds, while providing buffered cycling lanes. The proposed cross-section typical complete-street (multi-modal) oriented context sensitive street design, which is very appropriate in residential and parks area.

### 4.3 Intersection Treatment Options

Traffic and signal warrant analysis indicate which intersections in the Southfort area would require upgraded intersection control. The Southfort Drive intersections at 84 Street, Southfort Boulevard, and Southridge Boulevard will operate satisfactory during peak hours with a stop control at full development of the Southfort area. The stop controlled movements will experience acceptable (less than $35 \mathrm{sec} . / \mathrm{veh}$.$) -$ LOS D delays. However, if there are public complaints regarding delays at these intersections, a roundabout option should be considered to improve these intersections (rather than unwarranted signals).

Estimated traffic at the above noted intersections can be well accommodated with single lane roundabouts. The roundabouts would provide superior operation for all movements. Roundabouts operate at slower speeds, provide traffic calming for the road network, and are safer than stop controlled or signalized intersections.

If a number of roundabouts were introduced in the Southfort area, the overall network would be safer for all users - drivers, cyclists, and pedestrians - because drivers would have to slow down to negotiate the geometry at the intersections.
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Benefits of roundabouts as compared to traffic signals or stop control:

- Improves traffic flow and safety
- Traffic moves through intersection at reduced speeds
- There are fewer conflicts points between vehicles and pedestrians
- Reduces or eliminates head-on high speed and right angle collisions
- Vehicles are not forced to stop, so traffic flows continuously
- Improves the character of the roadway

Benefits of Roundabouts versus Traffic Signals:

- Potentially roadway right-of-way width may be reduced due to narrower median and fewer approach lanes
- Lower operational and maintenance costs
- Continues to function normally if damaged or during a power failure
- Signalization will not be required in long term
- Cost of construction is similar

Research indicates that due to a reduction in operating speed and conflicts, roundabouts are safer as compared to signals and stop control and experience a:

- $90 \%$ reduction in fatalities
- $75 \%$ reduction in injuries
- $37 \%$ reduction in total number of collisions
- $40 \%$ reduction in pedestrian collisions

The above safety statistics are based on "Safety Effect of Roundabout Conversions in the United States: Empirical Bayes Observational Before-After Study." Transportation Research Record No. 1751, Transportation Research Board, National Academy of Sciences (NAS), Washington, D.C. 2001.

Exhibit 3.12 indicates the proposed road network and intersection traffic controls at full development of the Southfort area. Existing and future signals shown on the exhibit are required based on traffic demand. Locations of potential and recommended roundabout locations are also shown on map.

Roundabout intersections are good solutions for the Southfort area because the main roads, which include 94 Street and Southridge Boulevard, are adjacent to residential developments and parks. The roundabouts would promote slower speeds and would create friendlier environment for pedestrians and cyclists. The roundabouts will accommodate long term traffic for all movements without the need for signals and vehicles would experience less delays than at signals.

The proposed roundabouts would be designed to slow down traffic so the approaching and circulating traffic speeds are similar. The central island would have an apron to accommodate large trucks. The geometry of roundabout would accommodate cars, transit, school busses, and fire trucks within the paved roadway without using the apron.

The proposed roundabouts would be single lane roundabouts with outside diameter 40-45m. The circulating speed of the roundabout would be approximately $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and have similar entrance and exit speeds. The low speeds and the geometry make the roundabouts safer and easy to navigate. The

roundabouts would have splitter islands on the approaches which would provide safe and easy pedestrian crossing.

Based on the foregoing traffic projections and analysis, during further development of the Southfort area and road construction, the roundabout intersection control is proposed to provide a friendly and lower speed environment for all users in this residential neighborhood.

Some roundabouts identified on Southfort Drive in Exhibit 3.12 are shown as potential. The signal warrants are not met for those intersections but minor movements may experience somewhat longer delays, which may be perceived as unacceptable by local residences. In this case roundabout control should be an option considered rather than signals.

### 4.4 Public Transportation and Pedestrian/Cyclist Network

### 4.4.1 Public Transportation

Currently public transportation doesn't have any significant share of the travel market in the City of Fort Saskatchewan. If in the future there is a demand for public transportation in the Southfort ASP, the City should review the arterial, collector, and a walkway/multi-use trail system to provide a desired maximum 400 m walking distance between any residence and a potential bus stop located on collector or arterial roadways.

### 4.4.2 Pedestrian/Cyclist Network

Active transportation is considered a high priority and effective pedestrian linkages between residential, commercial and institutional area are considered essential. Based on the Southfort ASP, a series of multiuse trail linkages along the highway corridor and through greenbelts connects the Southfort area with surrounding communities. The Recreational, Culture, and Parks Facilities Master Plan defines regional, primary, and secondary trails and should be used as a guide in further development of the Southfort area to provide an active transportation network.

If the proposed collector cross-section is adopted, the trail system should incorporate the proposed bike lanes into the system.

### 5.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on the analysis described, we have concluded the following:

1. Highway 21 and Highway 15 will require widening to 6 basic lanes within the $50 \%$ development level horizon to provide satisfactory operations at intersections which provide access to Southfort area. Traffic volumes and operations at the intersections should be monitored to ensure optimal timing of improvements.
2. The Southfort Drive arterial roadway should terminate at Southridge Boulevard.
3. With full development of the Southfort ASP, an additional connection to Highway 21, south of Southridge Boulevard will be required to accommodate traffic travelling to/from Edmonton and Strathcona via Highway 21. The location and the alignment of the Highway 21 connection should be incorporated into development plans for the area south of Southfort.
4. Southfort Drive will require four lanes from Southfort Boulevard to 94 Street to accommodate 50\% development and full development levels.
5. Not all the roads designated as arterials in the ASP reach arterial roadway volumes, therefore it is proposed to construct collector standard roadway on 94 Street south of the Sienna neighbourhood, and Southridge Boulevard east of Southfort Drive, rather than a conventional divided arterial.
A context sensitive cross-section is suggested to influence speeds on those roads, while providing buffered bike lanes and encouraging active transportation in the area.
6. To build on the multi-modal alternative approach, a number of single lane roundabouts are proposed which will provide superior traffic control at the intersections as well as act as traffic calming feature desired in residential and parks environments.
7. The Southfort Drive intersections at Southfort Boulevard and Southridge Boulevard do not reach the warrant for signals but may experience somewhat longer delays for minor turning movements. The intersections could be converted to single lane roundabouts to provide continuous flow, as well as safer operations for all movements and users.

## Appendix A

Existing Traffic (2013)
Estimated and Balanced - Synchro View



## Appendix B

Trip Generations Rates<br>Used in Edmonton Capital Regions

## 2013 RECOMMENDED TRIP GENERATION RATES <br> RESIDENTIAL LAND USES

| Land Use | Time Period | Rate | In/ Out Split | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Low Density Residential | AM Peak Hour | 0.69 trips/ du | 19\% 81\% | Measured |
|  | PM Peak Hour | 0.79 trips/ du | 67\% 33\% | Measured |
|  | Daily | 7.92 trips/ du | 50\% 50\% | Measured |
| RF5 - Row Housing | AM Peak Hour | 0.46 trips/ du | 21\% 79\% | ITE LUC 221 |
|  | PM Peak Hour | 0.58 trips/ du | 65\% 35\% | ITE LUC 221 |
|  | Daily | 6.59 trips/ du | 50\% 50\% | ITE LUC 221 |
| RA7 \& RA8 - Apartment Housing | AM Peak Hour | 0.34 trips/ du | 17\% 83\% | Measured |
|  | PM Peak Hour | 0.40 trips/ du | 63\% 37\% | Measured |
|  | Daily | 5.81 trips/ du | 50\% 50\% | ITE LUC 230 |
| Non- specific Medium Density Residential | AM Peak Hour | 0.44 trips/ du | 17\% 83\% | ITE LUC 230 |
|  | PM Peak Hour | 0.62 trips/ du | 65\% 35\% | ITE LUC 220 |
|  | Daily | 5.81 trips/ du | 50\% 50\% | ITE LUC 230 |

The low density residential rates summarized above should be used as base rates, which may be adjusted to better reflect the specific scenario being analyzed including the potential changes in traffic generation during the life cycle of neighbourhoods and potential changes in private vehicle usage with global improvements to transit and active modes infrastructure.

## COMMERICAL LAND USES

| Land Use | Time Period | Rate | In/ Out Split | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CNC Sites $22,000 \mathrm{SF}$ to $50,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | AM Peak Hour | 5.62 trips/ 1,000 SF | 55\% 45\% | CNC Weighted Avg. |
|  | PM Peak Hour | $\begin{gathered} Y=[425.54 \operatorname{Ln}(x)-1140.3] /(x) \\ \operatorname{trips} / 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \end{gathered}$ | 48\% 52\% | CNC \& CSC Fitted Curve |
| CSC Sites 50,000 SF to $108,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | AM Peak Hour | 4.02 trips/ 1,000 SF | 53\% 47\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { CSC Weighted Avg. } \\ >50,000 \mathrm{SF} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | PM Peak Hour | $\begin{gathered} Y=[425.54 \operatorname{Ln}(x)-1140.3] /(x) \\ \operatorname{trips} / 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \end{gathered}$ | 48\% 52\% | CNC \& CSC Fitted Curve |
|  | Saturday Peak Hour | $\begin{gathered} Y=\exp [0.65 * \operatorname{Ln}(x)+3.76] /(x) \\ \operatorname{trips} / 1,000 S F \end{gathered}$ | 50\% 50\% | ITE Fitted Curve |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Commercial Sites } \\ & \quad<22,000 \mathrm{SF} \\ & \text { and }>108,000 \mathrm{SF} \end{aligned}$ | AM Peak Hour | $\begin{gathered} Y=\exp [0.59 * \operatorname{Ln}(x)+2.32] /(x) \\ \operatorname{trips} / 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \end{gathered}$ | 67\% 33\% | ITE Fitted Curve |
|  | PM Peak Hour | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Y}=\exp [0.67 * \operatorname{Ln}(x)+3.37] /(x) \\ \text { trips } / 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \end{gathered}$ | 50\% 50\% |  |
|  | Saturday Peak Hour | $\begin{gathered} Y=\exp [0.65 * \operatorname{Ln}(x)+3.76] /(x) \\ \operatorname{trips} / 1,000 \mathrm{SF} \end{gathered}$ | 51\% 49\% |  |

## 2013 RECOMMENDED TRIP GENERATION RATES <br> COMMERICAL LAND USES (con't)

| Land Use | Time Period | Rate | In/ Out Split | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gas Bar with Convenience Store | AM Peak Hour | 12.36 trips/ FP | 51\% 49\% | Weighted Avg. |
|  | PM Peak Hour | 17.23 trips/ FP | 49\% 51\% |  |
| Gas Bar with Convenience Store \& Tim Hortons | AM Peak Hour | 51.43 trips/ 1,000 SF | 51\% 49\% | Weighted Avg. |
|  | PM Peak Hour | 27.10 trips/ 1,000 SF | 48\% 52\% |  |
| Bank with Drive- Through | AM Peak Hour | 5.25 trips/ 1,000 SF | 62\% 38\% | Weighted Avg. |
|  | PM Peak Hour | 10.68 trips/ 1,000 SF | 46\% 54\% |  |
| Fast Food with Drive- Through | AM Peak Hour | 20.27 trips/ 1,000 SF | 51\% 49\% | Weighted Avg. |
|  | PM Peak Hour | 13.89 trips/ 1,000 SF | 45\% 55\% |  |
| Tim Hortons | AM Peak Hour | 137.64 trips/ 1,000 SF | 49\% 51\% | Weighted Avg. |
|  | PM Peak Hour | 51.86 trips/ 1,000 SF | 50\% 50\% |  |

The Commercial trip generation rates summarized in these tables meet the standards for the establishment of trip generation rates as outlined in ITE Trip Generation, and are recommended for use in the Edmonton context.

Questions or comments on the rates or their application should be directed to the City of Edmonton's Transportation Planning Branch.

## Appendix C

## Traffic Operation Reports

Synchro Reports at Southfort - Full Development
Synchro Reports at Southfort - 50\% Development

Al-Terra

## Synchro Reports at Southfort

Full Development

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% 1 | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F | 9 | ¢4¢ | 7 | M ${ }^{1 / 2}$ | ¢4¢ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 518 | 28 | 296 | 509 | 34 | 134 | 72 | 1180 | 119 | 160 | 1073 | 122 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 518 | 28 | 296 | 509 | 34 | 134 | 72 | 1180 | 119 | 160 | 1073 | 122 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 80.0 |  | 60.0 | 79.9 |  | 79.9 | 79.9 |  | 79.9 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3283 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3283 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 312 |  |  | 141 |  |  | 115 |  |  | 128 |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 69 | 69 | 69 |  | 69 |  |  |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 258.4 |  | 273.8 | 345.0 |  | 780.4 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 13.5 |  | 14.3 | 18.0 |  | 40.7 |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 545 | 29 | 312 | 536 | 36 | 141 | 76 | 1242 | 125 | 168 | 1129 | 128 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |

Switch Phase

| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 13.5 | 33.0 | 33.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 36.0 | 38.0 | 35.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 50.3 | 50.3 | 16.7 | 54.0 | 54.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $25.7 \%$ | $27.1 \%$ | $25.0 \%$ | $26.4 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ | $35.9 \%$ | $35.9 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |

Lead-Lag Optimize?

| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | -Max | -Max | None | -Max | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 27.8 | 14.6 | 140.0 | 30.6 | 14.2 | 140.0 | 8.4 | 69.4 | 69.4 | 11.8 | 72.8 | 72.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.20 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 0.22 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.08 | 0.52 | 0.52 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.84 | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.75 | 0.10 | 0.09 | 0.39 | 0.52 | 0.15 | 0.61 | 0.45 | 0.15 |
| Control Delay | 65.7 | 53.3 | 0.3 | 58.4 | 54.4 | 0.1 | 69.0 | 28.0 | 6.6 | 66.4 | 29.4 | 11.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 65.7 | 53.3 | 0.3 | 58.4 | 54.4 | 0.1 | 69.0 | 28.0 | 6.6 | 66.4 | 29.4 | 11.7 |
| LOS | E | D | A | E | D | A | E | C | A | E | C | B |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach Delay |  | 42.3 |  |  | 46.6 |  |  | 28.3 |  |  | 32.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | $C$ |  |  | $C$ |  |
| Queue Length 50th $(\mathrm{m})$ | 78.0 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 76.8 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 11.0 | 87.1 | 1.5 | 23.3 | 66.0 | 4.3 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 96.3 | 8.0 | 0.0 | 95.5 | 9.3 | 0.0 | 19.7 | 139.1 | 16.8 | 38.5 | 103.1 | 21.3 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 234.4 |  |  | 249.8 |  |  | 321.0 |  |  | 756.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 80.0 |  | 60.0 | 79.9 |  | 79.9 | 79.9 |  | 79.9 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 750 | 773 | 1514 | 777 | 749 | 1514 | 214 | 2409 | 808 | 303 | 2528 | 848 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.73 | 0.04 | 0.21 | 0.69 | 0.05 | 0.09 | 0.36 | 0.52 | 0.15 | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.15 |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: <br> Other

Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 140
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 100
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84
Intersection Signal Delay: 35.2 Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 64.4\% ICU Level of Service $C$
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 107: Highway 21 \& Wilshire Blvd./Southridge Blvd.


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | * | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% ${ }^{1 /}$ | ¢4¢ | 7 | \% ${ }^{1 / 1}$ | ¢4¢ | $\overline{ }$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 294 | 44 | 107 | 190 | 25 | 240 | 348 | 1733 | 429 | 201 | 1390 | 472 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 294 | 44 | 107 | 190 | 25 | 240 | 348 | 1733 | 429 | 201 | 1390 | 472 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 79.9 |  | 79.9 | 79.9 |  | 79.9 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3283 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3283 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 3385 | 1514 | 2855 | 4863 | 979 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 160 |  |  | 240 |  |  | 338 |  |  | 426 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 258.4 |  |  | 273.8 |  |  | 345.0 |  |  | 780.4 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 13.5 |  |  | 14.3 |  |  | 18.0 |  |  | 40.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1733 |  | 348 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 294 | 44 | 107 | 190 | 25 | 240 | 348 | 1733 | 429 | 201 | 1390 | 472 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.0 | 37.5 |  | 13.0 | 37.5 |  | 13.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 | 13.0 | 33.5 | 33.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 38.5 |  | 19.0 | 37.5 |  | 23.0 | 66.5 | 66.5 | 16.0 | 59.5 | 59.5 |
| Total Split (\%) | 14.3\% | 27.5\% |  | 13.6\% | 26.8\% |  | 16.4\% | 47.5\% | 47.5\% | 11.4\% | 42.5\% | 42.5\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 4.5 |  | 3.5 | 4.5 |  | 3.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.0 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 3.5 | 6.5 |  | 3.5 | 6.5 |  | 3.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 3.5 | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 18.2 | 15.3 | 140.0 | 13.8 | 14.2 | 140.0 | 19.0 | 81.4 | 81.4 | 12.8 | 75.2 | 75.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.13 | 0.11 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 0.14 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.09 | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.69 | 0.12 | 0.07 | 0.59 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.78 | 0.61 | 0.60 | 0.67 | 0.53 | 0.47 |
| Control Delay | 67.5 | 53.5 | 0.1 | 64.1 | 59.3 | 0.2 | 71.4 | 22.8 | 9.5 | 88.3 | 13.2 | 8.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 67.5 | 53.5 | 0.1 | 64.1 | 59.3 | 0.2 | 71.4 | 22.8 | 9.5 | 88.3 | 13.2 | 8.4 |
| LOS | E | D | A | E | E | A | E | C | A | F | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 49.9 |  |  | 30.1 |  |  | 27.3 |  |  | 19.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Queue Length 50th $(\mathrm{m})$ | 42.9 | 6.2 | 0.0 | 27.8 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 50.1 | 117.5 | 12.0 | 26.8 | 115.0 | 53.8 |
| Queue Length 95th $(\mathrm{m})$ | $\# 61.4$ | 10.7 | 0.0 | 39.5 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 68.2 | 181.0 | 64.3 | m 36.0 | 156.3 | 121.4 |
| Internal Link Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 234.4 |  |  | 249.8 |  |  | 321.0 |  |  | 756.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length $(\mathrm{m})$ | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 79.9 |  | 79.9 | 79.9 |  | 79.9 |
| Base Capacity $(v p h)$ | 441 | 773 | 1514 | 363 | 749 | 1514 | 472 | 2826 | 710 | 313 | 2612 | 1010 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.67 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.52 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.74 | 0.61 | 0.60 | 0.64 | 0.53 | 0.47 |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 140
Offset: 80 (57\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 110
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.78
Intersection Signal Delay: 26.4 Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.7\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 107: Highway 21 \& Wilshire Blvd./Southridge Blvd.


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F | \% ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ | 7 | \% | ¢4¢ | 7 | \% | ¢4¢ | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 145 | 158 | 79 | 199 | 106 | 203 | 78 | 1628 | 126 | 90 | 1077 | 122 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 145 | 158 | 79 | 199 | 106 | 203 | 78 | 1628 | 126 | 90 | 1077 | 122 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 1781 | 1514 | 1692 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.530 |  |  | 0.647 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 939 | 3385 | 1486 | 2224 | 1781 | 1486 | 1690 | 4863 | 1485 | 3279 | 4863 | 1485 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 101 |  |  | 103 |  |  | 101 |  |  | 128 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 489.0 |  |  | 168.0 |  |  | 780.4 |  |  | 144.9 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 25.5 |  |  | 8.8 |  |  | 40.7 |  |  | 7.6 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 153 | 166 | 83 | 209 | 112 | 214 | 82 | 1714 | 133 | 95 | 1134 | 128 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Perm | pm+pt |  | pm+ov | Prot |  | pm+ov | Prot |  | $\mathrm{pm}+0 \mathrm{v}$ |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 37.5 | 37.5 | 13.5 | 37.0 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 33.0 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 33.0 | 9.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 22.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 22.0 | 38.0 | 15.0 | 18.0 | 65.0 | 22.0 | 15.0 | 62.0 | 22.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 15.7\% | 27.1\% | 27.1\% | 15.7\% | 27.1\% | 10.7\% | 12.9\% | 46.4\% | 15.7\% | 10.7\% | 44.3\% | 15.7\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Max | None | None | C-Max | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 31.6 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 27.1 | 14.4 | 25.8 | 12.1 | 80.2 | 92.9 | 9.4 | 77.6 | 93.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.57 | 0.66 | 0.07 | 0.55 | 0.67 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.53 | 0.44 | 0.33 | 0.40 | 0.61 | 0.60 | 0.56 | 0.61 | 0.13 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 48.6 | 61.0 | 9.4 | 44.0 | 74.0 | 31.0 | 61.2 | 22.9 | 3.9 | 67.3 | 23.1 | 2.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 48.6 | 61.0 | 9.4 | 44.0 | 74.0 | 31.0 | 61.2 | 22.9 | 3.9 | 67.3 | 23.1 | 2.3 |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LOS | D | E | A | D | E | C | E | C | A | E | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 45.6 |  |  | 45.1 |  |  | 23.2 |  |  | 24.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | $C$ |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 36.1 | 23.6 | 0.0 | 24.9 | 31.4 | 28.1 | 21.9 | 88.1 | 5.3 | 14.8 | 60.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 53.5 | 34.9 | 10.8 | 34.1 | 50.3 | 51.0 | m 37.9 | 156.0 | m 13.2 | 24.4 | 77.3 | 8.2 |
| Internal Link Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 465.0 |  |  | 144.0 |  |  | 756.4 |  |  | 120.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 314 | 773 | 417 | 602 | 407 | 377 | 176 | 2787 | 1055 | 262 | 2694 | 1057 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.49 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.35 | 0.28 | 0.57 | 0.47 | 0.61 | 0.13 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.12 |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 140
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 100
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61
Intersection Signal Delay: 28.4
Intersection Capacity Utilization 74.9\%

Intersection LOS: C ICU Level of Service D

Analysis Period (min) 15
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 14: Highway 21 \& Westpark Boulevard/Southfort Blvd.


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 个t |  | \% ${ }^{1 / 4}$ | $\uparrow$ | 7 | \% | ¢4¢ | 7 | \% ${ }^{1 / 4}$ | ¢4¢ | $\overline{ }$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 76 | 126 | 56 | 206 | 299 | 140 | 188 | 1826 | 253 | 305 | 1798 | 369 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 76 | 126 | 56 | 206 | 299 | 140 | 188 | 1826 | 253 | 305 | 1798 | 369 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 3211 | 0 | 3283 | 1781 | 1514 | 1692 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.272 |  |  | 0.559 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 483 | 3211 | 0 | 1922 | 1781 | 1486 | 1691 | 4863 | 1485 | 3280 | 4863 | 1485 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 46 |  |  |  | 148 |  |  | 166 |  |  | 168 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 489.0 |  |  | 168.0 |  |  | 780.4 |  |  | 144.9 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 25.5 |  |  | 8.8 |  |  | 40.7 |  |  | 7.6 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 76 | 182 | 0 | 206 | 299 | 140 | 188 | 1826 | 253 | 305 | 1798 | 369 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | pm+ov | Prot |  | pm+ov |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.0 | 37.5 |  | 13.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 33.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 33.0 | 10.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 10.0 | 37.5 |  | 13.0 | 40.5 | 40.5 | 17.0 | 68.5 | 13.0 | 21.0 | 72.5 | 10.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 7.1\% | 26.8\% |  | 9.3\% | 28.9\% | 28.9\% | 12.1\% | 48.9\% | 9.3\% | 15.0\% | 51.8\% | 7.1\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None | None | None | C-Max | None | None | C-Max | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 29.1 | 25.1 |  | 35.1 | 28.1 | 28.1 | 19.4 | 68.9 | 75.9 | 17.0 | 66.5 | 70.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.21 | 0.18 |  | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.49 | 0.54 | 0.12 | 0.48 | 0.50 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.57 | 0.30 |  | 0.38 | 0.84 | 0.34 | 0.80 | 0.76 | 0.29 | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.44 |
| Control Delay | 57.4 | 36.9 |  | 40.9 | 73.5 | 7.7 | 74.0 | 24.6 | 4.7 | 73.0 | 33.6 | 11.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 57.4 | 36.9 |  | 40.9 | 73.5 | 7.7 | 74.0 | 24.6 | 4.7 | 73.0 | 33.6 | 11.4 |
| LOS | E | D |  | D | E | A | E | C | A | E | C | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 43.0 |  |  | 48.8 |  |  | 26.5 |  |  | 35.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Queue Length 50th $(\mathrm{m})$ | 16.6 | 17.7 |  | 23.7 | 83.0 | 0.0 | 53.3 | 164.8 | 22.1 | 44.4 | 154.8 | 30.7 |
| Queue Length 95th $(\mathrm{m})$ | 28.6 | 28.1 |  | 32.5 | 112.3 | 15.3 | $\# 114.3$ | 193.6 | 5.4 | $\# 63.6$ | 174.7 | 53.5 |
| Internal Link Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 465.0 |  |  | 144.0 |  |  | 756.4 |  |  | 120.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length $(m)$ | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |  |
| Base Capacity $(v p h)$ | 134 | 758 | 549 | 438 | 477 | 234 | 2393 | 882 | 398 | 2309 | 832 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.57 | 0.24 | 0.38 | 0.68 | 0.29 | 0.80 | 0.76 | 0.29 | 0.77 | 0.78 | 0.44 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 140
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 110
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84
Intersection Signal Delay: 33.6 Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 86.7\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 14: Highway 21 \& Westpark Boulevard/Southfort Blvd.


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | 7\% | ¢4¢ | 7 | \% | 个个¢ | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 182 | 91 | 321 | 58 | 153 | 109 | 119 | 1863 | 74 | 33 | 910 | 47 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 182 | 91 | 321 | 58 | 153 | 109 | 119 | 1863 | 74 | 33 | 910 | 47 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 1692 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.481 |  |  | 0.692 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 849 | 3385 | 1494 | 1233 | 3385 | 1514 | 3273 | 4863 | 1514 | 1692 | 4863 | 1486 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 338 |  |  | 187 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 109 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 277.7 |  |  | 132.0 |  |  | 480.8 |  |  | 814.6 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.5 |  |  | 6.9 |  |  | 25.1 |  |  | 42.5 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 5 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 192 | 96 | 338 | 61 | 161 | 115 | 125 | 1961 | 78 | 35 | 958 | 49 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Free | Perm | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | Free | 8 |  | Free |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 9.0 | 33.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  | 13.5 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.5 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 53.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  | 14.2 | 73.5 | 73.5 | 13.5 | 72.8 | 72.8 |
| Total Split (\%) | 14.3\% | 37.9\% |  | 23.6\% | 23.6\% |  | 10.1\% | 52.5\% | 52.5\% | 9.6\% | 52.0\% | 52.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag |  | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 35.6 | 34.6 | 140.0 | 14.9 | 14.9 | 140.0 | 10.2 | 83.3 | 83.3 | 8.3 | 79.2 | 79.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.25 | 0.25 | 1.00 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 1.00 | 0.07 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.06 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.63 | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.47 | 0.45 | 0.08 | 0.52 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.06 |
| Control Delay | 52.6 | 39.4 | 0.4 | 75.4 | 67.4 | 0.1 | 59.7 | 16.8 | 3.1 | 71.8 | 15.2 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 52.6 | 39.4 | 0.4 | 75.4 | 67.4 | 0.1 | 59.7 | 16.8 | 3.1 | 71.8 | 15.2 | 0.1 |


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LOS | D | D | A | E | E | A | E | B | A | E | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 22.4 |  |  | 45.9 |  |  | 18.8 |  |  | 16.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 47.9 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 17.8 | 24.7 | 0.0 | 16.3 | 88.2 | 0.9 | 10.3 | 43.9 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 62.5 | 16.8 | 0.0 | 31.5 | 34.8 | 0.0 | 28.1 | 91.7 | m 3.1 | 21.9 | 61.1 | 0.2 |
| Internal Link Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 253.7 |  |  | 108.0 |  |  | 456.8 |  |  | 790.6 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 306 | 1136 | 1494 | 237 | 652 | 1514 | 239 | 2892 | 938 | 114 | 2749 | 887 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.63 | 0.08 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.08 | 0.52 | 0.68 | 0.08 | 0.31 | 0.35 | 0.06 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 140
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:NET and 6:SWT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 95
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.68
Intersection Signal Delay: 20.9
Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.0\%

Intersection LOS: C
ICU Level of Service D

Analysis Period (min) 15
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 32: Highway 21 \& 84 Street


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ＊ | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \％ | ¢个个 | 7 | \％${ }^{10}$ | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 137 | 225 | 216 | 65 | 347 | 88 | 329 | 1544 | 178 | 109 | 2191 | 259 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 137 | 225 | 216 | 65 | 347 | 88 | 329 | 1544 | 178 | 109 | 2191 | 259 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length（m） | 60.0 |  | 30.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（m） | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.280 |  |  | 0.611 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 497 | 3385 | 1494 | 1088 | 3385 | 1514 | 3282 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1486 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 216 |  |  | 187 |  |  | 152 |  |  | 72 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance（ m ） |  | 251.0 |  |  | 132.0 |  |  | 479.5 |  |  | 214.2 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 13.1 |  |  | 6.9 |  |  | 25.0 |  |  | 11.2 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 5 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 137 | 225 | 216 | 65 | 347 | 88 | 329 | 1544 | 178 | 109 | 2191 | 259 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Perm | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | pm＋ov |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | Free | 8 |  | Free |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 33.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  | 13.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 37.0 | 9.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 12.0 | 45.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  | 20.0 | 82.0 | 82.0 | 13.0 | 75.0 | 12.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 8．6\％ | 32．1\％ |  | 23．6\％ | 23．6\％ |  | 14．3\％ | 58．6\％ | 58．6\％ | 9．3\％ | 53．6\％ | 8．6\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.5 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag |  | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | Max | Max | None | Max | None |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 32.2 | 31.2 | 132.0 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 132.0 | 15.7 | 76.3 | 76.3 | 8.5 | 69.1 | 77.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.24 | 0.24 | 1.00 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 1.00 | 0.12 | 0.58 | 0.58 | 0.06 | 0.52 | 0.58 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.74 | 0.28 | 0.14 | 0.41 | 0.71 | 0.06 | 0.85 | 0.55 | 0.19 | 0.52 | 0.86 | 0.29 |
| Control Delay | 66.7 | 41.9 | 0.2 | 59.2 | 61.8 | 0.1 | 77.2 | 18.7 | 3.8 | 69.8 | 32.3 | 6.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 66.7 | 41.9 | 0.2 | 59.2 | 61.8 | 0.1 | 77.2 | 18.7 | 3.8 | 69.8 | 32.3 | 6.1 |
| LOS | E | D | A | E | E | A | E | B | A | E | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 32.2 |  |  | 50.6 |  |  | 26.8 |  |  | 31.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Queue Length 50th $(\mathrm{m})$ | 30.8 | 26.4 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 47.7 | 0.0 | 45.3 | 90.1 | 2.9 | 14.8 | 181.5 | 11.1 |
| Queue Length 95th $(\mathrm{m})$ | $\# 53.4$ | 38.1 | 0.0 | 31.4 | 64.5 | 0.0 | $\# 75.2$ | 120.2 | 14.8 | 26.3 | 232.5 | 24.6 |
| Internal Link Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 227.0 |  |  | 108.0 |  |  | 455.5 |  |  | 190.2 |  |
| Turn Bay Length $(\mathrm{m})$ | 60.0 |  | 30.0 | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity $(v p h)$ | 184 | 1001 | 1494 | 222 | 693 | 1514 | 398 | 2809 | 938 | 224 | 2545 | 899 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.74 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.29 | 0.50 | 0.06 | 0.83 | 0.55 | 0.19 | 0.49 | 0.86 | 0.29 |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 132
Natural Cycle: 105
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay: 31.4
Intersection Capacity Utilization 90.3\%
Intersection LOS: C
ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: $\quad 32: 84$ Street \& Highway 21


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |  | \% | $\stackrel{\square}{2}$ |  | \% ${ }^{1 /}$ | 个个¢ | F' | \% | ¢ $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 34 | 6 | 31 | 93 | 9 | 24 | 46 | 2032 | 67 | 27 | 866 | 33 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 34 | 6 | 31 | 93 | 9 | 24 | 46 | 2032 | 67 | 27 | 866 | 33 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 50.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 3 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 1555 | 0 | 3283 | 1586 | 0 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 1692 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.735 |  |  | 0.630 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.058 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1309 | 1555 | 0 | 2177 | 1586 | 0 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 103 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 33 |  |  | 11 |  |  |  | 56 |  |  | 94 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) |  | 122.7 |  |  | 156.7 |  |  | 814.6 |  |  | 419.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.4 |  |  | 8.2 |  |  | 42.5 |  |  | 21.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 36 | 39 | 0 | 98 | 34 | 0 | 48 | 2139 | 71 | 28 | 912 | 35 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | pm+pt | NA |  | Prot | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 8 |  |  |  | 6 | 2 |  | 2 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |

Switch Phase

| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 4.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum Split (s) | 36.0 | 36.0 | 9.0 | 36.0 | 25.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 36.0 | 36.0 | 9.0 | 45.0 | 25.0 | 95.0 | 95.0 | 70.0 | 70.0 | 70.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $25.7 \%$ | $25.7 \%$ | $6.4 \%$ | $32.1 \%$ | $17.9 \%$ | $67.9 \%$ | $67.9 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max | None | Max | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max C-Max |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 31.0 | 31.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 7.8 | 90.0 | 90.0 | 79.6 | 79.6 | 79.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.06 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.68 | 0.07 | 0.48 | 0.33 | 0.04 |
| Control Delay | 45.2 | 17.4 | 32.9 | 22.9 | 54.4 | 21.8 | 8.2 | 52.7 | 17.0 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 45.2 | 17.4 | 32.9 | 22.9 | 54.4 | 21.8 | 8.2 | 52.7 | 17.0 | 0.1 |
| LOS | D | B | C | C | D | C | A | D | B | A |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach Delay |  | 30.7 |  |  | 30.3 |  | 22.1 |  |  | 17.4 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  | C |  | C |  |  | B |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th $(\mathrm{m})$ | 8.4 | 1.4 | 9.1 | 3.8 | 7.3 | 123.1 | 2.9 | 4.8 | 50.9 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th $(\mathrm{m})$ | 18.5 | 11.4 |  | 15.1 | 11.2 | m 11.0 | 172.1 | m 13.0 | $\# 22.2$ | 62.6 | 0.0 |  |
| Internal Link Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 98.7 |  | 132.7 |  | 790.6 |  | 395.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 50.0 |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 289 | 370 | 653 | 461 | 469 | 3126 | 993 | 58 | 2765 | 901 |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.68 | 0.07 | 0.48 | 0.33 | 0.04 |  |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: <br> Other

Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 140
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:SBTL and 6:NBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 105
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.68
Intersection Signal Delay: 21.2
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 58.0\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 91: Highway 21 \& Future Commercial Access


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ＊ | F |  | \％${ }^{1}$ | F |  | \％ | 个个¢ | 7 | \％ | 个¢ $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 145 | 34 | 131 | 186 | 28 | 119 | 146 | 1380 | 245 | 152 | 2242 | 99 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 145 | 34 | 131 | 186 | 28 | 119 | 146 | 1380 | 245 | 152 | 2242 | 99 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length（m） | 50.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（m） | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1692 | 1569 | 0 | 3283 | 1566 | 0 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.663 |  |  | 0.403 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1181 | 1569 | 0 | 1393 | 1566 | 0 | 3152 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 127 |  |  | 119 |  |  |  | 224 |  |  | 94 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 122.7 |  |  | 156.7 |  |  | 600.4 |  |  | 419.8 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 6.4 |  |  | 8.2 |  |  | 31.3 |  |  | 21.9 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1413 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 145 | 165 | 0 | 186 | 147 | 0 | 146 | 1380 | 245 | 152 | 2242 | 99 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 4.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 4.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 37.0 | 37.0 |  | 9.0 | 37.0 |  | 25.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 9.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 37.0 | 37.0 |  | 9.0 | 46.0 |  | 25.0 | 79.0 | 79.0 | 15.0 | 69.0 | 69.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 26．4\％ | 26．4\％ |  | 6．4\％ | 32．9\％ |  | 17．9\％ | 56．4\％ | 56．4\％ | 10．7\％ | 49．3\％ | 49．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 1.5 | 2.0 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lag | Lag |  | Lead |  |  | Lead | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | Max | Max | None | Max | Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 21.0 | 21.0 |  | 31.0 | 30.0 |  | 11.1 | 73.3 | 73.3 | 10.2 | 72.3 | 72.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.16 |  | 0.24 | 0.23 |  | 0.09 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.08 | 0.56 | 0.56 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.76 | 0.46 |  | 0.47 | 0.32 |  | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.26 | 0.59 | 0.83 | 0.11 |
| Control Delay | 76.1 | 17.5 |  | 44.2 | 12.3 |  | 64.2 | 18.7 | 3.4 | 68.8 | 28.2 | 4.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 76.1 | 17.5 |  | 44.2 | 12.3 |  | 64.2 | 18.7 | 3.4 | 68.8 | 28.2 | 4.1 |
| LOS | E | B |  | D | B |  | E | B | A | E | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 44.9 |  |  | 30.1 |  |  | 20.3 |  |  | 29.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Queue Length 50th $(\mathrm{m})$ | 37.4 | 8.8 | 20.7 | 5.9 |  | 19.5 | 79.2 | 2.4 | 20.4 | 171.2 | 0.6 |  |
| Queue Length 95th $(\mathrm{m})$ | 61.4 | 29.7 |  | 30.9 | 23.2 |  | 32.1 | 108.4 | 16.5 | 34.4 | 241.7 | 10.5 |
| Internal Link Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 98.7 |  |  | 132.7 |  | 576.4 |  |  | 395.8 |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length $(m)$ | 50.0 |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity $(v p h)$ | 283 | 473 | 392 | 567 | 534 | 2751 | 953 | 279 | 2715 | 886 |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.51 | 0.35 | 0.47 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.50 | 0.26 | 0.54 | 0.83 | 0.11 |  |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 129.5
Natural Cycle: 135
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83
Intersection Signal Delay: 27.3
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 86.0\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 91: Highway 21 \& Future Commercial Access


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F | \% ${ }^{1 / 8}$ | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% | ¢ヶ¢ | 7 | \% ${ }^{1}$ | ¢4¢ | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 740 | 314 | 278 | 98 | 587 | 325 | 688 | 1317 | 85 | 71 | 553 | 234 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 740 | 314 | 278 | 98 | 587 | 325 | 688 | 1317 | 85 | 71 | 553 | 234 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3385 | 3563 | 1514 | 3385 | 3563 | 1514 | 3385 | 5344 | 1514 | 3385 | 5344 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3373 | 3563 | 1494 | 3370 | 3563 | 1494 | 3374 | 5344 | 1494 | 3381 | 5344 | 1494 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 278 |  |  | 313 |  |  | 226 |  |  | 234 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 154.9 |  |  | 224.0 |  |  | 233.3 |  |  | 229.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 8.1 |  |  | 11.7 |  |  | 12.2 |  |  | 12.0 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 740 | 314 | 278 | 98 | 587 | 325 | 688 | 1317 | 85 | 71 | 553 | 234 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 20.0 |  | 7.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 13.5 | 37.0 |  | 13.5 | 33.0 |  | 13.5 | 37.0 |  | 13.5 | 37.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 36.0 | 55.5 |  | 13.5 | 33.0 |  | 33.5 | 57.5 |  | 13.5 | 37.5 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 25.7\% | 39.6\% |  | 9.6\% | 23.6\% |  | 23.9\% | 41.1\% |  | 9.6\% | 26.8\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.0 | 2.0 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag |  | Lead | Lead |  | Lag | Lag |  | Lead | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | Max |  | None | None |  | None | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 31.4 | 49.8 | 129.2 | 8.6 | 27.0 | 129.2 | 30.1 | 44.9 | 129.2 | 8.1 | 20.6 | 129.2 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.24 | 0.39 | 1.00 | 0.07 | 0.21 | 1.00 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 0.16 | 1.00 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.90 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.44 | 0.79 | 0.22 | 0.87 | 0.71 | 0.06 | 0.33 | 0.65 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 62.6 | 27.8 | 0.3 | 64.7 | 57.4 | 0.3 | 60.8 | 39.7 | 0.1 | 62.9 | 55.1 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 62.6 | 27.8 | 0.3 | 64.7 | 57.4 | 0.3 | 60.8 | 39.7 | 0.1 | 62.9 | 55.1 | 0.2 |


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LOS | E | C | A | E | E | A | E | D | A | E | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 41.4 |  |  | 39.7 |  |  | 45.1 |  |  | 40.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 94.0 | 27.4 | 0.0 | 12.5 | 73.6 | 0.0 | 88.1 | 103.7 | 0.0 | 9.1 | 46.2 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) $\# 136.3$ | 41.4 | 0.0 | 22.6 | $\# 100.6$ | 0.0 | 114.0 | 121.6 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 60.3 | 0.0 |  |
| Internal Link Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 130.9 |  |  | 200.0 |  |  | 209.3 |  |  | 205.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 839 | 1376 | 1494 | 249 | 745 | 1494 | 799 | 2134 | 1494 | 249 | 1304 | 1494 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.39 | 0.79 | 0.22 | 0.86 | 0.62 | 0.06 | 0.29 | 0.42 | 0.16 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 129.2
Natural Cycle: 135
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.90
Intersection Signal Delay: 42.4
Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 99.1\% ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 8: Highway 21 \& 94 Street/Highway 15


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F' | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F' | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | F' | \% | ¢4¢ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 335 | 854 | 840 | 347 | 650 | 264 | 581 | 838 | 227 | 421 | 1340 | 529 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 335 | 854 | 840 | 347 | 650 | 264 | 581 | 838 | 227 | 421 | 1340 | 529 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3385 | 3563 | 1514 | 3385 | 3563 | 1514 | 3385 | 5344 | 1514 | 3385 | 5344 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 3374 | 3563 | 1494 | 3378 | 3563 | 1494 | 3381 | 5344 | 1494 | 3373 | 5344 | 1494 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 435 |  |  | 230 |  |  | 180 |  |  | 262 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 154.9 |  |  | 245.8 |  |  | 233.3 |  |  | 229.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 8.1 |  |  | 12.8 |  |  | 12.2 |  |  | 12.0 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 335 | 854 | 840 | 347 | 650 | 264 | 581 | 838 | 227 | 421 | 1340 | 529 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 20.0 |  | 7.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 13.0 | 37.0 |  | 13.0 | 33.0 |  | 13.0 | 37.0 |  | 13.0 | 37.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 22.0 | 40.0 |  | 24.0 | 42.0 |  | 31.0 | 47.0 |  | 29.0 | 45.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 15.7\% | 28.6\% |  | 17.1\% | 30.0\% |  | 22.1\% | 33.6\% |  | 20.7\% | 32.1\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 2.0 |  | 1.5 | 2.0 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 6.0 |  | 5.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | Max |  | None | C-Max |  | None | C-Max |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 16.5 | 35.1 | 140.0 | 17.9 | 36.5 | 140.0 | 26.4 | 44.0 | 140.0 | 22.0 | 39.6 | 140.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.12 | 0.25 | 1.00 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 1.00 | 0.19 | 0.31 | 1.00 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 1.00 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.84 | 0.96 | 0.56 | 0.81 | 0.70 | 0.18 | 0.91 | 0.50 | 0.15 | 0.79 | 0.89 | 0.35 |
| Control Delay | 79.0 | 72.7 | 1.5 | 78.4 | 39.7 | 0.2 | 75.3 | 40.7 | 0.2 | 51.5 | 48.9 | 0.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 79.0 | 72.7 | 1.5 | 78.4 | 39.7 | 0.2 | 75.3 | 40.7 | 0.2 | 51.5 | 48.9 | 0.4 |
| LOS | E | E | A | E | D | A | E | D | A | D | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 44.3 |  |  | 42.1 |  |  | 47.3 |  |  | 38.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Queue Length 50th $(\mathrm{m})$ | 47.7 | 123.2 | 0.0 | 46.0 | 94.4 | 0.0 | 82.4 | 65.8 | 0.0 | 48.5 | 135.8 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th $(\mathrm{m})$ | $\# 69.7$ | $\# 165.8$ | 0.0 | 69.1 | 91.5 | $\mathrm{m0.0}$ | $\# 112.7$ | 80.7 | 0.0 | 67.0 | 151.0 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  | 130.9 |  |  | 221.8 |  |  | 209.3 |  |  | 205.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length $(\mathrm{m})$ | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity $(v p h)$ | 411 | 894 | 1494 | 459 | 928 | 1494 | 652 | 1681 | 1494 | 604 | 1512 | 1494 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.82 | 0.96 | 0.56 | 0.76 | 0.70 | 0.18 | 0.89 | 0.50 | 0.15 | 0.70 | 0.89 | 0.35 |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 140
Offset: 86 (61\%), Referenced to phase 2:SWT and 6:NET, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 110
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.96
Intersection Signal Delay: $42.7 \quad$ Intersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 95.8\% ICU Level of Service F
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: $\quad$ : Highway 21 \& 94 Street \& Highway 15


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F | \% ${ }^{14}$ | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F | \% ${ }^{1}$ | ¢4¢ | F | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 46 | 142 | 211 | 149 | 148 | 458 | 262 | 1870 | 147 | 58 | 498 | 186 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 46 | 142 | 211 | 149 | 148 | 458 | 262 | 1870 | 147 | 58 | 498 | 186 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 30.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.653 |  |  | 0.658 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1157 | 3385 | 1494 | 2262 | 3385 | 1494 | 3263 | 4863 | 1485 | 3281 | 4863 | 1485 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 222 |  |  | 375 |  |  | 101 |  |  | 196 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 158.4 |  |  | 159.5 |  |  | 120.6 |  |  | 241.3 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 8.3 |  |  | 8.3 |  |  | 6.3 |  |  | 12.6 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 48 | 149 | 222 | 157 | 156 | 482 | 276 | 1968 | 155 | 61 | 524 | 196 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | 4 | Free | 8 |  | Free |  |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 37.0 | 37.0 |  | 13.0 | 37.0 |  | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 37.0 | 37.0 |  | 13.0 | 50.0 |  | 22.0 | 77.0 | 77.0 | 13.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 26.4\% | 26.4\% |  | 9.3\% | 35.7\% |  | 15.7\% | 55.0\% | 55.0\% | 9.3\% | 48.6\% | 48.6\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.5 | 2.0 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lead |  | Lag |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | Max | C-Max | C-Max | Min | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 12.3 | 12.3 | 140.0 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 140.0 | 18.0 | 90.5 | 90.5 | 8.2 | 80.7 | 80.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.09 | 0.09 | 1.00 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 1.00 | 0.13 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.06 | 0.58 | 0.58 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.15 | 0.34 | 0.25 | 0.32 | 0.65 | 0.63 | 0.16 | 0.32 | 0.19 | 0.21 |
| Control Delay | 75.4 | 66.4 | 0.2 | 50.6 | 48.1 | 0.6 | 66.1 | 16.3 | 4.4 | 67.2 | 14.6 | 2.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 75.4 | 66.4 | 0.2 | 50.6 | 48.1 | 0.6 | 66.1 | 16.3 | 4.4 | 67.2 | 14.6 | 2.5 |


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| LOS | E | E | A | D | D | A | E | B | A | E | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 32.4 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 21.2 |  |  | 15.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 13.5 | 22.0 | 0.0 | 20.6 | 20.8 | 0.0 | 39.5 | 113.0 | 5.3 | 8.8 | 24.7 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 26.7 | 32.8 | 0.0 | 28.8 | 28.9 | 0.0 | 55.3 | 148.2 | 15.8 | 16.3 | 34.3 | 11.6 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 134.4 |  |  | 135.5 |  |  | 96.6 |  |  | 217.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 30.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 256 | 749 | 1494 | 459 | 1063 | 1494 | 422 | 3142 | 995 | 216 | 2802 | 938 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.19 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.34 | 0.15 | 0.32 | 0.65 | 0.63 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.19 | 0.21 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Area Type: Other
Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 140
Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:SWT and 6:NET, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 110
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.65
Intersection Signal Delay: 21.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.6\%

Intersection LOS: C
ICU Level of Service D

Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 3: Highway 15 \& 101 Street


| Lane Group | WBL2 | WBL | WBR | NWL | NWR | NWR2 | NET | NER | NER2 | SWL2 | SWL | SWT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 9\％\％ | F | \％ 7 | \％${ }^{\text {P／}}$ | F | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「＂「7 | 「 | ＊ | \％${ }^{1 / 8}$ | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 443 | 1686 | 128 | 191 | 288 | 155 | 413 | 664 | 91 | 34 | 360 | 414 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 443 | 1686 | 128 | 191 | 288 | 155 | 413 | 664 | 91 | 34 | 360 | 414 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length（m） |  | 100.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 30.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |
| Storage Lanes |  | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Taper Length（m） |  | 29.9 |  | 29.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29.9 |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3283 | 4773 | 1514 | 3283 | 2665 | 1514 | 3385 | 3453 | 1514 | 1692 | 3283 | 1781 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.510 | 0.950 |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 3266 | 4748 | 1485 | 3261 | 2665 | 1494 | 3385 | 3453 | 1485 | 905 | 3262 | 1781 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 102 |  |  | 148 |  |  | 101 |  |  |  |


| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 69 |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  |  |  | 69 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 241.3 |  | 159.3 |  |  | 120.6 |  |  |  |  | 172.8 |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 12.6 |  | 8.3 |  |  | 6.3 |  |  |  |  | 9.0 |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 443 | 1686 | 128 | 191 | 288 | 155 | 413 | 664 | 91 | 34 | 360 | 414 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Prot | Perm | pm＋pt | Prot | Free | NA | custom | custom | custom | custom | NA |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 3 | $8!$ |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | $4!$ |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 | $8!$ |  | Free |  |  | 6 | $4!$ | $4!$ | Free |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 8 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 |  |


| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.5 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 13.0 | 37.0 |  | 13.5 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 31.1 | 63.0 | 63.0 | 13.0 | 50.0 |  | 27.0 | 58.9 | 58.9 | 37.0 | 37.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 22．2\％ | 45．0\％ | 45．0\％ | 9．3\％ | 35．7\％ |  | 19．3\％ | 42．1\％ | 42．1\％ | 26．4\％ | 26．4\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 |  | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | C－Max | C－Max | None | None |  | Max | C－Max | C－Max | None | None |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 27.1 | 67.4 | 67.4 | 33.6 | 33.6 | 140.0 | 23.0 | 63.3 | 63.3 | 20.6 | 20.6 | 140.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 1.00 | 0.16 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 1.00 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.70 | 0.73 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.45 | 0.10 | 0.74 | 0.43 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.75 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 59.3 | 32.1 | 6.7 | 45.4 | 49.5 | 0.1 | 62.2 | 13.4 | 0.5 | 56.3 | 66.7 | 0.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 59.3 | 32.1 | 6.7 | 45.4 | 49.5 | 0.1 | 62.2 | 13.4 | 0.5 | 56.3 | 66.7 | 0.3 |
| LOS | E | C | A | D | D | A | E | B | A | E | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 36.0 |  | 36.2 |  |  | 29.7 |  |  |  |  | 32.3 |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  | D |  |  | C |  |  |  |  | C |


|  | - | * | $\longleftarrow$ | $\cdots$ | 厄 | + | $\star$ | $\rho$ | 7 | $\zeta$ | 5 | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL2 | WBL | WBR | NWL | NWR | NWR2 | NET | NER | NER2 | SWL2 | SWL | SWT |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 61.9 | 135.2 | 3.9 | 24.4 | 41.3 | 0.0 | 64.5 | 19.5 | 0.1 | 8.8 | 51.9 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 81.1 | 165.3 | 16.5 | 34.5 | 54.8 | 0.0 | m81.8 | 52.2 | m0.0 | 19.4 | 66.4 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 217.3 |  | 135.3 |  |  | 96.6 |  |  |  |  | 148.8 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 100.0 | 100.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 635 | 2297 | 767 | 783 | 837 | 1494 | 556 | 1560 | 726 | 200 | 726 | 1781 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.70 | 0.73 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.34 | 0.10 | 0.74 | 0.43 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 0.23 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $0(0 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:WBL and 6:NER, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 33.9 |  |  |  |  | ntersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 79.4\% |  |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ! Phase conflict between lane groups. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 3: Highway 15 \& 101 Street


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F |  | \% | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |  |  | 4 H |  | \% | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 205 | 30 | 10 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 63 | 488 | 30 | 57 | 137 | 153 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 205 | 30 | 10 | 30 | 30 | 60 | 63 | 488 | 30 | 57 | 137 | 153 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 29.9 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 1714 | 0 | 1692 | 1605 | 0 | 0 | 3341 | 0 | 1692 | 3117 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.695 |  |  | 0.729 |  |  |  | 0.882 |  | 0.410 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1238 | 1714 | 0 | 1299 | 1605 | 0 | 0 | 2962 | 0 | 730 | 3117 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 11 |  |  | 63 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 161 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 105.5 |  |  | 92.3 |  |  | 240.1 |  |  | 159.5 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.5 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 12.5 |  |  | 8.3 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 216 | 43 | 0 | 32 | 95 | 0 | 0 | 612 | 0 | 60 | 305 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 35.0 | 35.0 |  | 35.0 | 35.0 |  | 35.0 | 35.0 |  | 35.0 | 35.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  | 50.0\% | 50.0\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lead-Lag Optimize?

| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | C-Max C-Max | C-Max C-Max |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 17.7 | 17.7 | 17.7 | 17.7 | 42.3 | 42.3 | 42.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.69 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.21 | 0.34 | 0.14 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 34.5 | 14.2 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 2.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 34.5 | 14.2 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 2.1 |
| LOS | C | B | B | A | A | A | A |


|  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\square$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Approach Delay |  | 31.1 |  |  | 11.2 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  | 2.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 26.6 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |  | 7.7 |  | 4.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 41.5 | 8.7 |  | 8.1 | 11.6 |  |  | 24.0 |  | 7.6 | 0.4 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 81.5 |  |  | 68.3 |  |  | 216.1 |  |  | 135.5 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 530 | 740 |  | 556 | 723 |  |  | 1795 |  | 441 | 1949 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.41 | 0.06 |  | 0.06 | 0.13 |  |  | 0.34 |  | 0.14 | 0.16 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: <br> Other

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 50
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69
Intersection Signal Delay: 9.7
Intersection Capacity Utilization 56.5\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 100: 101 Street \& 88 Avenue


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F |  | \% | F |  |  | 4\% |  | \% | 个t |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 231 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 150 | 51 | 252 | 67 | 150 | 487 | 257 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 231 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 20 | 150 | 51 | 252 | 67 | 150 | 487 | 257 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 29.9 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 1648 | 0 | 1692 | 1546 | 0 | 0 | 3270 | 0 | 1692 | 3209 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.640 |  |  | 0.744 |  |  |  | 0.807 |  | 0.532 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1140 | 1648 | 0 | 1325 | 1546 | 0 | 0 | 2658 | 0 | 948 | 3209 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 10 |  |  | 150 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 180 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 105.5 |  |  | 92.3 |  |  | 238.2 |  |  | 159.3 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.5 |  |  | 4.8 |  |  | 12.4 |  |  | 8.3 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 231 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 170 | 0 | 0 | 370 | 0 | 150 | 744 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 |  | , | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 |  | 37.0 | 37.0 |  | 37.0 | 37.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 47.1\% | 47.1\% |  | 47.1\% | 47.1\% |  | 52.9\% | 52.9\% |  | 52.9\% | 52.9\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | C-Max | C-Max |  | C-Max | C-Max |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 19.2 | 19.2 |  | 19.2 | 19.2 |  |  | 40.8 |  | 40.8 | 40.8 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.27 | 0.27 |  | 0.27 | 0.27 |  |  | 0.58 |  | 0.58 | 0.58 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.74 | 0.04 |  | 0.03 | 0.32 |  |  | 0.24 |  | 0.27 | 0.38 |  |
| Control Delay | 36.6 | 11.0 |  | 15.1 | 5.8 |  |  | 10.5 |  | 9.3 | 7.8 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 36.6 | 11.0 |  | 15.1 | 5.8 |  |  | 10.5 |  | 9.3 | 7.8 |  |
| LOS | D | B |  | B | A |  |  | B |  | A | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 34.5 |  |  | 6.3 |  |  | 10.5 |  |  | 8.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 28.4 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 2.0 |  |  | 19.5 |  | 19.0 | 45.3 |  |



| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | * | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | 个t |  | \% | F |  |  | ¢ $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 25 | 64 | 88 | 15 | 111 | 53 | 400 | 256 | 15 | 27 | 173 | 70 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 25 | 64 | 88 | 15 | 111 | 53 | 400 | 256 | 15 | 27 | 173 | 70 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 1781 | 1514 | 1692 | 3219 | 0 | 1692 | 1767 | 0 | 0 | 3237 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.643 |  |  | 0.713 |  |  | 0.578 |  |  |  | 0.910 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1145 | 1781 | 1514 | 1270 | 3219 | 0 | 1030 | 1767 | 0 | 0 | 2960 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 93 |  | 56 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 74 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 240.1 |  |  | 574.3 |  |  | 216.2 |  |  | 250.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 12.5 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 11.3 |  |  | 13.1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 26 | 67 | 93 | 16 | 173 | 0 | 421 | 285 | 0 | 0 | 284 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Turn Type | custom | NA custom | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |


| Permitted Phases | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 |  | 4 |  | 8 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Detector Phase | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 |

Switch Phase

| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $32.9 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ | $67.1 \%$ | $67.1 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ | $32.9 \%$ |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lead-Lag Optimize?

| Recall Mode | C-Max | -Max | -Max | -Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 25.1 | 25.1 | 25.1 | 25.1 | 25.1 | 34.9 | 34.9 |  | 34.9 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.50 | 0.50 |  | 0.50 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.15 | 0.82 | 0.32 |  | 0.19 |
| Control Delay | 17.7 | 16.8 | 5.2 | 19.3 | 13.1 | 27.8 | 10.0 |  | 6.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 17.7 | 16.8 | 5.2 | 19.3 | 13.1 | 27.8 | 10.0 |  | 6.2 |
| LOS | B | B | A | B | B | C | A |  | A |


|  | $\checkmark$ | $\star$ | 2 | $\ldots$ | k | 『 | 7 | $\lambda$ | $\cdots$ | 4 | $\checkmark$ | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Approach Delay |  | 11.1 |  |  | 13.6 |  |  | 20.6 |  |  | 6.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 2.9 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 5.7 |  | 41.9 | 19.4 |  |  | 7.0 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 7.6 | 14.7 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 13.6 |  | 68.7 | 27.3 |  |  | 10.4 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 216.1 |  |  | 550.3 |  |  | 192.2 |  |  | 226.8 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 410 | 638 | 602 | 454 | 1189 |  | 618 | 1063 |  |  | 1805 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.15 |  | 0.68 | 0.27 |  |  | 0.16 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: <br> Other

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 23.1 (33\%), Referenced to phase 2:NWTL and 6:SETL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82
Intersection Signal Delay: 15.3
Intersection Capacity Utilization 56.0\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: 86 Avenue \& 101 Street


| Lane Group | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F |  |  | ${ }_{4}{ }^{2}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | 个t |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 204 | 167 | 60 | 44 | 350 | 59 | 250 | 160 | 97 | 45 | 107 | 15 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 204 | 167 | 60 | 44 | 350 | 59 | 250 | 160 | 97 | 45 | 107 | 15 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length ( m ) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 1710 | 0 | 0 | 3301 | 0 | 1692 | 1781 | 1514 | 1692 | 3312 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.440 |  |  |  | 0.903 |  | 0.675 |  |  | 0.656 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 784 | 1710 | 0 | 0 | 2995 | 0 | 1202 | 1781 | 1514 | 1163 | 3312 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 37 |  |  | 34 |  |  |  | 97 |  | 15 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) |  | 144.8 |  |  | 213.3 |  |  | 238.2 |  |  | 228.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.6 |  |  | 11.1 |  |  | 12.4 |  |  | 11.9 |  |


| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 |  | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 204 | 227 | 0 | 0 | 453 | 0 | 250 | 160 | 97 | 45 | 122 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | custom | NA |  | custom |  | stom | Perm | NA |  |


| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 8 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 40.0 | 40.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $57.1 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ | $38.6 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |

Lead/Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?

| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 22.3 | 22.3 | 22.3 | 37.7 | 37.7 | 37.7 | 37.7 | 37.7 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.32 | 0.32 |  | 0.32 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 0.54 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.82 | 0.40 |  | 0.46 | 0.39 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| Control Delay | 45.2 | 15.6 | 17.8 | 8.2 | 6.9 | 2.2 | 11.6 | 9.4 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 45.2 | 15.6 | 17.8 | 8.2 | 6.9 | 2.2 | 11.6 | 9.4 |  |
| LOS | D | B | B | A | A | A | B | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 29.6 | 17.8 |  | 6.6 |  | 10.0 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C | B |  | A |  | A |  |  |


|  | 7 | $\uparrow$ | 0 | 4 | $\downarrow$ | * | $\checkmark$ | * | $\downarrow$ | $\cdots$ | k | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 25.0 | 19.4 |  |  | 23.3 |  | 8.6 | 5.4 | 0.3 | 2.8 | 3.4 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 40.0 | 27.9 |  |  | 27.1 |  | 24.4 | 16.5 | 3.0 | 10.0 | 9.6 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 120.8 |  |  | 189.3 |  |  | 214.2 |  |  | 204.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 392 | 873 |  |  | 1514 |  | 646 | 958 | 859 | 625 | 1789 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.52 | 0.26 |  |  | 0.30 |  | 0.39 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.07 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

```
Area Type: Other
```

Cycle Length: 70

Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NWTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 50
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82
Intersection Signal Delay: $16.6 \quad$ Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.8\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 1: 86 Avenue \& 101 Street


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\stackrel{\square}{7}$ |  | \% | $\stackrel{\square}{1}$ |  | 7 | 个t |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 40 | 2 | 21 | 11 | 2 | 40 | 70 | 930 | 28 | 25 | 377 | 68 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 40 | 2 | 21 | 11 | 2 | 40 | 70 | 930 | 28 | 25 | 377 | 68 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 1536 | 0 | 1692 | 1527 | 0 | 1692 | 3371 | 0 | 1692 | 3307 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.728 |  |  | 0.742 |  |  | 0.483 |  |  | 0.272 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1297 | 1536 | 0 | 1322 | 1527 | 0 | 860 | 3371 | 0 | 485 | 3307 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 22 |  |  | 42 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 49 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 116.5 |  |  | 104.9 |  |  | 173.6 |  |  | 224.0 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 5.5 |  |  | 9.1 |  |  | 11.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 42 | 24 | 0 | 12 | 44 | 0 | 74 | 1008 | 0 | 26 | 469 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |

Switch Phase

| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $35.7 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lead-Lag Optimize?

| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max C-Max |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 11.6 | 56.4 | 56.4 | 56.4 | 56.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.06 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.37 | 0.07 | 0.18 |
| Control Delay | 26.2 | 11.3 | 23.3 | 9.7 | 7.6 | 9.2 | 5.0 | 3.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 26.2 | 11.3 | 23.3 | 9.7 | 7.6 | 9.2 | 5.0 | 3.3 |
| LOS | C | B | C | A | A | A | A | A |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach Delay |  | 20.8 |  |  | 12.6 |  |  | 9.0 |  |  | 3.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 5.2 | 0.3 |  | 1.4 | 0.3 |  | 7.8 | 82.3 |  | 0.9 | 7.7 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 11.7 | 5.5 |  | 5.0 | 7.2 |  | 19.6 | 103.9 |  | 4.4 | 18.7 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 92.5 |  |  | 80.9 |  |  | 149.6 |  |  | 200.0 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 60.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 370 | 454 |  | 377 | 466 |  | 693 | 2717 |  | 391 | 2674 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.11 | 0.05 |  | 0.03 | 0.09 |  | 0.11 | 0.37 |  | 0.07 | 0.18 |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: <br> Other

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 55
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.37
Intersection Signal Delay: 8.0
Intersection Capacity Utilization 61.3\%
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 45: 94 Street \& 87 Avenue


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F |  | \% | F |  | \% | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | \% | 个t |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 319 | 10 | 24 | 154 | 30 | 261 | 35 | 681 | 67 | 183 | 954 | 365 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 319 | 10 | 24 | 154 | 30 | 261 | 35 | 681 | 67 | 183 | 954 | 365 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 1593 | 0 | 1692 | 1541 | 0 | 1692 | 3341 | 0 | 1692 | 3243 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.144 |  |  | 0.735 |  |  | 0.100 |  |  | 0.299 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 257 | 1593 | 0 | 1309 | 1541 | 0 | 178 | 3341 | 0 | 533 | 3243 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 24 |  |  | 161 |  |  | 9 |  |  | 49 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 104.5 |  |  | 113.7 |  |  | 140.0 |  |  | 245.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.5 |  |  | 5.9 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  | 12.8 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 319 | 34 | 0 | 154 | 291 | 0 | 35 | 748 | 0 | 183 | 1319 | 0 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 |  | , | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 13.0 | 24.0 |  | 24.0 | 24.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 37.0 | 75.0 |  | 38.0 | 38.0 |  | 65.0 | 65.0 |  | 65.0 | 65.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 26.4\% | 53.6\% |  | 27.1\% | 27.1\% |  | 46.4\% | 46.4\% |  | 46.4\% | 46.4\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | C-Max | C-Max |  | C-Max | C-Max |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 56.7 | 56.7 |  | 21.7 | 21.7 |  | 71.3 | 71.3 |  | 71.3 | 71.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.40 | 0.40 |  | 0.16 | 0.16 |  | 0.51 | 0.51 |  | 0.51 | 0.51 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.80 | 0.05 |  | 0.76 | 0.78 |  | 0.39 | 0.44 |  | 0.68 | 0.79 |  |
| Control Delay | 50.9 | 10.2 |  | 78.6 | 38.7 |  | 47.3 | 28.1 |  | 35.9 | 29.2 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.5 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 50.9 | 10.2 |  | 78.6 | 38.7 |  | 47.3 | 28.5 |  | 35.9 | 29.2 |  |
| LOS | D | B |  | E | D |  | D | C |  | D | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 47.0 |  |  | 52.5 |  |  | 29.4 |  |  | 30.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 69.6 | 1.7 |  | 43.0 | 36.8 |  | 5.6 | 62.8 |  | 23.2 | 87.8 |  |



| Lane Group | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 354 | 507 | 62 | 18 | 168 | 59 | 101 | 153 | 155 | 54 | 615 | 95 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 354 | 507 | 62 | 18 | 168 | 59 | 101 | 153 | 155 | 54 | 615 | 95 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 2 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 3331 | 0 | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.525 |  |  | 0.426 |  |  | 0.311 |  |  | 0.650 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 935 | 3331 | 0 | 759 | 3385 | 1514 | 554 | 3385 | 1514 | 1158 | 3385 | 1514 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 14 |  |  |  | 70 |  |  | 163 |  |  | 100 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 148.9 |  |  | 124.9 |  |  | 173.6 |  |  | 108.0 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.8 |  |  | 6.5 |  |  | 9.1 |  |  | 5.6 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 373 | 599 | 0 | 19 | 177 | 62 | 106 | 161 | 163 | 57 | 647 | 100 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 | 6 |  | 6 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

Switch Phase

| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum Split (s) | 13.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 43.0 | 77.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $30.7 \%$ | $55.0 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | $24.3 \%$ | $45.0 \%$ | $45.0 \%$ | $45.0 \%$ | $45.0 \%$ | $45.0 \%$ | $45.0 \%$ |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lead-Lag Optimize?

|  | Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Recall Mode | 71.0 | 71.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.41 | 0.41 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.55 | 0.35 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.47 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.12 | 0.47 | 0.15 |
| v/c Ratio | 24.6 | 20.1 | 48.6 | 48.5 | 9.4 | 37.1 | 24.6 | 4.8 | 26.9 | 31.8 | 5.2 |
| Control Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 24.6 | 20.1 | 48.6 | 48.5 | 9.4 | 37.1 | 24.6 | 4.8 | 26.9 | 31.8 | 5.2 |
| Total Delay | C | C | D | D | A | D | C | A | C | C | A |
| LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8 |  |


|  | \% | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | * | $\checkmark$ | $\geqslant$ | $\downarrow$ | $\ldots$ | K | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR |
| Approach Delay |  | 21.8 |  |  | 39.1 |  |  | 20.2 |  |  | 28.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 62.4 | 50.0 |  | 4.5 | 22.8 | 0.0 | 21.9 | 15.0 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 71.1 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 87.3 | 63.7 |  | 12.5 | 34.3 | 10.8 | 38.4 | 22.7 | 16.3 | 20.1 | 89.0 | 11.5 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 124.9 |  |  | 100.9 |  |  | 149.6 |  |  | 84.0 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 674 | 1696 |  | 151 | 677 | 358 | 225 | 1378 | 713 | 471 | 1378 | 675 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.55 | 0.35 |  | 0.13 | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.47 | 0.12 | 0.23 | 0.12 | 0.47 | 0.15 |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: <br> Other

Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 140
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 4:NBTL and 8:SBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 75
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.55
Intersection Signal Delay: 25.4
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.6\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 71: Southfort Dr./86 Avenue \& 94 Street


| Lane Group | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 | * | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | * | 个t |  | * | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 70 | 279 | 41 | 194 | 547 | 390 | 287 | 322 | 63 | 119 | 931 | 217 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 70 | 279 | 41 | 194 | 547 | 390 | 287 | 322 | 63 | 119 | 931 | 217 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 1692 | 3300 | 0 | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.327 |  |  | 0.549 |  |  | 0.154 |  |  | 0.524 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 583 | 3385 | 1514 | 978 | 3385 | 1514 | 274 | 3300 | 0 | 933 | 3385 | 1514 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 70 |  |  | 390 |  | 28 |  |  |  | 193 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 118.0 |  |  | 140.0 |  |  | 148.9 |  |  | 124.9 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.2 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  | 7.8 |  |  | 6.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 70 | 279 | 41 | 194 | 547 | 390 | 287 | 385 | 0 | 119 | 931 | 217 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 7 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 8 |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 13.0 | 30.0 |  | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 34.0 | 89.0 |  | 55.0 | 55.0 | 55.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 36.4\% | 36.4\% | 36.4\% | 36.4\% | 36.4\% | 36.4\% | 24.3\% | 63.6\% |  | 39.3\% | 39.3\% | 39.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | None | C-Max |  | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 45.0 | 83.0 | 83.0 |  | 56.5 | 56.5 | 56.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.59 | 0.59 |  | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.08 | 0.62 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.78 | 0.20 |  | 0.32 | 0.68 | 0.30 |
| Control Delay | 43.9 | 35.9 | 2.3 | 42.3 | 35.7 | 5.9 | 37.0 | 10.1 |  | 33.4 | 38.5 | 6.9 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 43.9 | 36.0 | 2.3 | 42.3 | 36.3 | 7.1 | 37.0 | 10.1 |  | 33.4 | 38.5 | 6.9 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | D | A | D | B |  | C | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 33.8 |  |  | 27.3 |  |  | 21.6 |  |  | 32.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 15.5 | 31.2 | 0.0 | 44.5 | 58.3 | 19.8 | 49.7 | 17.5 |  | 23.3 | 115.4 | 4.2 |


|  | $\cdots$ | $\uparrow$ | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | \} | $\xlongequal{4}$ | $\nearrow$ | - | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 31.4 | 43.4 | 3.0 | m46.4 | 52.8 | m26.3 | 81.0 | 24.6 |  | 44.2 | 153.0 | 23.3 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 94.0 |  |  | 116.0 |  |  | 124.9 |  |  | 100.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 187 | 1088 | 534 | 314 | 1088 | 751 | 446 | 1967 |  | 376 | 1366 | 726 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 174 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 97 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.37 | 0.28 | 0.08 | 0.62 | 0.65 | 0.68 | 0.64 | 0.20 |  | 0.32 | 0.68 | 0.30 |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 140
Offset: 85 (61\%), Referenced to phase 4:NETL and 8:SWTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 75
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.78
Intersection Signal Delay: 28.9
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 86.6\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 71: Southfort Dr. 186 Avenue \& 94 Street


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\$$ |  |  | $\$$ |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\mp$ | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\mp$ |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 78 | 1 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 49 | 1 | 636 | 19 | 88 | 129 | 17 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 78 | 1 | 12 | 6 | 1 | 49 | 1 | 636 | 19 | 88 | 129 | 17 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | $0 \%$ |  |  | $0 \%$ |  |  | $0 \%$ |  |  | $0 \%$ |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 82 | 1 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 52 | 1 | 669 | 20 | 93 | 136 | 18 |

Pedestrians
Lane Width ( m )
Walking Speed (m/s)
Percent Blockage
Right turn flare (veh)


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | NB 3 | SB 1 | SB 2 | SB 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total | 96 | 59 | 1 | 669 | 20 | 93 | 136 | 18 |  |
| Volume Left | 82 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right | 13 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 18 |  |
| cSH | 186 | 392 | 1411 | 1700 | 1700 | 891 | 1700 | 1700 |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.52 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.01 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 20.6 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 43.4 | 15.8 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Lane LOS | E | C | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 43.4 | 15.8 | 0.0 |  |  | 3.6 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | E | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 61.3\% |  | ICU Leve | of Ser |  |  | B |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }^{4}$ |  |  | ${ }^{4}$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 45 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 297 | 2 | 88 | 558 | 83 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 45 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 297 | 2 | 88 | 558 | 83 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 45 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 48 | 2 | 297 | 2 | 88 | 558 | 83 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 237 |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 | 0.84 |  | 0.84 |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 1083 | 1037 | 558 | 1037 | 1118 | 297 | 641 |  |  | 299 |  |  |
| vC 1 , stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 1005 | 950 | 382 | 950 | 1046 | 297 | 480 |  |  | 299 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 72 | 100 | 100 | 96 | 100 | 93 | 100 |  |  | 93 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 162 | 201 | 555 | 188 | 176 | 735 | 899 |  |  | 1245 |  |  |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | NB 3 | SB 1 | SB 2 | SB 3 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Volume Total | 47 | 55 | 2 | 297 | 2 | 88 | 558 | 83 |  |
| Volume Left | 45 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right | 2 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 83 |  |
| CSH | 167 | 536 | 899 | 1700 | 1700 | 1245 | 1700 | 1700 |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.28 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.33 | 0.05 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 8.7 | 2.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 34.9 | 12.5 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Lane LOS | D | B | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 34.9 | 12.5 | 0.1 |  | 1.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F |  | \% | F |  | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | 7 | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 68 | 5 | 22 | 54 | 1 | 323 | 110 | 527 | 25 | 77 | 288 | 21 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 68 | 5 | 22 | 54 | 1 | 323 | 110 | 527 | 25 | 77 | 288 | 21 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 20.0 |  | 0.0 | 20.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 1562 | 0 | 1692 | 1514 | 0 | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.301 |  |  | 0.739 |  |  | 0.567 |  |  | 0.444 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 536 | 1562 | 0 | 1317 | 1514 | 0 | 1010 | 3385 | 1514 | 791 | 3385 | 1514 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 23 |  |  | 200 |  |  |  | 31 |  |  | 31 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) |  | 110.5 |  |  | 188.6 |  |  | 221.4 |  |  | 222.3 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.8 |  |  | 9.8 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 11.6 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 72 | 28 | 0 | 57 | 341 | 0 | 116 | 555 | 26 | 81 | 303 | 22 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 8 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |  |  |

Switch Phase

| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lead-Lag Optimize?

| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | C-Max |  |  |  | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C-Max | C-Max |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 13.3 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 46.7 | 46.7 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.67 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.71 | 0.09 | 0.23 | 0.76 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.02 |  |
| Control Delay | 59.7 | 10.1 | 23.3 | 21.7 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 0.9 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 59.7 | 10.1 | 23.3 | 21.7 | 7.9 | 7.0 | 3.7 | 5.3 | 4.1 | 0.9 |  |
| LOS | E | B | C | C | A | A | A | A | A | A |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach Delay |  | 45.8 |  |  | 21.9 |  | 7.0 |  |  | 4.2 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  | C |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th $(\mathrm{m})$ | 9.3 | 0.6 | 6.7 | 17.4 | 5.6 | 14.7 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 5.5 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 20.0 | 5.5 | 13.4 | 37.7 | 13.7 | 26.3 | 2.5 | 13.1 | 18.6 | 1.4 |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 86.5 |  | 164.6 |  | 197.4 |  |  | 198.3 |  |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 20.0 |  | 20.0 |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 229 | 682 | 564 | 763 | 674 | 2259 | 1020 | 528 | 2259 | 1020 |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.31 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.45 | 0.17 | 0.25 | 0.03 | 0.15 | 0.13 | 0.02 |  |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: <br> Other

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 50
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76
Intersection Signal Delay: 12.4 Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 60.5\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 55: Allard Way \& Southfort Drive


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |  | \% | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |  | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F | \% | 个 $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 95 | 20 | 94 | 75 | 5 | 136 | 130 | 439 | 164 | 310 | 1061 | 9 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 95 | 20 | 94 | 75 | 5 | 136 | 130 | 439 | 164 | 310 | 1061 | 9 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 20.0 |  | 0.0 | 20.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 1561 | 0 | 1692 | 1523 | 0 | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.658 |  |  | 0.684 |  |  | 0.249 |  |  | 0.497 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1172 | 1561 | 0 | 1219 | 1523 | 0 | 444 | 3385 | 1514 | 885 | 3385 | 1514 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 94 |  |  | 136 |  |  |  | 164 |  |  | 31 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 110.5 |  |  | 188.6 |  |  | 221.4 |  |  | 222.3 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.8 |  |  | 9.8 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 11.6 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 95 | 114 | 0 | 75 | 141 | 0 | 130 | 439 | 164 | 310 | 1061 | 9 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 47.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 32.9\% | 32.9\% |  | 32.9\% | 32.9\% |  | 67.1\% | 67.1\% | 67.1\% | 67.1\% | 67.1\% | 67.1\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 10.9 | 10.9 |  | 10.8 | 10.8 |  | 52.3 | 52.3 | 52.3 | 52.3 | 52.3 | 52.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.16 |  | 0.15 | 0.15 |  | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.75 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.52 | 0.35 |  | 0.40 | 0.40 |  | 0.39 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.01 |
| Control Delay | 36.4 | 11.2 |  | 31.5 | 9.0 |  | 12.4 | 6.0 | 3.4 | 6.9 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 36.4 | 11.2 |  | 31.5 | 9.0 |  | 12.4 | 6.0 | 3.4 | 6.9 | 3.1 | 0.0 |
| LOS | D | B |  | C | A |  | B | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 22.7 |  |  | 16.8 |  |  | 6.6 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 12.1 | 2.4 |  | 9.3 | 0.6 |  | 8.1 | 12.7 | 0.0 | 10.3 | 17.5 | 0.0 |


|  | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\rangle$ | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 23.7 | 13.9 |  | 19.3 | 13.4 |  | 22.9 | 20.3 | 9.8 | 26.4 | 17.5 | m0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 86.5 |  |  | 164.6 |  |  | 197.4 |  |  | 198.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 20.0 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 301 | 471 |  | 313 | 492 |  | 331 | 2527 | 1171 | 660 | 2527 | 1138 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.32 | 0.24 |  | 0.24 | 0.29 |  | 0.39 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.47 | 0.42 | 0.01 |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 38 (54\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 60
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52
Intersection Signal Delay: 7.3
Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.5\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 55: Allard Way \& Southfort Drive


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |  | 9 | F |  | * | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 29 | 8 | 22 | 33 | 9 | 107 | 25 | 533 | 7 | 24 | 267 | 51 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 29 | 8 | 22 | 33 | 9 | 107 | 25 | 533 | 7 | 24 | 267 | 51 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 50.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 30.0 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 1584 | 0 | 1692 | 1534 | 0 | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.679 |  |  | 0.737 |  |  | 0.579 |  |  | 0.442 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1210 | 1584 | 0 | 1313 | 1534 | 0 | 1031 | 3385 | 1514 | 787 | 3385 | 1514 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 23 |  |  | 113 |  |  |  | 31 |  |  | 54 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 138.6 |  |  | 93.4 |  |  | 198.8 |  |  | 262.5 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.2 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 10.4 |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 31 | 31 | 0 | 35 | 122 | 0 | 26 | 561 | 7 | 25 | 281 | 54 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm custom | NA custom |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | 4 | 8 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |

Switch Phase

| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 | 40.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $42.9 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ | $57.1 \%$ |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lead-Lag Optimize?

| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | C-Max |  |  |  | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | C-Max C-Max


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach Delay |  | 29.1 |  |  | 17.4 |  | 1.7 |  |  | 2.5 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  | B |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 4.2 | 0.9 | 4.5 | 1.1 | 0.7 | 8.2 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 8.3 | 0.4 |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) m10.1 | m 7.1 | 12.0 | 14.4 | m 1.4 | 9.7 | m 0.0 | 4.3 | 17.6 | 4.7 |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 114.6 |  | 69.4 |  | 174.8 |  |  | 238.5 |  |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |  | 50.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 432 | 580 | 468 | 620 | 817 | 2684 | 1206 | 624 | 2684 | 1211 |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.03 | 0.21 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.04 |  |  |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: <br> Other

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:SBTL and 6:NBTL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 50
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.46
Intersection Signal Delay: 5.5 Intersection LOS: A
Intersection Capacity Utilization 38.2\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 51: Greenview Way N \& Southfort Drive


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F |  | * | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |  | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 168 | 58 | 50 | 79 | 33 | 101 | 38 | 438 | 74 | 161 | 938 | 107 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 168 | 58 | 50 | 79 | 33 | 101 | 38 | 438 | 74 | 161 | 938 | 107 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 50.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 30.0 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3283 | 1659 | 0 | 1692 | 1580 | 0 | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.671 |  |  | 0.687 |  |  | 0.286 |  |  | 0.498 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 2319 | 1659 | 0 | 1224 | 1580 | 0 | 510 | 3385 | 1514 | 887 | 3385 | 1514 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 50 |  |  | 101 |  |  |  | 74 |  |  | 107 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 138.6 |  |  | 112.3 |  |  | 200.5 |  |  | 262.5 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.2 |  |  | 5.9 |  |  | 10.5 |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 168 | 108 | 0 | 79 | 134 | 0 | 38 | 438 | 74 | 161 | 938 | 107 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | custom |  | custom |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | 4 |  | 8 |  |  | 6 |  | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 6 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 |  | 28.0 | 28.0 |  | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |  | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |  | 60.0\% | 60.0\% | 60.0\% | 60.0\% | 60.0\% | 60.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 11.3 | 11.3 |  | 11.3 | 11.3 |  | 48.7 | 48.7 | 48.7 | 48.7 | 48.7 | 48.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.16 |  | 0.16 | 0.16 |  | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.45 | 0.35 |  | 0.40 | 0.39 |  | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.40 | 0.10 |
| Control Delay | 30.2 | 18.4 |  | 32.2 | 12.6 |  | 6.3 | 5.6 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 0.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 30.2 | 18.4 |  | 32.2 | 12.6 |  | 6.3 | 5.6 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.9 | 0.3 |
| LOS | C | B |  | C | B |  | A | A | A | A | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 25.6 |  |  | 19.9 |  |  | 5.3 |  |  | 2.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 10.9 | 7.1 |  | 10.0 | 4.0 |  | 2.0 | 12.5 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 8.0 | 0.2 |


|  | $\geqslant$ |  | $\rangle$ | $\checkmark$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 18.5 | 19.0 |  | 20.9 | 17.1 |  | m6.3 | 20.9 | 6.4 | 5.1 | 12.0 | 0.1 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 114.6 |  |  | 88.3 |  |  | 176.5 |  |  | 238.5 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  | 50.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 761 | 578 |  | 402 | 586 |  | 354 | 2353 | 1075 | 616 | 2353 | 1085 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.22 | 0.19 |  | 0.20 | 0.23 |  | 0.11 | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.40 | 0.10 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 68 (97\%), Referenced to phase 2:SBTL and 6:NBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 7.8 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 72.5\% ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $m$ Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: $\quad$ 51: Greenview Way N \& Southfort Drive


| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | $\overline{7}$ | \% | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | $\overline{7}$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 64 | 65 | 184 | 419 | 297 | 56 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 64 | 65 | 184 | 419 | 297 | 56 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  | 29.9 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 1514 | 1692 | 1781 | 1781 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.552 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1692 | 1514 | 983 | 1781 | 1781 | 1514 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 68 |  |  |  | 59 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 69 |  |  | 69 | 69 |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) | 237.4 |  |  | 98.9 | 110.1 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 12.4 |  |  | 5.2 | 5.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 67 | 68 | 194 | 441 | 313 | 59 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | Perm | NA | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 40.0\% | 40.0\% | 60.0\% | 60.0\% | 60.0\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max | Max |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 22.0 | 22.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 70.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 1.00 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.34 | 0.04 |
| Control Delay | 23.1 | 11.2 | 13.1 | 13.2 | 9.9 | 0.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 23.1 | 11.2 | 13.1 | 13.2 | 9.9 | 0.1 |
| LOS | C | B | B | B | A | A |

## 1412 Southfort LT Traffic AM Peak.syn

8/25/2015
Al-Terra

| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Approach Delay | 17.1 |  |  | 13.2 | 8.4 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 12.1 | 0.0 | 14.9 | 36.0 | 37.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 17.8 | 11.1 | 29.5 | 58.4 | 49.0 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 213.4 |  |  | 74.9 | 86.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 60.0 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 531 | 522 | 505 | 915 | 915 | 1514 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.34 | 0.04 |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: <br> Other

Cycle Length: 70
Actuated Cycle Length: 70
Offset: 0 ( $0 \%$ ), Referenced to phase 4:EBL, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 50
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.48
Intersection Signal Delay: 12.1
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 51.9\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: $\quad$ 12: Southfort Drive \& 84 Street


| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 187 | 198 | 161 | 355 | 748 | 268 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 187 | 198 | 161 | 355 | 748 | 268 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  | 29.9 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 1514 | 1692 | 1781 | 1781 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  | 0.246 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1692 | 1514 | 438 | 1781 | 1781 | 1514 |
| Right Turn on Red |  | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 198 |  |  |  | 203 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 69 |  |  | 69 | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 235.2 |  |  | 240.5 | 132.3 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 12.3 |  |  | 12.5 | 6.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 187 | 198 | 161 | 355 | 748 | 268 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | Perm | NA | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 32.9\% | 32.9\% | 67.1\% | 67.1\% | 67.1\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | Max | Max | Max |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 18.0 | 18.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 42.0 | 70.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 1.00 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.43 | 0.37 | 0.61 | 0.33 | 0.70 | 0.18 |
| Control Delay | 25.4 | 5.8 | 22.0 | 8.1 | 14.9 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 25.4 | 5.8 | 22.0 | 8.1 | 14.9 | 0.2 |
| LOS | C | A | C | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay | 15.3 |  |  | 12.4 | 11.1 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 21.2 | 0.0 | 12.3 | 21.4 | 71.9 | 0.0 |



|  | $\checkmark$ | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 7 | $\uparrow$ | F | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 105 | 172 | 431 | 36 | 47 | 315 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 105 | 172 | 431 | 36 | 47 | 315 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 111 | 181 | 454 | 38 | 49 | 332 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  | 262 |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 884 | 454 |  |  | 492 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 846 | 454 |  |  | 492 |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 62 | 70 |  |  | 95 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 295 | 600 |  |  | 1056 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |
| Volume Total | 111 | 181 | 454 | 38 | 49 | 332 |  |
| Volume Left | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 181 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 |  |
| cSH | 295 | 600 | 1700 | 1700 | 1056 | 1700 |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.38 | 0.30 | 0.27 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.20 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 13.3 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 24.4 | 13.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.6 | 0.0 |  |
| Lane LOS | C | B |  |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 17.7 |  | 0.0 |  | 1.1 |  |  |
| Approach LOS C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 4.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 42.6\% |  | ICU Level | of Service | A |


|  | $\square$ | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $P$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |  |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 7 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 63 | 111 | 408 | 96 | 202 | 744 |  |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 63 | 111 | 408 | 96 | 202 | 744 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 63 | 111 | 408 | 96 | 202 | 744 |  |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  | None |  |  | WLTL |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  | 240 |  |
| pX , platoon unblocked | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 1556 | 408 |  |  | 504 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol | 408 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol | 1148 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 1575 | 408 |  |  | 504 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 6.4 | 6.2 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 3.3 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 68 | 83 |  |  | 81 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 195 | 637 |  |  | 1045 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | NB 2 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |
| Volume Total | 63 | 111 | 408 | 96 | 202 | 744 |  |
| Volume Left | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 202 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 111 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 0 |  |
| cSH | 195 | 637 | 1700 | 1700 | 1045 | 1700 |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.32 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.19 | 0.44 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 10.5 | 5.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 32.1 | 11.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 0.0 |  |
| Lane LOS | D | B |  |  | A |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 19.2 |  | 0.0 |  | 2.0 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 50.5\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | F | 9 | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |  | \% | $\stackrel{ }{ }$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 59 | 141 | 107 | 44 | 490 | 22 | 44 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 1 | 143 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 59 | 141 | 107 | 44 | 490 | 22 | 44 | 1 | 8 | 15 | 1 | 143 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 50.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 30.0 |  | 0.0 | 30.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 1692 | 3365 | 0 | 1692 | 1545 | 0 | 1692 | 1516 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.451 |  |  | 0.658 |  |  | 0.660 |  |  | 0.752 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 803 | 3385 | 1514 | 1172 | 3365 | 0 | 1176 | 1545 | 0 | 1340 | 1516 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 113 |  | 8 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 151 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 273.8 |  |  | 120.9 |  |  | 69.4 |  |  | 106.1 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.3 |  |  | 6.3 |  |  | 3.6 |  |  | 5.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 62 | 148 | 113 | 46 | 539 | 0 | 46 | 9 | 0 | 16 | 152 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |  | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 |  |  |

Switch Phase

| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 | 34.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $51.4 \%$ | $51.4 \%$ | $51.4 \%$ | $51.4 \%$ | $51.4 \%$ | $48.6 \%$ | $48.6 \%$ | $48.6 \%$ | $48.6 \%$ |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Lead-Lag Optimize?

| Recall Mode | Max | Max | Max | Max | Max | None | None | None | None |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 36.5 | 36.5 | 36.5 | 36.5 | 36.5 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.19 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.21 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.37 |
| Control Delay | 4.9 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 20.1 | 11.3 | 17.5 | 7.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 4.9 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 20.1 | 11.3 | 17.5 | 7.0 |
| LOS | A | A | A | A | A | C | B | B | A |


|  | $\dagger$ |  | $\nabla$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | ¢ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Approach Delay |  | 3.3 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  | 18.7 |  |  | 8.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 2.1 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 1.5 | 10.2 |  | 3.7 | 0.1 |  | 1.3 | 0.1 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 5.9 | 5.0 | 4.1 | 4.4 | 16.0 |  | 10.9 | 2.9 |  | 5.2 | 11.8 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 249.8 |  |  | 96.9 |  |  | 45.4 |  |  | 82.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 50.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 559 | 2355 | 1087 | 815 | 2344 |  | 652 | 860 |  | 742 | 908 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.11 | 0.06 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.23 |  | 0.07 | 0.01 |  | 0.02 | 0.17 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 52.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.37 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 5.3 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 61.3\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 206: Ridge Point Gate \& Southridge Blvd.


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% | 个t |  | ${ }^{1}$ | F |  | \% | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 204 | 386 | 84 | 27 | 152 | 62 | 125 | 2 | 41 | 52 | 2 | 178 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 204 | 386 | 84 | 27 | 152 | 62 | 125 | 2 | 41 | 52 | 2 | 178 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 50.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 30.0 |  | 0.0 | 30.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 1692 | 3239 | 0 | 1692 | 1527 | 0 | 1692 | 1518 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.618 |  |  | 0.523 |  |  | 0.589 |  |  | 0.729 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1101 | 3385 | 1514 | 932 | 3239 | 0 | 1049 | 1527 | 0 | 1299 | 1518 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 84 |  | 62 |  |  | 41 |  |  | 178 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 273.8 |  |  | 140.5 |  |  | 69.4 |  |  | 106.1 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.3 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  | 3.6 |  |  | 5.5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 204 | 386 | 84 | 27 | 214 | 0 | 125 | 43 | 0 | 52 | 180 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | , |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 54.3\% | 54.3\% | 54.3\% | 54.3\% | 54.3\% |  | 45.7\% | 45.7\% |  | 45.7\% | 45.7\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |  | None | None |  | None | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 45.8 | 45.8 | 45.8 | 45.8 | 45.8 |  | 14.2 | 14.2 |  | 14.2 | 14.2 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 |  | 0.20 | 0.20 |  | 0.20 | 0.20 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.28 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.10 |  | 0.59 | 0.13 |  | 0.20 | 0.40 |  |
| Control Delay | 7.6 | 5.9 | 1.9 | 6.3 | 4.1 |  | 35.8 | 8.0 |  | 22.9 | 6.5 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 7.6 | 5.9 | 1.9 | 6.3 | 4.1 |  | 35.8 | 8.0 |  | 22.9 | 6.5 |  |
| LOS | A | A | A | A | A |  | D | A |  | C | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 5.9 |  |  | 4.3 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 10.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 23.8 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.1 |  | 15.9 | 0.3 |  | 6.1 | 0.3 |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\dagger$ |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 22.8 | 18.6 | m4.1 | 5.0 | 9.4 |  | 27.3 | 6.5 |  | 12.6 | 12.7 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 249.8 |  |  | 116.5 |  |  | 45.4 |  |  | 82.1 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 50.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 720 | 2215 | 1020 | 609 | 2141 |  | 404 | 614 |  | 501 | 694 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.28 | 0.17 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.10 |  | 0.31 | 0.07 |  | 0.10 | 0.26 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 9.3 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 61.4\% ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: 206: Ridge Point Gate \& Southridge Blvd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\rightarrow{ }_{\square 02}(\mathrm{R})$ |  |  |  |  |  | $4{ }_{64}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{38 \mathrm{~s}}{\leftarrow}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 32 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $t$ - 08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38 s |  |  |  |  |  | 32 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F |  | \% | F |  |  | $\dagger$ |  | \% | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 8 | 145 | 11 | 20 | 420 | 108 | 66 | 36 | 3 | 27 | 10 | 70 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 8 | 145 | 11 | 20 | 420 | 108 | 66 | 36 | 3 | 27 | 10 | 70 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 8 | 153 | 12 | 21 | 442 | 114 | 69 | 38 | 3 | 28 | 11 | 74 |

## Pedestrians

Lane Width ( m )
Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ )
Percent Blockage
Right turn flare (veh)

| Median type |  | None |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 556 |  | 165 |  | 738 | 773 | 159 | 732 | 722 | 499 |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 556 |  | 165 |  | 738 | 773 | 159 | 732 | 722 | 499 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  | 4.1 |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  | 2.2 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 |  | 98 |  | 75 | 88 | 100 | 91 | 97 | 87 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1000 |  | 1395 |  | 275 | 319 | 878 | 296 | 341 | 566 |


| Direction, Lane \# EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total 8 | 165 | 21 | 556 | 110 | 28 | 85 |  |
| Volume Left 8 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 69 | 28 | 0 |  |
| Volume Right 0 | 12 | 0 | 114 | 3 | 0 | 74 |  |
| cSH 1000 | 1700 | 1395 | 1700 | 294 | 296 | 521 |  |
| Volume to Capacity 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.02 | 0.33 | 0.37 | 0.09 | 0.16 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 13.2 | 2.4 | 4.6 |  |
| Control Delay (s) 8.6 | 0.0 | 7.6 | 0.0 | 24.4 | 18.4 | 13.2 |  |
| Lane LOS A |  | A |  | C | C | B |  |
| Approach Delay (s) 0.4 |  | 0.3 |  | 24.4 | 14.5 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  | C | B |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  | 48.7\% |  | ICU Leve | of Servic |  | A |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% | F |  | \% | F |  |  | ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  | \% | $\stackrel{1}{ }$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 37 | 387 | 50 | 27 | 152 | 62 | 23 | 20 | 2 | 126 | 44 | 99 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 37 | 387 | 50 | 27 | 152 | 62 | 23 | 20 | 2 | 126 | 44 | 99 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 37 | 387 | 50 | 27 | 152 | 62 | 23 | 20 | 2 | 126 | 44 | 99 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  | None |  |  | None |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC, conflicting volume | 214 |  |  | 437 |  |  | 813 | 754 | 412 | 710 | 748 | 183 |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC 2 , stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 214 |  |  | 437 |  |  | 813 | 754 | 412 | 710 | 748 | 183 |
| tC, single (s) | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 |
| p0 queue free \% | 97 |  |  | 98 |  |  | 90 | 94 | 100 | 60 | 86 | 88 |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 1338 |  |  | 1107 |  |  | 223 | 318 | 633 | 314 | 320 | 852 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | EB 2 | WB 1 | WB 2 | NB 1 | SB 1 | SB 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 37 | 437 | 27 | 214 | 45 | 126 | 143 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 37 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 23 | 126 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 0 | 50 | 0 | 62 | 2 | 0 | 99 |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 1338 | 1700 | 1107 | 1700 | 266 | 314 | 564 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.03 | 0.26 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.40 | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 4.7 | 14.7 | 7.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 7.8 | 0.0 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 21.3 | 23.9 | 13.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A |  | A |  | C | C | B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 0.6 |  | 0.9 |  | 21.3 | 18.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  |  |  |  | C | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | ation |  | 52.7\% |  | CU Leve | of Ser |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Intersection |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 9.4 |  | WB | NB |
| Intersection LOS | A |  | 1 | 1 |
| Approach | EB | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Entry Lanes | 1 | 558 | 110 | 113 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes | 1 | 586 | 115 | 119 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h | 173 | 120 | 198 | 538 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h | 182 | 193 | 27 | 168 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h | 43 | 3.186 | 3.186 | 3.186 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h | 614 | 0 | 108 | 0 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s | 3.186 | 1.000 | 0.984 | 1.000 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h | 0 | 11.9 | 5.3 | 7.9 |
| Ped Cap Adj | 1.000 | B | A | A |
| Approach Delay, s/veh | 5.0 |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  |  |


| Lane | Left | Left | Left | Left |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Designated Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Assumed Moves | LTR | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 | 1.000 |
| Critical Headway, s | 5.193 | 5.193 | 5.193 | 5.193 |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 182 | 586 | 115 | 119 |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 1082 | 1002 | 927 | 660 |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.952 | 0.952 | 0.957 | 0.953 |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 173 | 558 | 110 | 113 |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 1031 | 954 | 873 | 629 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.168 | 0.585 | 0.126 | 7.9 |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 5.0 | 11.9 | 5.3 | A |
| LOS | A | B | A | 1 |


| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 8.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach |  | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  | SB |
| Entry Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Conflicting Circle Lanes |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Adj Approach Flow, veh/h |  | 474 |  | 211 |  | 45 |  | 269 |
| Demand Flow Rate, veh/h |  | 497 |  | 222 |  | 47 |  | 282 |
| Vehicles Circulating, veh/h |  | 179 |  | 84 |  | 577 |  | 150 |
| Vehicles Exiting, veh/h |  | 253 |  | 540 |  | 99 |  | 156 |
| Follow-Up Headway, s |  | 3.186 |  | 3.186 |  | 3.186 |  | 3.186 |
| Ped Vol Crossing Leg, \#/h |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 137 |  | 0 |
| Ped Cap Adj |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 0.979 |  | 1.000 |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 11.0 |  | 5.7 |  | 6.9 |  | 6.9 |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  | A |  | A |  | A |
| Lane | Left |  | Left |  | Left |  | Left |  |
| Designated Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |
| Assumed Moves | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  | LTR |  |
| RT Channelized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Util | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  | 1.000 |  |
| Critical Headway, s | 5.193 |  | 5.193 |  | 5.193 |  | 5.193 |  |
| Entry Flow, veh/h | 497 |  | 222 |  | 47 |  | 282 |  |
| Cap Entry Lane, veh/h | 945 |  | 1039 |  | 635 |  | 973 |  |
| Entry HV Adj Factor | 0.953 |  | 0.951 |  | 0.957 |  | 0.953 |  |
| Flow Entry, veh/h | 474 |  | 211 |  | 45 |  | 269 |  |
| Cap Entry, veh/h | 900 |  | 988 |  | 595 |  | 927 |  |
| V/C Ratio | 0.526 |  | 0.214 |  | 0.076 |  | 0.290 |  |
| Control Delay, s/veh | 11.0 |  | 5.7 |  | 6.9 |  | 6.9 |  |
| LOS | B |  | A |  | A |  | A |  |
| 95th \%tile Queue, veh | 3 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  |

# Synchro Reports at Southfort 

50\% Development

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7 *}$ | 出 | 「 | 7＊ | 个4 | F | \％${ }^{1 / 1}$ | 蚔 | 「 | \％${ }^{1 / 1}$ | 个性 | \％ |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 526 | 13 | 297 | 326 | 13 | 75 | 72 | 1202 | 57 | 84 | 1192 | 125 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 526 | 13 | 297 | 326 | 13 | 75 | 72 | 1202 | 57 | 84 | 1192 | 125 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length（m） | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 79.9 |  | 79.9 | 79.9 |  | 79.9 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（m） | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3283 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.503 |  |  | 0.749 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1738 | 3385 | 1514 | 2589 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 235 |  |  | 210 |  |  | 164 |  |  | 164 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 258.4 |  |  | 273.8 |  |  | 345.0 |  |  | 780.4 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 13.5 |  |  | 14.3 |  |  | 18.0 |  |  | 40.7 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 526 | 13 | 297 | 326 | 13 | 75 | 72 | 1202 | 57 | 84 | 1192 | 125 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | Free | 8 |  | Free |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 15.0 | 37.0 |  | 13.0 | 37.0 |  | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 26.0 | 47.0 |  | 16.0 | 37.0 |  | 15.0 | 62.0 | 62.0 | 15.0 | 62.0 | 62.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 18．6\％ | 33．6\％ |  | 11．4\％ | 26．4\％ |  | 10．7\％ | 44．3\％ | 44．3\％ | 10．7\％ | 44．3\％ | 44．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.5 | 2.0 |  | 2.5 | 2.0 |  | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 30.6 | 18.2 | 140.0 | 24.2 | 14.2 | 140.0 | 8.3 | 82.8 | 82.8 | 8.6 | 85.7 | 85.7 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.22 | 0.13 | 1.00 | 0.17 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.06 | 0.61 | 0.61 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.62 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.37 | 0.42 | 0.06 | 0.42 | 0.40 | 0.13 |
| Control Delay | 65.8 | 45.9 | 0.3 | 53.3 | 52.3 | 0.1 | 68.7 | 18.6 | 0.1 | 63.8 | 21.7 | 7.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 65.8 | 45.9 | 0.3 | 53.3 | 52.3 | 0.1 | 68.7 | 18.6 | 0.1 | 63.8 | 21.7 | 7.4 |
| LOS | E | D | A | D | D | A | E | B | A | E | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 42.2 |  |  | 43.6 |  |  | 20.5 |  |  | 22.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | ～89．7 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 46.6 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 10.4 | 52.7 | 0.0 | 13.0 | 61.0 | 6.5 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 69.9 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 42.9 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 18.9 | 116.2 | 0.0 | m21．1 | 96.8 | m14．6 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 234.4 |  |  | 249.8 |  |  | 321.0 |  |  | 756.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 79.9 |  | 79.9 | 79.9 |  | 79.9 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 600 | 991 | 1514 | 527 | 749 | 1514 | 213 | 2876 | 962 | 216 | 2977 | 990 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | $y$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.01 | 0.20 | 0.62 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.34 | 0.42 | 0.06 | 0.39 | 0.40 | 0.13 |

## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 140
Actuated Cycle Length: 140
Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green
Natural Cycle: 100
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.88
Intersection Signal Delay: 28.3
Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 66.8\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 107: Highway 21 \& Wilshire Blvd./Southridge Blvd.


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \% ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | Mi | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | M ${ }^{1 / 1}$ | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 308 | 23 | 192 | 197 | 21 | 309 | 212 | 1701 | 265 | 295 | 1412 | 473 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 308 | 23 | 192 | 197 | 21 | 309 | 212 | 1701 | 265 | 295 | 1412 | 473 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 79.9 |  | 79.9 | 79.9 |  | 79.9 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length ( m ) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3283 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.665 |  |  | 0.742 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 2298 | 3385 | 1514 | 2564 | 3385 | 1514 | 2868 | 4863 | 979 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 192 |  |  | 242 |  |  | 208 |  |  | 469 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 258.4 |  |  | 273.8 |  |  | 345.0 |  |  | 780.4 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 13.5 |  |  | 14.3 |  |  | 18.0 |  |  | 40.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1733 |  | 348 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 308 | 23 | 192 | 197 | 21 | 309 | 212 | 1701 | 265 | 295 | 1412 | 473 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | Free | 8 |  | Free |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.0 | 37.0 |  | 13.0 | 37.0 |  | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 15.0 | 39.0 |  | 13.0 | 37.0 |  | 20.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 24.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 10.7\% | 27.9\% |  | 9.3\% | 26.4\% |  | 14.3\% | 45.7\% | 45.7\% | 17.1\% | 48.6\% | 48.6\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.0 |  | 2.5 | 2.0 |  | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Efftt Green (s) | 20.4 | 15.4 | 140.0 | 18.0 | 14.2 | 140.0 | 13.4 | 82.3 | 82.3 | 16.9 | 85.8 | 85.8 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.15 | 0.11 | 1.00 | 0.13 | 0.10 | 1.00 | 0.10 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.12 | 0.61 | 0.61 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.77 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.53 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.67 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.74 | 0.47 | 0.43 |
| Control Delay | 66.4 | 51.8 | 0.2 | 56.0 | 53.2 | 0.3 | 72.1 | 22.3 | 7.5 | 51.8 | 27.9 | 12.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 66.4 | 51.8 | 0.2 | 56.0 | 53.2 | 0.3 | 72.1 | 22.3 | 7.5 | 51.8 | 27.9 | 12.7 |
| LOS | E | D | A | E | D | A | E | C | A | D | C | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 41.4 |  |  | 23.2 |  |  | 25.3 |  |  | 27.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 41.9 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 25.8 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 30.6 | 115.3 | 7.3 | 43.5 | 100.5 | 32.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 46.4 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 30.8 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 44.7 | 181.0 | 36.1 | m58.4 | 130.9 | 81.1 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 234.4 |  |  | 249.8 |  |  | 321.0 |  |  | 756.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 79.9 |  | 79.9 | 79.9 |  | 79.9 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 398 | 797 | 1514 | 369 | 749 | 1514 | 338 | 2858 | 661 | 430 | 2978 | 1109 |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\dagger$ |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.77 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.53 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.63 | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.69 | 0.47 | 0.43 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.77 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 27.7 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 73.1\% |  |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 107: Highway 21 \& Wilshire Blvd./Southridge Blvd.


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 「 | \％＊ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \％ | 种中 | F | \％＊ | 率 | \％ |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 144 | 151 | 71 | 270 | 107 | 203 | 58 | 1614 | 126 | 97 | 1060 | 130 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 144 | 151 | 71 | 270 | 107 | 203 | 58 | 1614 | 126 | 97 | 1060 | 130 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length（m） | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（m） | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 1781 | 1514 | 1692 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.553 |  |  | 0.656 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 980 | 3385 | 1486 | 2255 | 1781 | 1486 | 1689 | 4863 | 1485 | 3279 | 4863 | 1485 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 164 |  |  | 164 |  |  | 117 |  |  | 130 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 489.0 |  |  | 168.0 |  |  | 780.4 |  |  | 144.9 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 25.5 |  |  | 8.8 |  |  | 40.7 |  |  | 7.6 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 144 | 151 | 71 | 270 | 107 | 203 | 58 | 1614 | 126 | 97 | 1060 | 130 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 14.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 13.0 | 38.0 | 38.0 | 13.0 | 74.0 | 74.0 | 14.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 10．0\％ | 27．9\％ | 27．9\％ | 9．3\％ | 27．1\％ | 27．1\％ | 9．3\％ | 52．9\％ | 52．9\％ | 10．0\％ | 53．6\％ | 53．6\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 25.1 | 15.1 | 15.1 | 21.1 | 14.1 | 14.1 | 10.6 | 85.9 | 85.9 | 8.0 | 85.9 | 85.9 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.08 | 0.61 | 0.61 | 0.06 | 0.61 | 0.61 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.65 | 0.41 | 0.23 | 0.69 | 0.60 | 0.68 | 0.45 | 0.54 | 0.13 | 0.52 | 0.36 | 0.14 |
| Control Delay | 62.9 | 61.0 | 1.8 | 60.4 | 73.4 | 26.3 | 64.9 | 16.8 | 4.9 | 68.1 | 14.7 | 4.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 62.9 | 61.0 | 1.8 | 60.4 | 73.4 | 26.3 | 64.9 | 16.8 | 4.9 | 68.1 | 14.7 | 4.1 |
| LOS | E | E | A | E | E | C | E | B | A | E | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 50.3 |  |  | 50.9 |  |  | 17.6 |  |  | 17.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 36.4 | 21.7 | 0.0 | 35.3 | 30.0 | 10.6 | 14.4 | 92.9 | 0.1 | 14.9 | 46.3 | 0.6 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 55.3 | 32.2 | 0.0 | 46.9 | 48.6 | 36.8 | m26．8 | 142.5 | m14．5 | 25.4 | 57.9 | 4.3 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 465.0 |  |  | 144.0 |  |  | 756.4 |  |  | 120.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 221 | 797 | 475 | 391 | 407 | 466 | 128 | 2983 | 956 | 187 | 2982 | 961 |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.65 | 0.19 | 0.15 | 0.69 | 0.26 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.54 | 0.13 | 0.52 | 0.36 | 0.14 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 0 (0\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBT and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 25.4 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 76.2\% ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 14: Highway 21 \& Westpark Boulevard/Southfort Blvd.


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个4 | 「 | 7＊ | $\uparrow$ | F | \％ | 个种 | 「 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 个蚔 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 79 | 118 | 48 | 218 | 289 | 140 | 188 | 1858 | 272 | 303 | 1918 | 384 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 79 | 118 | 48 | 218 | 289 | 140 | 188 | 1858 | 272 | 303 | 1918 | 384 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length（m） | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（m） | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 1781 | 1514 | 1692 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.269 |  |  | 0.627 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 477 | 3385 | 1486 | 2155 | 1781 | 1486 | 1691 | 4863 | 1485 | 3280 | 4863 | 1485 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 156 |  |  | 156 |  |  | 158 |  |  | 206 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 489.0 |  |  | 168.0 |  |  | 780.4 |  |  | 144.9 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 25.5 |  |  | 8.8 |  |  | 40.7 |  |  | 7.6 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 79 | 118 | 48 | 218 | 289 | 140 | 188 | 1858 | 272 | 303 | 1918 | 384 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 11.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 39.0 | 39.0 | 26.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 | 22.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 7．9\％ | 26．4\％ | 26．4\％ | 9．3\％ | 27．9\％ | 27．9\％ | 18．6\％ | 48．6\％ | 48．6\％ | 15．7\％ | 45．7\％ | 45．7\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 32.2 | 25.2 | 25.2 | 34.2 | 27.2 | 27.2 | 19.1 | 67.8 | 67.8 | 16.0 | 64.7 | 64.7 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.14 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.11 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.49 | 0.19 | 0.12 | 0.37 | 0.84 | 0.34 | 0.81 | 0.79 | 0.34 | 0.81 | 0.85 | 0.48 |
| Control Delay | 48.2 | 48.1 | 0.6 | 41.2 | 74.6 | 6.8 | 79.8 | 42.0 | 20.5 | 65.8 | 34.0 | 14.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 48.2 | 48.1 | 0.6 | 41.2 | 74.6 | 6.8 | 79.8 | 42.0 | 20.5 | 65.8 | 34.0 | 14.5 |
| LOS | D | D | A | D | E | A | E | D | C | E | C | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 38.8 |  |  | 48.7 |  |  | 42.5 |  |  | 34.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 17.1 | 15.2 | 0.0 | 25.1 | 80.3 | 0.0 | 54.7 | 150.5 | 26.9 | 41.6 | 149.8 | 27.4 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 29.4 | 23.6 | 0.0 | 34.4 | 110.0 | 13.6 | m\＃90．0 | 222.3 | m78．3 | m50．4 | \＃177．8 | m40．8 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 465.0 |  |  | 144.0 |  |  | 756.4 |  |  | 120.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 161 | 749 | 450 | 582 | 419 | 469 | 249 | 2354 | 800 | 375 | 2246 | 796 |



Splits and Phases: 14: Highway 21 \& Westpark Boulevard/Southfort Blvd.


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow$ | 7 | \％${ }^{14}$ | $\uparrow$ | F | \％${ }^{14}$ | 个个¢ | 7 | \％${ }^{17}$ | 个个¢ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 184 | 72 | 300 | 60 | 98 | 109 | 119 | 1849 | 74 | 33 | 927 | 32 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 184 | 72 | 300 | 60 | 98 | 109 | 119 | 1849 | 74 | 33 | 927 | 32 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length（m） | 0.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（ m ） | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1692 | 1781 | 1514 | 3283 | 1781 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.502 |  |  | 0.710 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 889 | 1781 | 1485 | 2454 | 1781 | 1514 | 3273 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1486 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 240 |  |  | 117 |  |  | 117 |  |  | 117 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 277.7 |  |  | 132.0 |  |  | 480.8 |  |  | 814.6 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 14.5 |  |  | 6.9 |  |  | 25.1 |  |  | 42.5 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 5 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 184 | 72 | 300 | 60 | 98 | 109 | 119 | 1849 | 74 | 33 | 927 | 32 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 15.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 13.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 17.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 15.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 16.0 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 13.0 | 72.0 | 72.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 12．1\％ | 26．4\％ | 26．4\％ | 10．7\％ | 25．0\％ | 25．0\％ | 11．4\％ | 53．6\％ | 53．6\％ | 9．3\％ | 51．4\％ | 51．4\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Efftt Green（s） | 31.7 | 21.1 | 21.1 | 22.9 | 15.2 | 15.2 | 10.1 | 87.6 | 87.6 | 7.4 | 79.6 | 79.6 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.15 | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.05 | 0.57 | 0.57 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.68 | 0.27 | 0.70 | 0.13 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 0.61 | 0.07 | 0.19 | 0.34 | 0.04 |
| Control Delay | 58.8 | 55.3 | 22.1 | 41.1 | 66.5 | 11.8 | 78.1 | 12.5 | 1.2 | 50.3 | 23.5 | 3.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 58.8 | 55.3 | 22.1 | 41.1 | 66.5 | 11.8 | 78.1 | 12.5 | 1.2 | 50.3 | 23.5 | 3.7 |
| LOS | E | E | C | D | E | B | E | B | A | D | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 38.5 |  |  | 38.5 |  |  | 15.9 |  |  | 23.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 46.7 | 19.4 | 16.1 | 7.2 | 27.5 | 0.0 | 17.9 | 47.4 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 68.6 | 0.5 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 62.0 | 32.0 | 46.1 | 11.9 | 42.1 | 14.4 | m28．4 | 83.6 | m2．6 | 10.7 | 81.7 | 3.8 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 253.7 |  |  | 108.0 |  |  | 456.8 |  |  | 790.6 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ m ） |  |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 270 | 394 | 515 | 477 | 368 | 406 | 253 | 3042 | 991 | 173 | 2766 | 895 |


$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 32: Highway $21 \& 84$ Street


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7 *}$ | 4 | 「 | ＊＊ | 个种 | 「 | 7＊ | 个蚔 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 136 | 169 | 218 | 138 | 276 | 86 | 345 | 1704 | 178 | 109 | 2256 | 289 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 136 | 169 | 218 | 138 | 276 | 86 | 345 | 1704 | 178 | 109 | 2256 | 289 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length（m） | 0.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（m） | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1692 | 1781 | 1514 | 3283 | 1781 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.267 |  |  | 0.453 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 474 | 1781 | 1485 | 1566 | 1781 | 1514 | 3282 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1486 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 218 |  |  | 156 |  |  | 128 |  |  | 144 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 277.7 |  |  | 132.0 |  |  | 480.8 |  |  | 814.6 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 14.5 |  |  | 6.9 |  |  | 25.1 |  |  | 42.5 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 5 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 136 | 169 | 218 | 138 | 276 | 86 | 345 | 1704 | 178 | 109 | 2256 | 289 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 4 | 8 |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 4.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 9.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 15.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 13.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 15.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 21.0 | 77.0 | 77.0 | 15.0 | 71.0 | 71.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 9．3\％ | 23．6\％ | 23．6\％ | 10．7\％ | 25．0\％ | 25．0\％ | 15．0\％ | 55．0\％ | 55．0\％ | 10．7\％ | 50．7\％ | 50．7\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 32.8 | 23.8 | 23.8 | 34.2 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 15.0 | 74.7 | 74.7 | 8.8 | 68.5 | 68.5 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.23 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.11 | 0.53 | 0.53 | 0.06 | 0.49 | 0.49 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.76 | 0.56 | 0.50 | 0.28 | 0.85 | 0.21 | 0.98 | 0.66 | 0.21 | 0.53 | 0.95 | 0.36 |
| Control Delay | 66.7 | 60.2 | 10.2 | 39.1 | 78.7 | 1.2 | 79.2 | 7.8 | 0.6 | 88.6 | 23.9 | 7.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 66.7 | 60.2 | 10.2 | 39.1 | 78.7 | 1.2 | 79.2 | 7.8 | 0.6 | 88.6 | 23.9 | 7.6 |
| LOS | E | E | B | D | E | A | E | A | A | F | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 41.0 |  |  | 54.4 |  |  | 18.3 |  |  | 24.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 30.2 | 44.6 | 0.0 | 15.3 | 76.7 | 0.0 | 48.7 | 33.9 | 0.0 | 16.9 | 65.2 | 1.2 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | \＃54．0 | 67.9 | 22.8 | 23.6 | \＃111．0 | 0.0 | m\＃79．8 | 37.5 | m0．2 | m20．0 | \＃268．2 | m30．6 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 253.7 |  |  | 108.0 |  |  | 456.8 |  |  | 790.6 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） |  |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 180 | 343 | 462 | 496 | 368 | 437 | 351 | 2594 | 867 | 216 | 2378 | 800 |



$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 32: Highway 21 \& 84 Street


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％＊ | $\uparrow$ |  | \％＊ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | \％＊ | 蚔 | 「 | 7 | 个蚔 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 34 | 6 | 31 | 102 | 9 | 16 | 46 | 2039 | 69 | 30 | 830 | 34 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 34 | 6 | 31 | 102 | 9 | 16 | 46 | 2039 | 69 | 30 | 830 | 34 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length（m） | 50.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（m） | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3283 | 1557 | 0 | 3283 | 1610 | 0 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 1692 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.741 |  |  | 0.733 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.078 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 2561 | 1557 | 0 | 2533 | 1610 | 0 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 139 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 31 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  | 69 |  |  | 55 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 122.7 |  |  | 156.7 |  |  | 814.6 |  |  | 419.8 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 6.4 |  |  | 8.2 |  |  | 42.5 |  |  | 21.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 34 | 37 | 0 | 102 | 25 | 0 | 46 | 2039 | 69 | 30 | 830 | 34 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Prot | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2 |  | 2 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 36.0 | 36.0 |  | 36.0 | 36.0 |  | 25.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 36.0 | 36.0 |  | 36.0 | 36.0 |  | 25.0 | 104.0 | 104.0 | 79.0 | 79.0 | 79.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 25．7\％ | 25．7\％ |  | 25．7\％ | 25．7\％ |  | 17．9\％ | 74．3\％ | 74．3\％ | 56．4\％ | 56．4\％ | 56．4\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max |  | Max | Max |  | None | C－Max | C－Max | C－Max | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 7.7 | 99.0 | 99.0 | 88.7 | 88.7 | 88.7 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.22 | 0.22 |  | 0.22 | 0.22 |  | 0.06 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.63 | 0.63 | 0.63 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.06 | 0.10 |  | 0.18 | 0.07 |  | 0.25 | 0.59 | 0.06 | 0.34 | 0.27 | 0.03 |
| Control Delay | 43.5 | 17.6 |  | 45.3 | 24.1 |  | 58.2 | 10.8 | 3.4 | 31.0 | 9.2 | 0.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 43.5 | 17.6 |  | 45.3 | 24.1 |  | 58.2 | 10.8 | 3.4 | 31.0 | 9.2 | 0.5 |
| LOS | D | B |  | D | C |  | E | B | A | C | A | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 30.0 |  |  | 41.1 |  |  | 11.6 |  |  | 9.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 4.0 | 1.4 |  | 12.3 | 2.1 |  | 6.8 | 81.1 | 1.4 | 2.6 | 25.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 9.0 | 11.2 |  | 20.8 | 10.2 |  | m11．7 | 113.1 | m7．6 | 11.2 | 30.3 | 0.2 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 98.7 |  |  | 132.7 |  |  | 790.6 |  |  | 395.8 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 50.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 567 | 368 |  | 560 | 368 |  | 469 | 3438 | 1090 | 88 | 3079 | 978 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ＊＊ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{7 *}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ＊＊ | 个性 | 「 | \％ | 快4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 147 | 29 | 138 | 250 | 25 | 118 | 150 | 1486 | 290 | 158 | 2266 | 101 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 147 | 29 | 138 | 250 | 25 | 118 | 150 | 1486 | 290 | 158 | 2266 | 101 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length（m） | 50.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 2 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（m） | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3283 | 1561 | 0 | 3283 | 1561 | 0 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 1692 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.398 |  |  | 0.299 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1376 | 1561 | 0 | 1033 | 1561 | 0 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 1692 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 138 |  |  | 118 |  |  |  | 204 |  |  | 94 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 122.7 |  |  | 156.7 |  |  | 814.6 |  |  | 419.8 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 6.4 |  |  | 8.2 |  |  | 42.5 |  |  | 21.9 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 147 | 167 | 0 | 250 | 143 | 0 | 150 | 1486 | 290 | 158 | 2266 | 101 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA |  | pm＋pt | NA |  | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 12.0 | 36.0 |  | 12.0 | 36.0 |  | 25.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 12.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 13.0 | 36.0 |  | 13.0 | 36.0 |  | 26.0 | 64.0 | 64.0 | 27.0 | 65.0 | 65.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 9．3\％ | 25．7\％ |  | 9．3\％ | 25．7\％ |  | 18．6\％ | 45．7\％ | 45．7\％ | 19．3\％ | 46．4\％ | 46．4\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 22.5 | 14.5 |  | 22.5 | 14.5 |  | 21.0 | 79.8 | 79.8 | 17.7 | 76.5 | 76.5 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.16 | 0.10 |  | 0.16 | 0.10 |  | 0.15 | 0.57 | 0.57 | 0.13 | 0.55 | 0.55 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.45 | 0.59 |  | 0.85 | 0.53 |  | 0.30 | 0.54 | 0.31 | 0.74 | 0.85 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 50.3 | 21.6 |  | 74.6 | 21.1 |  | 66.4 | 33.3 | 17.5 | 52.9 | 47.4 | 14.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 50.3 | 21.6 |  | 74.6 | 21.1 |  | 66.4 | 33.3 | 17.5 | 52.9 | 47.4 | 14.1 |
| LOS | D | C |  | E | C |  | E | C | B | D | D | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 35.0 |  |  | 55.1 |  |  | 33.5 |  |  | 46.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 19.3 | 8.1 |  | 33.9 | 6.9 |  | 16.8 | 113.5 | 30.4 | 41.6 | 239.7 | 10.1 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 24.3 | 27.4 |  | 39.1 | 24.8 |  | m27．6 | 141.7 | m61．1 | m56．8m | \＃298．0 | m18．5 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 98.7 |  |  | 132.7 |  |  | 790.6 |  |  | 395.8 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 50.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  |  | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 330 | 453 |  | 295 | 437 |  | 492 | 2772 | 950 | 265 | 2656 | 869 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | M ${ }^{14}$ | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% ${ }^{14}$ | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \% ${ }^{14}$ | 个个¢ | 7 | \% | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 740 | 276 | 262 | 101 | 401 | 195 | 660 | 1339 | 91 | 53 | 554 | 237 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 740 | 276 | 262 | 101 | 401 | 195 | 660 | 1339 | 91 | 53 | 554 | 237 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length (m) | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 0.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 3283 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.283 |  |  | 0.582 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 975 | 3385 | 1494 | 2002 | 3385 | 1494 | 3273 | 4863 | 1486 | 3280 | 4863 | 1494 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 262 |  |  | 257 |  |  | 164 |  |  | 257 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 154.9 |  |  | 245.8 |  |  | 233.3 |  |  | 229.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 8.1 |  |  | 12.8 |  |  | 12.2 |  |  | 12.0 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 5 |  |  | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 740 | 276 | 262 | 101 | 401 | 195 | 660 | 1339 | 91 | 53 | 554 | 237 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | , |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  | Free | 4 |  | Free |  |  | 6 |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 13.0 | 37.0 |  | 13.0 | 33.0 |  | 13.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 37.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 31.0 | 51.0 |  | 13.0 | 33.0 |  | 38.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 | 13.0 | 38.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 22.1\% | 36.4\% |  | 9.3\% | 23.6\% |  | 27.1\% | 45.0\% | 45.0\% | 9.3\% | 27.1\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.0 |  | 2.5 | 2.0 |  | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | Max |  | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | C-Max |  |
| Act Efftt Green (s) | 58.0 | 45.0 | 140.0 | 34.2 | 27.2 | 140.0 | 30.8 | 59.6 | 59.6 | 7.0 | 33.2 | 140.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.41 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 1.00 | 0.22 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.05 | 0.24 | 1.00 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.91 | 0.25 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.61 | 0.13 | 0.91 | 0.65 | 0.13 | 0.32 | 0.48 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 48.0 | 35.9 | 0.3 | 27.8 | 56.2 | 0.2 | 59.3 | 41.4 | 3.9 | 69.7 | 47.9 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 48.0 | 35.9 | 0.3 | 27.8 | 56.2 | 0.2 | 59.3 | 41.4 | 3.9 | 69.7 | 47.9 | 0.2 |
| LOS | D | D | A | C | E | A | E | D | A | E | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 35.6 |  |  | 36.4 |  |  | 45.4 |  |  | 35.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 82.1 | 30.8 | 0.0 | 8.9 | 56.2 | 0.0 | 102.9 | 126.4 | 0.8 | 7.7 | 51.2 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | \#105.8 | 43.2 | 0.0 | 15.1 | 74.3 | 0.0 | \#130.3 | 143.1 | m7.6 | 15.2 | 64.2 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 130.9 |  |  | 221.8 |  |  | 209.3 |  |  | 205.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 816 | 1088 | 1494 | 552 | 656 | 1494 | 750 | 2069 | 727 | 164 | 1153 | 1494 |


$m$ Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 8: Highway 21 \& 94 Street \& Highway 15


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％${ }^{1}$ | 个4 | 「 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 个个 | 「 | $7{ }^{7}$ | 率 | 「 | ${ }^{7} 1$ | 个虾 | \％ |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 333 | 650 | 836 | 380 | 577 | 229 | 576 | 877 | 298 | 351 | 1339 | 529 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 333 | 650 | 836 | 380 | 577 | 229 | 576 | 877 | 298 | 351 | 1339 | 529 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length（m） | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 50.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（m） | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3283 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.230 |  |  | 0.145 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 793 | 3385 | 1494 | 500 | 3385 | 1494 | 3280 | 4863 | 1486 | 3272 | 4863 | 1494 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 421 |  |  | 164 |  |  | 241 |  |  | 239 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 154.9 |  |  | 245.8 |  |  | 233.3 |  |  | 229.7 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 8.1 |  |  | 12.8 |  |  | 12.2 |  |  | 12.0 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 333 | 650 | 836 | 380 | 577 | 229 | 576 | 877 | 298 | 351 | 1339 | 529 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  | Free | 4 |  | Free |  |  | 6 |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 37.0 |  | 13.0 | 33.0 |  | 13.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 37.0 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 19.0 | 37.0 |  | 20.0 | 38.0 |  | 34.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 31.0 | 49.0 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 13．6\％ | 26．4\％ |  | 14．3\％ | 27．1\％ |  | 24．3\％ | 37．1\％ | 37．1\％ | 22．1\％ | 35．0\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.5 | 2.0 |  | 2.5 | 2.0 |  | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | Max |  | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 43.8 | 31.1 | 140.0 | 46.2 | 32.3 | 140.0 | 27.1 | 50.9 | 50.9 | 20.1 | 43.9 | 140.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.31 | 0.22 | 1.00 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 1.00 | 0.19 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.14 | 0.31 | 1.00 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.70 | 0.87 | 0.56 | 0.86 | 0.74 | 0.15 | 0.91 | 0.50 | 0.43 | 0.75 | 0.88 | 0.35 |
| Control Delay | 40.8 | 65.5 | 1.5 | 51.0 | 45.3 | 0.2 | 70.4 | 41.7 | 21.6 | 79.9 | 32.8 | 0.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 40.8 | 65.5 | 1.5 | 51.0 | 45.3 | 0.2 | 70.4 | 41.7 | 21.6 | 79.9 | 32.8 | 0.5 |
| LOS | D | E | A | D | D | A | E | D | C | E | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 31.6 |  |  | 38.4 |  |  | 47.7 |  |  | 32.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 34.7 | 95.7 | 0.0 | 41.9 | 68.7 | 0.0 | 89.0 | 57.4 | 13.1 | 46.7 | 139.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 47.3 | \＃126．4 | 0.0 | m\＃62．8 | m93．4 | m0．0 | \＃115．6 | 113.2 | 84.9 | 66.3 | 147.0 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 130.9 |  |  | 221.8 |  |  | 209.3 |  |  | 205.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 50.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 480 | 750 | 1494 | 443 | 779 | 1494 | 656 | 1767 | 693 | 586 | 1524 | 1494 |


$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 8: Highway 21 \& 94 Street \& Highway 15


| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | 7 | \％ | 个觡 |  | \％ | 个个¢ | 7 | \％ | $\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 46 | 131 | 209 | 159 | 130 | 407 | 221 | 1770 | 150 | 49 | 476 | 186 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 46 | 131 | 209 | 159 | 130 | 407 | 221 | 1770 | 150 | 49 | 476 | 186 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length（m） | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（m） | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1692 | 3385 | 1514 | 3283 | 2957 | 0 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.452 |  |  | 0.455 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 803 | 3385 | 1486 | 1564 | 2957 | 0 | 3261 | 4863 | 1485 | 3280 | 4863 | 1485 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 209 |  | 360 |  |  |  | 117 |  |  | 186 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 161.2 |  |  | 159.5 |  |  | 120.6 |  |  | 241.3 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 8.4 |  |  | 8.3 |  |  | 6.3 |  |  | 12.6 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 46 | 131 | 209 | 159 | 537 | 0 | 221 | 1770 | 150 | 49 | 476 | 186 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | pm＋pt | NA |  | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 |  |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 |  | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 37.0 |  | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 50.0 |  | 31.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 33.0 | 59.0 | 59.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 26．4\％ | 26．4\％ | 26．4\％ | 9．3\％ | 35．7\％ |  | 22．1\％ | 40．7\％ | 40．7\％ | 23．6\％ | 42．1\％ | 42．1\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 |  | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  |  | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None |  | None | None | None | None | Max | Max |
| Act Efftt Green（s） | 12.8 | 12.8 | 12.8 | 25.8 | 25.8 |  | 12.7 | 61.1 | 61.1 | 7.5 | 53.1 | 53.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.24 |  | 0.12 | 0.56 | 0.56 | 0.07 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.49 | 0.33 | 0.59 | 0.33 | 0.55 |  | 0.58 | 0.65 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.23 |
| Control Delay | 64.3 | 47.1 | 13.2 | 35.9 | 13.9 |  | 52.9 | 19.5 | 4.7 | 52.4 | 17.2 | 3.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 64.3 | 47.1 | 13.2 | 35.9 | 13.9 |  | 52.9 | 19.5 | 4.7 | 52.4 | 17.2 | 3.5 |
| LOS | E | D | B | D | B |  | D | B | A | D | B | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 30.8 |  |  | 18.9 |  |  | 21.9 |  |  | 16.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 9.7 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 14.6 | 16.8 |  | 24.0 | 97.5 | 3.2 | 5.3 | 20.8 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 22.7 | 24.6 | 22.0 | 24.6 | 34.8 |  | 38.8 | 136.0 | 14.8 | 12.3 | 33.6 | 13.1 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 137.2 |  |  | 135.5 |  |  | 96.6 |  |  | 217.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ m ） | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 |  |  | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 227 | 959 | 570 | 478 | 1404 |  | 750 | 2707 | 878 | 810 | 2356 | 815 |


|  | $\cdots$ | - | 2 | $\cdots$ | $k$ | ¢ | \% | $\ngtr$ | Ta | $\square$ | 4 | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | SEL | SET | SER | NWL | NWT | NWR | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.20 | 0.14 | 0.37 | 0.33 | 0.38 |  | 0.29 | 0.65 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.20 | 0.23 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 109.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 21.2 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 88.2\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 3: Highway 15 \& 101 Street


| Lane Group | NBL2 | NBL | NBR | SEL | SER | SER2 | NEL | NET | NER | SWL | SWT | SWR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ＊＊ | ${ }^{1 *}{ }^{*}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 「「「 | 「 | ${ }^{*} 1$ | 蚔 | 「 | ＊＊ | 个种 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 193 | 258 | 136 | 34 | 320 | 408 | 406 | 668 | 94 | 380 | 1618 | 128 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 193 | 258 | 136 | 34 | 320 | 408 | 406 | 668 | 94 | 380 | 1618 | 128 |
| Ideal Flow（vphpl） | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 | 1850 |
| Storage Length（m） |  | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Storage Lanes |  | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 |
| Taper Length（m） |  | 29.9 |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 3283 | 3151 | 0 | 1692 | 2665 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 | 3283 | 4863 | 1514 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.297 | 0.968 |  | 0.523 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1023 | 3136 | 0 | 928 | 2582 | 1486 | 3158 | 4863 | 1485 | 3266 | 4863 | 1485 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  | 76 |  |  |  | 322 |  |  | 117 |  |  | 117 |
| Link Speed（k／h） |  | 69 |  | 69 |  |  |  | 69 |  |  | 69 |  |
| Link Distance（m） |  | 158.8 |  | 161.2 |  |  |  | 120.6 |  |  | 241.3 |  |
| Travel Time（s） |  | 8.3 |  | 8.4 |  |  |  | 6.3 |  |  | 12.6 |  |
| Confl．Peds．（\＃／hr） | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 139 |  | 5 | 5 |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared Lane Traffic（\％） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 193 | 394 | 0 | 34 | 320 | 408 | 406 | 668 | 94 | 380 | 1618 | 128 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | Prot |  | Perm | Prot | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 3 | 8 |  |  | 4 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 5 | 2 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 8 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 2 |
| Detector Phase | 3 | 8 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 7.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 7.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 13.0 | 37.0 |  | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 | 13.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |
| Total Split（s） | 13.0 | 50.0 |  | 37.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 29.0 | 57.0 | 57.0 | 33.0 | 61.0 | 61.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 9．3\％ | 35．7\％ |  | 26．4\％ | 26．4\％ | 26．4\％ | 20．7\％ | 40．7\％ | 40．7\％ | 23．6\％ | 43．6\％ | 43．6\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.5 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.5 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 6.0 |  | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 36.9 | 36.9 |  | 22.8 | 22.8 | 22.8 | 21.1 | 33.7 | 33.7 | 51.5 | 64.0 | 64.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.26 | 0.26 |  | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.37 | 0.46 | 0.46 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.48 | 0.45 |  | 0.23 | 0.74 | 0.80 | 0.82 | 0.57 | 0.21 | 0.31 | 0.73 | 0.17 |
| Control Delay | 42.5 | 33.9 |  | 52.2 | 65.7 | 24.6 | 79.2 | 39.9 | 5.5 | 33.0 | 34.4 | 6.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 42.5 | 33.9 |  | 52.2 | 65.7 | 24.6 | 79.2 | 39.9 | 5.5 | 33.0 | 34.4 | 6.0 |
| LOS | D | C |  | D | E | C | E | D | A | C | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 36.8 |  | 43.1 |  |  |  | 50.8 |  |  | 32.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  | D |  |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 22.0 | 37.5 |  | 8.7 | 50.7 | 23.3 | 62.6 | 55.3 | 3.3 | 39.2 | 136.6 | 1.7 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | m28．7 | 47.0 |  | 18.4 | 64.4 | 61.5 | 80.4 | 76.2 | m17．4 | 56.9 | 174.9 | 15.2 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 134.8 |  | 137.2 |  |  |  | 96.6 |  |  | 217.3 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 60.0 | 60.0 |  | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 | 100.0 |  | 60.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 399 | 1042 |  | 205 | 590 | 579 | 539 | 1771 | 615 | 1207 | 2223 | 742 |


m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 3: 101 Street \& Highway 15


## Appendix D

Signal Warrants Worksheets



Traffic Signal Warrant Spreadsheet - v3H © 2007 Transportation Association of Canada

| Road Authority: | City of Fort Saskatchewan |
| ---: | :---: |
| City: | City of Fort Saskatchewan |
| Analysis Date: | 2015 Jul 27, Mon |
| Count Date: | 2025 Jul 27, Sun |
| Date Entry Format: | (yyyy-mm-dd) |


| Lane Configuration |  | E - x |  | 咢 |  | E $\sim$ $\otimes$ $\#$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Southridge Blvd. | WB |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |
| Southridge Blvd. | EB | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Southfort Drive | NB |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Southfort Drive | SB | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |
| Are the Southfort Drive NB right turns significantly impeded by through movements? (y/n) Are the Southfort Drive SB right turns significantly impeded by through movements? (y/n) |  |  |  |  |  |  | n |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | n |  |  |


| fort Drive SB right turns significantly impeded by through movements? (y |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Central Business District |  |  | ( $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other input |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Speed } \\ (\mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{h}) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Truck } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Bus Rt } \\ (\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n}) \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Median <br> (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southridge Blvd. | EW | 60 | 5.0\% | n | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Southfort Drive | NS |  | 2.0\% | n |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Set Peak Hours |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Ped1 | Ped2 | Ped3 | Ped4 |
| Traffic Input |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  | NS | NS | EW | EW |
|  | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT | LT | Th | RT | W Side | E Side | N Side | S Side |
| 7:30-8:30 | 66 | 36 | 3 | 27 | 10 | 70 | 2 | 420 | 108 | 8 | 145 | 11 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| 8:30-9:30 | 32 | 20 | 2 | 55 | 19 | 60 | 1 | 192 | 71 | 16 | 190 | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| 12:00-13:00 | 32 | 20 | 2 | 55 | 19 | 60 | 1 | 192 | 71 | 16 | 190 | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| 13:00-14:00 | 32 | 20 | 2 | 55 | 19 | 60 | 1 | 192 | 71 | 16 | 190 | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| 16:00-17:00 | 23 | 20 | 2 | 126 | 44 | 99 | 1 | 119 | 91 | 37 | 387 | 50 |  |  |  |  |
| 17:00-18:00 | 32 | 20 | 2 | 55 | 19 | 60 | 1 | 192 | 71 | 16 | 190 | 22 |  |  |  |  |
| Total (6-hour peak) | 217 | 136 | 13 | 373 | 130 | 409 | 7 | 1,307 | 483 | 109 | 1,292 | 149 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |
| Average (6-hour peak) | 36 | 23 | 2 | 62 | 22 | 68 | 1 | 218 | 81 | 18 | 215 | 25 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |



Traffic Signal Warrant Spreadsheet - v3H© 2007 Transportation Association of Canada



Traffic Signal Warrant Spreadsheet - v3H© 2007 Transportation Association of Canada



Traffic Signal Warrant Spreadsheet - v3H© 2007 Transportation Association of Canada


Traffic Signal Warrant Spreadsheet - v3H © 2007 Transportation Association of Canada


| Road Authority: | City of Fort Saskatchewan |
| ---: | :---: |
| City: | City of Fort Saskatchewan |
|  | 2015 Jul 27, Mon |
| Count Date: | 2025 Jul 27, Sun |
| Date Entry Format: | (yyyy-mm-dd) |


| Demographics |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Elem. School/Mobility Challenged | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Senior's Complex | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Pathway to School | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | y |
| Metro Area Population | (\#) | 25,000 |
| Central Business District | $\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
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| Road Authority: | City of Fort Saskatchewan |
| ---: | :---: |
| City: | City of Fort Saskatchewan |
| Analysis Date: | 2015 Jul 27, Mon |
| Count Date: | 2025 Jul 27, Sun |
| Date Entry Format: | (yyyy-mm-dd) |


| Demographics |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Elem. School/Mobility Challenged | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Senior's Complex | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
| Pathway to School | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | y |
| Metro Area Population | $(\#)$ | 25,000 |
| Central Business District | $(\mathrm{y} / \mathrm{n})$ | n |
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Date:
April 21, 2016
File: 1412-03

Attention:<br>Mr. Grant Schaffer, C.E.T. Director, Project Management City of Fort Saskatchewan<br>From: Corry Broks, P.Eng.<br>Re: $\quad$ Addendum to Transportation Study for the Southfort Area Structure Plan in the City of Fort Saskatchewan has been prepared to address concerns expressed during presentation of the final report to City Council on January 12, 2016. The Addendum should be read in conjunction with the original report, and is intended to specifically address the issues and policies of the community, as expressed by City Council, and which are not reflected in the technical findings of the study and report. Specifically, the requested changes include the following.

1. Change the designation of the south end of Southfort Drive between Southfort Boulevard and Southridge Boulevard from a collector roadway to an arterial roadway, with traffic signals at both intersections.

This change was requested because the Southridge Boulevard intersection at Southfort Drive had previously been constructed to a 4-lane divided arterial configuration. In addition, 2 lanes of the ultimate 4 lane roadway exist for the south leg of Southfort Drive to Southfort Boulevard, and the required right-of-way to accommodate the arterial had been protected.

The collector designation was assigned to the south leg of Southfort Drive in the report based on modeling results for the long term, or build out of the Southfort Area. Our projected volumes of approximately 4000 vehicles per day would typically be accommodated by a collector roadway, with one lane of traffic in each direction. Roundabout intersection control at the Southfort Boulevard and Southridge Boulevard intersections would provide superior operations to a signalized intersection.

The City has indicated a desire to maintain consistency along the Southfort Drive corridor to Southridge Boulevard, and accordingly, we have prepared an exhibit (Exhibit ES-A1), identifying Southfort Drive as an arterial roadway throughout the Southfort Area.
2. Designate the roundabout intersections along 94 Street as intersection control to be reviewed at the time of construction.

We understand this change was requested due to concerns that roundabout intersection control may not be well accepted by the public and that projected traffic volumes on 94 Street could be much higher if lands to the south are annexed and developed in the future.

The build out model developed for Southfort estimates that traffic volumes on 94 Street and Southridge Boulevard, south of the proposed Sienna Boulevard, are well below the threshold for a 4-lane arterial road, and could readily be accommodated by a collector road with one lane in each direction. 94 Street /

Southridge Boulevard will not likely warrant traffic signals at intersecting collectors, and would function well as stop-controlled for the minor collector roads entering 94 Street / Southridge Boulevard. It was concluded during the study that 94 Street/ Southridge Boulevard operating as a free flow, wide collector would promote speeding and safety concerns due to the alignment proposed in the Area Structure Plan (long, straight sections and generous curves). Roundabout intersection control on this roadway would provide traffic calming, while allowing free-flow, which is considered highly desirable and context sensitive for this roadway through a primarily low density residential area.

We have also re-examined the potential for significant increased traffic on 94 Street / Southridge Boulevard if annexation and significant development occurs in the future, south of the Southfort Area. This is unlikely to occur due to the primarily residential nature of the land use along 94 Street, not being conducive to attracting traffic to this area. It could be argued that by providing roundabout intersection control and a 2lane roadway for 94 Street, shortcutting through the neighbourhood would be discouraged, which would be highly desirable.

Exhibit ES-A1 has been revised to show that roundabout intersections along 94 Street / Southridge Boulevard should be reviewed at the time of implementation to confirm their suitability. We also suggest that this corridor be reviewed during the Transportation Master Plan update.
3. Develop a revised cross-section for 94 Street / Southridge Boulevard based on a 28 m wide right-of-way that would allow widening the carriage way to a 4-lane undivided standard.

The proposed cross-section is shown on Exhibit A1, and is based on initially constructing to the City's 12.0 m wide collector roadway, in accordance with the recommendations in the original report, and then, if required in the future, widening to 14.5 m to provide a 4 -lane undivided roadway. Careful consideration for locating infrastructure outside of the widened area as shown would provide the most cost effective approach.

This Addendum to the Transportation Study for the Southfort Area Structure Plan in the City of Fort Saskatchewan as prepared at the direction of Fort Saskatchewan City Council, and provides specific changes to the final report where the wishes and policies of the community do not align with the technical findings of the engineering study and report. As this Addendum covers only a small part of the original study, it should be read and considered in conjunction with the original study.

Prepared by:


Corry Broks, P.Eng.
/j


Permit to Practice

Enclosures:

- Exhibit ES-A1 - Intersection Controls, Full Development of Southfort
- Exhibit A1 - Proposed Collector Typical Cross-Section




## CITY OF FORT SASKATCHEWAN

## Public Auction of Land in Tax Arrears

## Motions:

1. That Council approve the reserve bid for the property on the Tax Arrears List as outlined in Schedule "A" and as attached to the June 14, 2016 report to Council.
2. That the terms and conditions of sale for the tax arrears public auction be set as follows:
a) Public auction date be set for September 8, 2016 at 10:00 A.M.;
b) Property is sold on an "as is, where is" basis;
c) No warranty is made regarding the said property;
d) No consideration of pre-sale or post-sale conditions;
e) No GST will apply on sales of residential property;
f) Non-refundable deposit of $20 \%$ of the accepted bid at the time of sale, with the balance of the accepted bid due within 15 days of the date of sale of property; and
g) Payments by cash, bank draft, or certified cheque only.
3. That all costs associated with tax recovery proceedings be applied to the property's tax roll.

## Background:

The City of Fort Saskatchewan has a tax recovery process in place to collect taxes on properties in arrears. This process is described in detail in Schedule "B" attached to this report.

Section 418(1) of the Municipal Government Act (MGA) states that each municipality must offer for sale at a public auction any parcel of land shown on its tax arrears list if the tax arrears are not paid.

Any property that is in arrears for 2 or more taxation years is eligible to become part of the tax arrears list. A tax sale via public auction is the method used by the City to recover taxes in arrears.

Section 419 of the MGA states that Council must set:
a) for each parcel of land to be offered for sale at a public auction, a reserve bid that is as close as reasonably possible to the market value of the parcel, and
b) any conditions that apply to the sale.

No property can be sold at less than its market value, which the reserve bid is based on. The reserve bid has been established by an independent real estate appraisal firm with designated and licensed appraisers.

To date, tax arrears remain outstanding on the property and, according to the MGA, tax recovery proceedings continue until such time as full payment of the tax arrears is received. Typically, property owners or mortgage companies will make arrangements to settle the tax arrears prior to the date of the auction. If full payment of the tax arrears is received prior to the auction date, then the property will be removed from the public auction list.

The property owner, and those parties having a registered interest as recorded on the Certificate of Title, will be notified of the impending sale. The property owner has been sent notices on a regular basis informing them of arrears outstanding, additional penalties that have been applied to their account, and encouraging them to enter into payment arrangements to avoid tax sale proceedings.

Section 553(1) of the MGA states that Council may add costs associated with tax recovery proceedings related to the property to the appropriate tax roll.

Proceeds from the sale of the property will be administered by the City and distributed according to Sections 427 and 428 of the MGA.

## External Communications:

Subsequent to Council's decision, Administration will proceed with the required advertising in the Alberta Gazette and a local newspaper.

## Recommendation:

That Council approve the motions as prepared and presented in this report.

## Enclosures:

1. Schedule "A" - Tax Arrears List
2. Schedule "B" - Tax Recovery Process

| Prepared by: | Grace Pesklevis <br> Property Tax Clerk | Date: May 24, 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approved by: | Jeremy Emann <br> Chief Financial Officer | Date: May 26, 2016 |
| Reviewed by: | Kelly Kloss <br> City Manager | Date: June 8, 2016 |
| Submitted to: | City Council | Date: June 14, 2016 |

## CITY OF FORT SASKATCHEWAN <br> Tax Arrears List

The following parcel of land, unless taxes are paid in full or suitable payment arrangements made, will be offered for sale at public auction.

| No. | Legal Description | Property Description | Reserve Bid |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Lot 59, Block 5, Plan 0727991 | Residential | $\$ 385,000.00$ |

# CITY OF FORT SASKATCHEWAN 

## Tax Recovery Process

The tax recovery process is described as follows:

- Taxes that are in arrears 2 years after they are imposed must be included on a tax arrears list that is submitted to the Land Titles Office by March 31 each year.
- The Registrar at the Land Titles Office registers a "Tax Recovery Notification" on the Certificate of Title for each property on the arrears list.
- The Registrar sends a notice to the owner of the property, to any person who has an interest registered against the property, and to each owner of an encumbrance as shown on the Certificate of Title stating that if the taxes are not paid by March 31 of the following year, the City will offer the property for sale at public auction, and the City may become the owner of the property if it is not sold at public auction.
- During the time between tax notification and tax sale, the City makes attempts to enter into payment arrangements with the property owner. These arrangements must pay out tax arrears over a period not exceeding 3 years. Once the tax arrears are paid the tax notification is removed from the Certificate of Title. If arrangements cannot be made, the City must offer for sale at a public auction all properties shown on its tax arrears list.
- Pursuant to the MGA, the City must advertise the public auction in one issue of the Alberta Gazette not less than 40 days and not more than 90 days before the auction date.
- A second advertisement of the public auction must appear in one issue of a local newspaper not less than 10 days and not more than 20 days before the auction date.
- Not less than 4 weeks before the auction date, the City must send the owner of each property and any other party with an interest in the property to be sold a copy of the advertisement that appeared in the Alberta Gazette.
- If tax arrears are paid at any time prior to the sale, the City files a "Discharge of Tax Recovery Notification" with the Land Titles Office. The notification is then removed from the Certificate of Title.
- City Council must approve the reserve bid for each property shown on the arrears list and set the terms of the sale.
- If a property is not sold at public auction, the City may take title to the property. The City can then sell the property at market value.
- All sale proceeds from the public auction are deposited into a separate bank account designated as tax recovery proceeds. Surplus funds are determined by paying out any remedial costs associated with the property, tax arrears, lawful expenses incurred by the City, any expenses owing to the Crown that have been charged against the property under section 553 of the MGA, and a $5 \%$ administration fee.
- The City must notify the previous owner of any surplus funds available and may pay out these funds to the previous owner. If the surplus funds are not paid out, the previous owner must be notified that he or she can apply to the Court of Queen's Bench to obtain the surplus funds. If the previous owner does not apply for these surplus funds, the City can, after a 10 year period, make use of the funds for any purpose.


## CITY OF FORT SASKATCHEWAN

Naming of Southfort Park to the Henderson Park

## Motion:

That Council approve the naming of Henderson Park located at Lot 52MR, Block 2, Plan 0722745 , formerly known as Southfort Park.

## Purpose:

To present Council with information on the proposed naming of Henderson Park.

## Background:

Council approved the inclusion of the name "Henderson" to the City Naming Registry on August 15, 2006.

Four generations of Henderson's dedicated their careers to "law and order" by working at the original North West Mounted Police (NWMP) Fort, the Provincial Gaol, municipal policing and the RCMP. It all started with Charlie Henderson in 1875 who worked as a Ferrier and located the water well as the Diviner at the NWMP Fort. His son William (second generation) followed his father's steps and learned to shoe horses and maintain wagons. Their duties required them to travel with the NWMP in their effort to maintain law and order in the region. Albert Henderson (third generation) was an employee of the Old Provincial Gaol as a Guard, Deputy Warden and Warden. Stan Henderson and Audrey Henderson Rinas (fourth generation) worked at the Old Provincial Gaol as a Guard and Administrative Clerk for the local municipal police and RCMP detachments respectively. Additional information about the Henderson family can be found at the archives of the Fort Heritage Precinct.

The naming of Henderson Park will serve as a lasting tribute to the contribution of the Henderson family to the City's rich history of law and order in Fort Saskatchewan. Should approval be granted, a press release and unveiling ceremony for the naming of the park will be scheduled in the summer of 2016.

## Plans/Standards/Legislation:

The naming of this park aligns with the City's Naming Policy GOV-002-C.

## Financial Implications:

Should the City have an opening ceremony for the park, contributions would be made in-kind with supplies, such as a PA system, tent, and benches. Associated costs may also apply if refreshments were to be provided.

The cost of the park sign and associated bronze plaque is estimate at $\$ 5,000$ and will be covered under the current 2016 Parks Services budget.

## Recommendation:

That Council approve the naming of Henderson Park located at Lot 52MR, Block 2, Plan 0722745, formerly known as Southfort Park.

## Attachments

1. Appendix A - Southfort Park Area
2. Appendix B - Henderson Park Sign

File No.:

| Prepared by: | Richard Gagnon <br> Interim Director, <br> Infrastructure Management | Date: June 1, 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Reviewed by: | Troy Fleming <br> General Manager, Infrastructure and <br> Community Services | Date: June 7, 2016 |


| Reviewed by: | Kelly Kloss <br> City Manager$\quad$ Date: June 7, 2016 |
| :--- | :--- |

Submitted to: City Council Date: June 14, 2016

Appendix A
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## CITY OF FORT SASKATCHEWAN

## Bylaw C10-16 to Amend Land Use Bylaw C10-13, C5 - Fort Mall Redevelopment District Regulations

## Motion:

That Council give first reading to Bylaw C10-16 amending Land Use Bylaw C10-13, to reflect updates to the C5 - Fort Mall Redevelopment District regulations.

## Purpose:

To present Council with information regarding proposed amendments to the C5 - Fort Mall Redevelopment District regulations and to request consideration of first reading.

## Background:

The C5 - Fort Mall Redevelopment District regulations were approved through Bylaw C22-14 on September 9, 2014. The site was then subdivided into 5 separate lots in May 2015. Since then, redevelopment of the site has been underway including renovations to existing buildings and demolition works. Future phases include proposals for several residential projects.

On December 2, 2015 a design charrette was held with the developer, Haro Developments Inc. and Administration. The goal of the charrette was to work through some of the technical site issues that were arising from the redevelopment plans and the C5 regulations. The exercise was intended to be an all-encompassing approach to address the discrepancies, ensuring changes to the regulations could be brought forward with one amendment instead of individual corrections.

On February 2, 2016, Administration received an application from the developer to amend the C5 district. In general, these regulations are in keeping with the recently approved Downtown Land Use Bylaw. The applicant is proposing the following changes:

## Multi-attached Dwellings

This land use is proposed to be changed from a "discretionary" to a "permitted" use. This would allow for additional housing types along the perimeter of the site.

## Reduced Setbacks

In light of the urban context and municipal reserve lands along the perimeter, reduced setbacks have been proposed.

## Common Amenity Area

The applicant is proposing that the common amenity area for multi-attached developments be shared throughout the entire district. Administration is not supportive of this and has proposed an alternative. This alternative would allow for the site specific provision of common amenity areas. Further details can be found under Appendix D .

## Private Amenity Area

Currently, this requirement is specific to balconies, as defined in the Land Use Bylaw. This regulation is proposed to be updated, to allow for decks and patios to be considered as private amenity area.

## Built Form

In regards to building height, the applicant has proposed increasing the maximum height along 98 Avenue from 3 to 4 storeys. This would allow for greater flexibility in the design for a potential assisted living facility. In addition, changes are being proposed to provide further clarity for building height requirements.

## Parking Requirements

The proposed parking requirements reflect the new Downtown Land Use Bylaw minimum requirements. This does not include the downtown parking overlay. To note, such requirements would be implemented at the development permit stage. Based on a review of preliminary plans for a new development proposal, there appears to be there may be a parking deficiency.

## Definitions

In order to provide greater clarity, the definitions for residential density have been updated to exclude the downtown and C5 Districts. Further, definitions have been added for Display Gardens and Floor Area Ratio.

Further details regarding the proposed regulations can found under Appendix B and C.

## Plans/Standards/Legislation

The site has been designated as "Downtown" in the City's Municipal Development Plan. It has also been designated as "Mall Redevelopment Precinct" in the Downtown Area Redevelopment Plan \& Design Guidelines. Appendix "E" contains the applicable policies from these documents. Further details and analysis regarding applicable policies will be outlined in the subsequent report to Council.

As per the Municipal Government Act, if Council gives Bylaw C10-16 first reading, affected landowners will be notified by mail. A Public Hearing advertisement will be published in the local paper for 2 consecutive weeks. The target date for the Public Hearing is June 28, 2016, and will be held in Council Chambers at 6:00 p.m.

## Financial Implications:

Analysis on the financial considerations will be examined and outlined in the subsequent report to Council.

## Recommendation:

That Council give first reading to Bylaw C10-16 amending Land Use Bylaw C10-13, to reflect updates to the C5 - Fort Mall Redevelopment District regulations.

## Attachments:

1. Bylaw C10-16
2. Appendix A-Location Map
3. Appendix B-Amended C5 Regulations
4. Appendix C-Summary of Existing and Proposed Regulations
5. Appendix D - Applicant's Amenity Area Request
6. Appendix E-Relevant Policies

File No.: Bylaw C10-16

| Prepared by: | Katie Mahoney <br> Senior Long Range Planner | Date: May 25, 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approved by: | Troy Fleming <br>  <br> Community Services | Date: June 6, 2016 |
| Reviewed by: | Kelly Kloss <br> City Manager | Date: June 6, 2016 |
| Submitted to: | City Council | Date: June 14, 2016 |

## CITY OF FORT SASKATCHEWAN

## A BYLAW OF THE CITY OF FORT SASKATCHEWAN IN THE PROVINCE OF ALBERTA TO AMEND BYLAW C10-13, LAND USE BYLAW

BYLAW C10-16
WHEREAS the Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c.M-26 as amended or repealed and replaced from time to time, provides that a municipality has the power to amend the Land Use Bylaw;

NOW THEREFORE, the Council of the City of Fort Saskatchewan, in the Province of Alberta, duly assembled, enacts as follows:

1. This Bylaw is cited as the Amendment to Bylaw C10-13 Land Use Bylaw as amended or repealed and replaced from time to time.
2. That Schedule "A" of Bylaw C10-13 be amended as follows:

### 6.13 C5 - Fort Mall Redevelopment District

A) Add the following under 6.13.2 (a) C5 Permitted Uses

- Multi-attached Dwelling**
** Multi-attached Dwellings shall be limited to the Periphery Zone, as per Figure 6.13a
B) Delete the following under 6.13.2 (b) C5 Discretionary Uses
- Multi-attached Dwelling
C) Replace the following under 6.13.3 Site Development Regulations

|  | Interior or Corner Site |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c) Front Setback | Minimum | Non-residential uses at ground floor $0.0 \mathrm{~m}(0.0 \mathrm{ft})$ to $1.4 \mathrm{~m}(4.6 \mathrm{~m})$ to achieve a continuous pedestrian zone of 3.4 m (11.2ft) <br> Residential uses at ground floor 3.0 m ( 9.8 ft ) with display gardens <br> Residential uses at ground floor abutting MR <br> 1.0 m (3.3ft) with display gardens in the MR |


| d) Side Setback | Minimum | 0.0m (0.0ft) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| e) Rear Setback | Minimum | 0.0m (0.0ft) when abutting a Non- <br> Residential Land Use District |
| g) FAR | Maximum | 4.0 |
| h) Unit Density | Maximum | 200 units/net developable hectare for <br> sites less than 1500.0m² |
| 350 units/net developable hectare for <br> sites greater than 1500.0m |  |  |
| k) Private <br> Amenity Area | Minimum | Residential Dwellings at Grade and <br> Above Grade <br> 3.Om² (32.3ft²) per dwelling unit to be <br> provided by balconies, decks, patios or <br> rooftop amenity area*** |
| Residential Dwellings Below Grade |  |  |

Private Amenity Area shall only be provided by balconies in Apartment Dwellings
D) Add the following under 6.13.3 Site Development Regulations

|  | Interior or Corner Site |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| j) Common | Minimum | Apartment Dwellings <br> $4.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(48.4 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ per dwelling unit |
|  |  | All other Residential Dwellings <br> At the discretion of the Development |
|  |  | Authority. This can include indoor and <br> outdoor amenities such as seating areas <br> and roof top patios |

E) Replace the following under 6.13.4 Urban Form
a) ii. Along 98 Avenue, new development shall have a minimum height of 2 storeys when located in the Periphery Zone, and a minimum height of 4 storeys in the Centre Zone, as per Figure 6.13a.
F) Add the following under 6.13.4 Urban Form
a) iii. Building heights shall be transitioned through appropriate setbacks as per Figure 6.13a.
G) Replace the following under 6.13.4 Urban Form

Figure 6.13a: Fort Mall Site Maximum Heights Diagram

b) The maximum building height for buildings greater than 5 storeys shall be limited by the application of a 45 degree angular plane, as per Figure 6.13b.
c) The maximum parapet height for all new buildings shall not exceed 1.5 m (4.9ft)
d) Vents, mechanical rooms and equipment, elevator penthouses, etc. shall be integrated into the architectural treatment of building roof or screened with materials and finishes compatible with the building.
H) Replace the following under 6.13.7 Building Massing and Architectural Character
b) Buildings more than 5 storeys shall provide three distinct vertical zones, as per Figure 6.13e, and meet the following step back requirements:
I) Remove the following under 6.13.9 Ground Floor Treatment
a) iii. Surface and structure parking areas shall be located at the rear of the building and screened from public view.
J) Replace the following under 6.13.9 Ground Floor Treatment
b) Facade improvement or facades for newly constructed buildings with non-residential uses located on the ground floor facing a public street or public area shall provide a minimum $60 \%$ transparency on the ground floor level to encourage pedestrian interactions and safety, as per Figure 6.13g.
K) Replace the following under 6.13.11 Building Projections
a) Balconies on the streetwall shall be partly or fully recessed from the building face with approximately $50 \%$ of their perimeter contained by exterior walls of the building, as per Figure 6.13i.
L) Add the following new section: 6.13.12 General Parking Requirements
a) On-site parking should be provided at the rear or sides of buildings, within underground parkade or above-ground parking structures. Surface parking areas should not be developed adjacent to any public roadway other than a lane, unless a suitable interface with the abutting street is provided to the satisfaction of the Development Authority.
b) Corner sites may have surface parking areas located on the side of the building, facing the flanking roadway when screened from public view.
c) The Development Authority may consider granting additional Floor Area Ratio, if the applicant agrees to provide underground parking stalls to meet all parking requirements of the project.
d) Uses and developments not specified in an approved Parking Impact Assessment shall meet the Minimum Parking Requirements for Downtown, as per Table 11e.
e) Structured parking facilities shall generally be provided at locations internal to the site. If such parking facilities are located fronting a public roadway, then the following design considerations shall be utilized:
i. Ground floor shall include retail uses with multiple entrances;
ii. Entrance to the parking facility shall be designed with special architectural treatment to maintain the integrity of retail frontage; and
iii. The facade of the upper storeys of the parking facility shall be designed to reflect residential or commercial building character.
M) Remove the word "Parking" from the Section 6.13.12 heading - Parking, Circulation, Access, Loading and Waste Collection.
N) Remove the following sections under 6.13.12
a) On-site parking should be provided at the rear or sides of buildings, within underground parkade or above-ground parking structures. Surface parking areas should not be developed adjacent to any public roadway other than a lane, unless a suitable interface with the abutting street is provided to the satisfaction of the Development Authority.
e) Drive-through service should be limited.
f) Structured parking facilities shall generally be provided at locations internal to the site. If such parking facilities are located fronting a public roadway, then the following design considerations shall be utilized:
i. Ground floor shall include retail uses with multiple entrances;
ii. Entrance to the parking facility shall be designed with special architectural treatment to maintain the integrity of retail frontage; and
iii. The facade of the upper storeys of the parking facility shall be designed to reflect residential or commercial building character.
g) The Development Authority may consider granting additional Floor Area Ratio, if the applicant agrees to provide underground parking stalls to meet all parking requirements of the project.
i) Designated areas for storage, temporary truck parking, waste collection, compaction, and loading shall have a minimum setback of 7.5 m ( 24.6 ft ) from public roadway and a minimum separation of 25.0 m ( 82.0 ft ) from residential buildings.
O) Replace the following under 6.13.14 - Additional Development Regulations for C5
a) All development and uses within this Land Use District are subject to the applicable provisions of Part 4-General Regulations for all Land Use Districts, Sections 6.1 to 6.7 of Part 6 - Commercial Land Use Districts, Part 11 - Parking and Loading, and Part 12 - Signs.
P) Add the following under Part 13.1 - General Definitions

DISPLAY GARDENS means an area dedicated to planting that provides privacy for residential uses, and improves streetscape aesthetics.

FLOOR AREA RATIO (FAR) means the numerical value of the gross floor area on all levels of all buildings on a lot, divided by the area of the lot.
Q) Replace the following under Part 13.1-General Definitions
${ }^{1}$ HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL means residential development at a density of over 70 dwelling units per net developable hectare except when located in the Downtown or C5 Districts.
${ }^{12}$ LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL means residential development at a density up to 35 dwelling units per net developable hectare except when located in the Downtown or C5 Districts.
${ }^{1}$ MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL means residential development at a density of 36-70 dwelling units per net developable hectare except when located in the Downtown or C5 Districts.
R) That all numbering under the C5 District be updated accordingly.
3) If any portion of this Bylaw is declared invalid by a court of competent jurisdiction, then the invalid portion must be severed and the remainder of the Bylaw is deemed valid.
4) This Bylaw becomes effective upon third and final reading.

| READ a first time this | day of | A.D., 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| READ a second time this | day of | A.D., 2016 |
| READ a third time and passed this | day of | A.D., 2016 |

$\qquad$

## BYLAW C10-16 Appendix A- Location Map


$\square$ C5- Fort Mall Redevelopment District

## ${ }^{1} 6.13$ C5 - Fort Mall Redevelopment District

### 6.13.1 Purpose

This district is generally intended to provide regulations for the redevelopment of the Fort Mall site as envisioned in the Downtown Area Redevelopment Plan \& Design Guidelines (Bylaw C14-08). A variety of land uses are supported in this district including residential, commercial, and mixed-use. Higher densities and scale greater than seen elsewhere in Fort Saskatchewan are supported, with high rise buildings accommodated in specific locations provided that the design ensures development relates to the adjacent areas and provides harmonious transitions. Open space and pedestrian connections will be provided to ensure ease of movement to and from the area, and opportunities for recreation. Special emphasis shall be given for the creation of a high quality public realm including urban plazas, outdoor amenity areas and interactive streetscapes.

### 6.13.2 C5 Permitted \& Discretionary Uses:



[^0][^1]| (b) C5 Discretionary Uses |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| - Casino <br> - Government Service <br> - Greenhouse <br> - Late Night Club <br> - Live Work Unit <br> Multi-attached Dwelling <br> - Outdoor Recreation Facility <br> - Pawn Shop | - Temporary Outdoor Event <br> - Vehicle Sales, Leasing or Rental Facility (limited) <br> - Veterinarian Clinic <br> - ${ }^{1}$ (Deleted) <br> - ${ }^{2}$ Accessory development to any use listed in subsection 6.13.2(b) |

### 6.13.3 Site Development Regulations

|  | Interior or Corner Site |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a) Site Area | Minimum Maximum | $\begin{aligned} & 300.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(3229.2 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \\ & \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A} \end{aligned}$ |
| b) Site Width | Minimum Maximum | At the discretion of Development Authority At the discretion of Development Authority |
| c) Front Setback | Minimum | For buildings with commercial uses at ground floor: 1.4 m to 3.4 m (4.6ft to 11.2 ft ) in order to achieve a continuous pedestrian zone of 3.4 m ( 11.2 ft ) <br> For building with residential units at ground floor: minimum 3.0 m ( 9.8 ft ) with display gardens. <br> Non-residential uses at ground floor $0.0 \mathrm{~m}(0.0 \mathrm{ft})$ to $1.4 \mathrm{~m}(4.6 \mathrm{~m})$ to achieve a continuous pedestrian zone of 3.4 m ( 11.2 ft ) <br> Residential uses at ground floor <br> $3.0 \mathrm{~m}(9.8 \mathrm{ft})$ with display gardens <br> Residential uses at ground floor abutting MR <br> 1.0 m (3.3ft) with display gardens in the MR |

[^2]
## Appendix B

| d) Side Setback | Minimum | At the discretion of the Development Authority unless located on a corner site. <br> For corner sites: minimum 1.4m to 3.4m (4.6ft to 11.2 ft ) in order to achieve a continuous pedestrian zone of 3.4 m (11.2 ft) <br> 0.0 m (0.0ft) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| e) Rear Setback | Minimum | At the discretion of the Development Authority for sites not abutting a Residential Land Use District <br> 0.0 m ( 0.0 ft ) when abutting a Non-Residential Land Use District <br> $4.5 \mathrm{~m}(14.8 \mathrm{ft})$ or one-half ( $1 / 2$ ) the height of the building, whichever is greater, for sites abutting a Residential Land Use District |
| f) Site Coverage | Maximum | 70\% |
| g) FAR | Maximum | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.5 \\ & 4.0 \end{aligned}$ |
| h) Unit Density | Maximum | Low density - 50 dwelling units per net developable hectare <br> Medium density-90 dwelling units per net developable hectare <br> High density - 250 dwelling units per net developable hectare <br> 200 units per net developable hectare for sites less than $1500.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ <br> 350 units per net developable hectare for sites greater than $1500.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| i) Height |  | As per Section 6.13.4 of this Bylaw |
| j) Common Amenity Area | Minimum | Apartment Dwellings <br> $4.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(48.4 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ per dwelling unit <br> All other Residential Dwellings <br> At the discretion of the Development Authority. This can include indoor and outdoor amenities such as seating areas and roof top patios |

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \text { k) Private Amenity Area } & \text { Minimum } & \begin{array}{l}7.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(81 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \text { per dwelling unit. Minimum } 25 \% \text { of } \\
\text { the required amenity area shall be provided as } \\
\text { outdoor space }\end{array}
$$ <br>
Residential Dwellings at Grade and Above Grade <br>
3.0 m^{2}\left(32.3 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) per dwelling unit to be provided by <br>
balconies, decks, patios or rooftop amenity <br>

area***\end{array}\right\}\)| Residential Dwellings Below Grade |
| :--- |
| To be provided through the common amenity area |

*** Private Amenity Area shall only be provided by balconies in Apartment Dwellings

## Urban Design Regulations

### 6.13.4 Urban Form

a) Maximum building height shall be determined based upon:
i. ${ }^{1}$ The location of the building in proximity to the public roadways, as per

Figure 6.13a.
ii. For mid-rise and high-rise buildings, the maximum building height shall also be limited by the application of 45 degree angular plane (Figure 6.13 b ). Along 98 Avenue, new development shall have a minimum height of 2 storeys when located in the Periphery Zone, and a minimum height of 4 storeys in the Centre Zone, as per Figure 6.13a.
iii. Building heights shall be transitioned through appropriate stepbacks as per Figure 6.13a.
${ }^{1}$ Figure 6.13a: Fort Mall Site Maximum Heights Diagram


b) The maximum building height for buildings greater than 5 storeys shall be limited by the application of a 45 degree angular plane, as per Figure 6.13b.

Figure 6.13b: 45 Degree Angular Plane Method for Determining Height Maximums

c) Vents, mechanical rooms and equipment, elevator penthouses etc. shall be integrated into the architectural treatment of building roof or screened with materials and finishes compatible with the building.

The maximum parapet height for all new buildings shall not exceed 1.5m (4.9ft).
d) Vents, mechanical rooms and equipment, elevator penthouses, etc. shall be integrated into the architectural treatment of building roof or screened with materials and finishes compatible with the building.

### 6.13.5 Street Character and Pedestrian Realm

a) For buildings where the ground floor is occupied by non-residential tenancy, the front setback shall be hard surfaced with a consistent treatment and theme from the City sidewalk to the satisfaction of the Development Authority.
b) To avoid monotony in architecture, all buildings shall be required to provide a vertical articulation in the streetwall fronting public roads using a variety of colours, materials, projections as well as recessions in the building façade, as per Figure
6.13c.

Figure 6.13c: Vertical Articulation Specifications

c) Individual retail store frontages along 99 Avenue at ground floor shall not exceed 8.0m ( 26.3 ft ) in width, as per Figure 6.13c.
d) Where feasible, entrances for commercial and office uses shall be located at intervals of 6.0 m to 10.0 m ( 19.7 ft to 32.8 ft ) along building façades fronting public roadway.
e) For new construction, large scale commercial uses at ground floor shall be required to provide small scale individualized tenancy fronting the public roadway, as per Figure 6.13d.

Figure 6.13d: Small Scale Occupancy in Large Scale Commercial Uses


### 6.13.6 Open Space and Linkages

a) A minimum $15 \%$ of the Fort Mall site area shall be dedicated as publically-accessible open space that is connected to the wider city level open space network.
b) Open space shall be developed and landscaped in accordance with Section 4.8 to 4.11 of this Bylaw. In addition, street furniture such as benches, waste receptacles, garden lighting, etc. may be required to enhance the open space to the satisfaction of Development Authority.
c) New private or public roads in this district shall be designed in a manner to reestablish the typical block pattern and the street grid found in Fort Saskatchewan's downtown.

### 6.13.7 Building Massing and Architectural Character

a) Buildings at the intersection of the following streets shall be required to incorporate special architectural treatment to mark entrances to the downtown and key focal points:
i. 99 Avenue and 106 Street; and
ii. 99 Avenue and 108 Street.
b) Mid-rise and high-rise buildings shall provide three distinct vertical zones as per the diagram below, and meet the following step back requirements:
Buildings more than 5 storeys shall provide three distinct vertical zones, as per
Figure 6.13e, and meet the following step back requirements:
i. The base zone shall be a minimum of two storeys and a maximum four storeys, and shall be integrated with townhouses, apartments or commercial retail units;
ii. The middle zone shall provide a minimum setback of $3.0 \mathrm{~m}(9.8 \mathrm{ft})$ and a maximum floor plate of $800 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(8611 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$; and
iii. The top zone shall be required for high rise buildings and shall include the top three stories. The top zone shall provide either an additional setback or a change in material/colour or special architectural treatment to the satisfaction of the Development Authority.

Figure 6.13e: Vertical Zones in Mid-rise and High-rise Buildings

c) A minimum separation distance of 25.0 m ( 82.0 ft ) measured perpendicularly to building face shall be provided between the shafts (middle zones) of two high rise towers.
d) Building façade on corner sites shall address both public roadways.
e) New developments shall be encouraged to incorporate public art into building façades.
f) Large blank façades with opaque surfaces shall be minimised to the satisfaction of the Development Authority.

### 6.13.8 Pedestrian Entrances

a) Ground floor entrances for commercial/office uses shall be level with grade of the adjacent sidewalk.
b) Ground floor entrances for residential units fronting public road shall provide a 1.0 m $(3.3 \mathrm{ft})$ grade separation from adjacent sidewalk to provide visual privacy for residential units.
c) Entrances to commercial uses at ground floor and residential uses above ground level shall be architecturally differentiated from each other.

### 6.13.9 Ground Floor Treatment

a) The land uses along ground floors of all buildings shall be as per Figure 6.13f, whereas:
i. Ground floor uses along 99 Avenue shall be limited to commercial or residential development; and
ii. Ground floor uses along 98 Avenue shall be limited to residential development.
iii. Surface and structure parking areas shall be located at the rear of the building and screened from public view.

Figure 6.13f: Ground Floor Frontage Use Designations

b) The ground floor of each commercial development shall be required to provide a minimum of $60 \%$ transparency measured along the width of the associated parcel.

Tempered or tinted glass that prohibits visibility shall be considered as opaque surface; and

Facade improvement or facades for newly constructed buildings with non-residential uses located on the ground floor facing a public street or public area shall provide a minimum 60\% transparency on the ground floor level to encourage pedestrian interactions and safety, as per Figure 6.13g.

Figure 6.13g: Transparency in Ground Level Commercial Developments

c) Principal entrances of dwelling units or commercial retail units provided at the ground floor level shall provide direct access to the adjacent public sidewalk.

### 6.13.10 Canopies and Weather Protection

a) A continuous weather protection of minimum 1.8 m ( 5.9 ft ) width at the ground floor of all building façades fronting 99 Avenue shall be encouraged, as per Figure 6.13h.

Figure 6.13h: Canopies and Weather Protection


### 6.13.11 Building Projections

a) Balconies on the streetwall shall be partly or fully recessed from the building face with a minimum approximately $50 \%$ of their perimeter contained by exterior walls of the building, as per Figure 6.13i.

Figure 6.13i: Balcony Projection

b) Balcony projections may project beyond the floor plate restrictions or the front streetwall up to a maximum of $1.0 \mathrm{~m}(3.3 \mathrm{ft})$ but shall in no case project beyond the property line.

### 6.13.12 General Parking Requirements

a) On-site parking should be provided at the rear or sides of buildings, within underground parkade or above-ground parking structures. Surface parking areas should not be developed adjacent to any public roadway other than a lane, unless a suitable interface with the abutting street is provided to the satisfaction of the Development Authority.
b) Corner sites may have surface parking areas located on the side of the building, facing the flanking roadway when screened from public view.
c) The Development Authority may consider granting additional Floor Area Ratio, if the applicant agrees to provide underground parking stalls to meet all parking requirements of the project.
d) Uses and developments not specified in an approved Parking Impact Assessment shall meet the Minimum Parking Requirements for Downtown, as per Table 11.e.
e) Structured parking facilities shall generally be provided at locations internal to the site. If such parking facilities are located fronting a public roadway, then the following design considerations shall be utilized:
i. Ground floor shall include retail uses with multiple entrances;
ii. Entrance to the parking facility shall be designed with special architectural treatment to maintain the integrity of retail frontage; and
iii. The facade of the upper storeys of the parking facility shall be designed to reflect residential or commercial building character.

### 6.13.12 Parking, Circulation, Accesses, Loading and Waste Collection

a) On-site parking should be provided at the rear or sides of buildings, within underground parkade or above-ground parking structures. Surface parking areas should not be developed adjacent to any public roadway other than a lane, unless a suitable interface with the abutting street is provided to the satisfaction of the Development Authority.
b) All vehicular access to parking and on-site service areas, parking facilities, waste storage/collection areas as well as loading facilities shall be screened from public roadways using enhanced landscape treatment or special architectural features.
c) Where possible, vehicular entrances to underground parking facilities and passenger drop-off areas shall be provided from the rear of buildings.
d) Internal roadway network shall be designed to improve walkability and reduce shortcutting by vehicular traffic.
e) Drive-through service should be limited.
f) Structured parking facilities shall generally be provided at locations internal to the site. If such parking facilities are located fronting a public roadway, then the following design considerations shall be utilised:

```
                    i.-Ground floor shall include retail uses with multiple entrances;
                    ii.- Entrance to the parking facility shall be designed with special
                        architectural treatment to maintain the integrity of retail frontage;
            and
iii. The façade of the upper storeys of the parking facility shall be
    designed to reflect residential or commercial building character.
```

g) The Development Authority may consider granting additional Floor Area-Ratio, if the applicant agrees to provide underground parking stalls to meet all parking requirements of the project.
h) Garbage and recycling containers shall provide a minimum setback of 1.0 m ( 3.3 ft ) from a property line and be screened using appropriate architectural or landscaping treatment to the satisfaction of Development Authority.
i) Designated areas for storage, temporary truck parking, waste collection, compaction, and loading shall have a minimum setback of 7.5 m (24.6ft) from public roadway and a minimum separation of 25.0 m ( 82.0 ft ) from residential buildings.

### 6.13.13 Signage

a) Buildings on corner sites shall provide signage on both building façades.
b) Projecting signs may project beyond the streetwall by a maximum of $1.0 \mathrm{~m}(3.3 \mathrm{ft})$ and should be restricted to ground floor only.

### 6.13.14 Additional Development Regulations for C5

a) All development and uses within this Land Use District are subject to the applicable provisions of Part 4-General Regulations for all Land Use Districts, Sections 6.1 to 6.7 of Part 6 - Commercial Land Use Districts, Part 11 - Parking and Loading, and Part 12 - Signs. and are subject to all provisions from the Downtown Area Redevelopment Plan (DARP) or other Statutory Plans adopted by Council;
b) Except for off-street parking, loading areas and approved patios, all business activities shall be carried out entirely within completely enclosed buildings or structures. Sidewalk sales, tent sales, or farmers markets shall be considered in the approved open space areas such as parking lots or plazas in accordance with the regulations for Temporary Outdoor Events; and
c) The siting and appearance of all buildings or improvements, and the landscaping of the site shall be to the satisfaction of the Development Authority in order that there shall be general conformity with adjacent buildings, and that there may be adequate protection afforded to the amenities of adjacent buildings and sites. The form and character of buildings shall complement adjacent residential character of the neighbourhood.

## Part 13 - Definitions

## General Definitions

DISPLAY GARDENS means an area dedicated to planting that provides privacy for residential uses, and improves streetscape aesthetics.

FLOOR AREA RATIO (FAR) means the numerical value of the gross floor area on all levels of all buildings on a lot, divided by the area of the lot.
${ }^{1}$ HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL means residential development at a density of over 70 dwelling units per net developable hectare for developments outside of the C5 - Fort Mall Redevelopment District. High Density Residential within the C5 - Fort Mall Redevelopment District means residential development at a density of $91-250$ dwelling units per net developable hectare and is 9 to 15 storeys. except when located in the Downtown or C5 Districts.
${ }^{12}$ LOW DENSITY RESIDENTIAL means residential development at a density up to 35 dwelling units per net developable hectare for developments outside of the C5 - Fort Mall Redevelopment District. Low Density Residential within the C5 - Fort Mall Redevelopment District means residential development at a density not to exceed 50 dwelling units per net developable hectare and is 0 to 4 storeys. except when located in the Downtown or C5 Districts.
${ }^{1}$ MEDIUM DENSITY RESIDENTIAL means residential development at a density of $36-70$ dwelling units per net developable hectare for developments outside of the C5 - Fort Mall Redevelopment District. Aledium Density Residential within the C5-Fort Mall Redevelopment District means residential development at a density of 51-90 dwelling units per net developable hectare and is 5 to 8 storeys. except when located in the Downtown or C5 Districts.

[^3]Existing and Proposed Regulations

|  | Existing Regulation | Proposed Regulation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multi-attached Dwelling | Discretionary Use | Permitted Use - only around perimeter of the site |
| Min. Front Setback | For buildings with commercial uses at ground floor: 1.4 m to $3.4 \mathrm{~m}(4.6 \mathrm{ft}$ to 11.2 ft ) in order to achieve a continuous pedestrian zone of 3.4 m ( 11.2 ft ) <br> For building with residential units at ground floor: minimum 3.0 m ( 9.8 ft ) with display gardens. | Non-residential uses at ground floor-0.0m (0.0ft) to $1.4 m$ (4.6m) to achieve a continuous pedestrian zone of 3.4 m (11.2ft) <br> Residential uses at ground floor $3.0 m$ (9.8ft) with display gardens <br> Residential uses at ground floor abutting MR <br> 1.0 m (3.3ft) with display gardens in the $M R$ |
| Min. Side Setback | At the discretion of the Development Authority unless located on a corner site. <br> For corner sites: minimum 1.4 m to 3.4 m ( 4.6 ft to 11.2 ft ) in order to achieve a continuous pedestrian zone of 3.4 m ( 11.2 ft ) | 0.0 m (0.0ft) |
| Min. Rear Setback | At the discretion of the Development Authority for sites not abutting a Residential Land Use District | $0.0 m$ ( $0.0 f t$ ) when abutting a Non-Residential Land Use District <br> $4.5 m$ (14.8ft) or one-half (1/2) the height of the building, whichever is greater, for sites abutting a Residential Land Use District |
| Max. Building Height | 3 storeys along 98 Avenue | 4 storeys along 98 Avenue |
| Floor Area Ratio | 3.5 | 4.0 |
| Unit Density | Low density - 50 dwelling units per net developable hectare <br> Medium density - 90 dwelling units per net developable hectare <br> High density - 250 dwelling units per net developable hectares | 200 units/net hectare for sites less than $1500.0 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ <br> 350 units/net hectare for sites greater than $1500.0 m^{2}$ |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Amenity Area } & \begin{array}{l}7.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(81 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right) \text { per dwelling unit. } \\ \text { Minimum } 25 \% \text { of the required } \\ \text { amenity area shall be provided } \\ \text { as outdoor space }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Private Amenity } \\ \text { Residential Dwellings at Grade } \\ \text { and Above Grade } \\ 3.0 m^{2}\left(32.3 f t^{2}\right) \text { per dwelling unit } \\ \text { to be provided by balconies, } \\ \text { decks, patios or rooftop amenity } \\ \text { area } \\ \text { Residential Dwellings Below } \\ \text { Grade - To be provided through } \\ \text { the common amenity area }\end{array} \\ \text { Common Amenity } \\ \text { Apartment Dwellings } \\ \left.4.5 m^{2} \text { (48.4ft }{ }^{2}\right) \text { per dwelling unit } \\ \text { All other Residential Dwellings } \\ \text { At the discretion of the } \\ \text { Development Authority. This } \\ \text { can include indoor and outdoor } \\ \text { amenities such as seating areas } \\ \text { and roof top patios }\end{array}\right\}$

## APPLICANT'S AMENITY AREA REQUEST

## EXISTING C5 REGULATION - 6.13.3 (j):

## Amenity Area

$7.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(81 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ per dwelling unit. Minimum $25 \%$ of the required amenity area shall be provided as outdoor space

## APPLICANT'S REQUEST:

## Common Amenity Area

Apartment Dwellings $-4.5 m^{2}\left(48.4 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ per dwelling unit

## ADMINISTRATION'S RECOMMENDATION:

## Common Amenity Area

Apartment Dwellings $-4.5 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(48.4 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ per dwelling unit
All other Residential Dwellings - At the discretion of the Development Authority. This can include indoor and outdoor amenities such as seating areas and roof top patios

## Reasoning:

- To provide flexibility for the developer while maintaining a requirement for an amenity area for multi-unit developments
- This area could include benches at the ground floor or a rooftop patio
- The wording of the regulation allows for the requirement to be reviewed on a case by case basis


## RESOLUTION (Should Council support the applicant's request):

1. That the amenity area requirement be amended to remove the requirement for all other residential dwellings in regards to the common area requirement.

June 14, 2016

## RE: C5 - Fort Mall Redevelopment District Bylaw Amendment Application

Attention City Council, Planning and Development, and Residents of Fort Saskatchewan:
As the economy has shifted in the last few years, Haro Developments' initial concept has been required to change with it to ensure the successful revitalization of Fort Saskatchewan's Fort Mall District. After multiple attempts in 2015 to get development permits approved, it was determined that amendments to the C5 District would be required to help align these changes. Together with the City's Planning and Development Department, the proposed C5 District aligns with the recently adopted Downtown Land Use Districts and Haro Developments' vision for the past 4 months (see attached timeline). During these collaborations, Haro Developments has compromised with the City on things such as parking, permitted uses, exterior elevations, and setback regulations. However, the Amenity Area was an area where a decision could not be reached.

## Common Amenity Area

Currently, Haro Developments has dedicated $15 \%$ of the total site towards landscaping, which has been developed and promised to be developed as amenity spaces. Through the new proposed regulations, this would require additional amenity areas to be dedicated. The amenity area is one of the highlights of the Fort Mall site, something that the condominium association takes pride and ownership of. The condominium association currently includes all the parcels and maintains the space. With competing amenity areas throughout the Fort Mall site, the possibility of competing interests may arise, causing the attention to be shifted elsewhere. With the large landscaped area prominently located along 99 Avenue, it is critical that this amenity area be focused on, ensuring the goal of creating an integrated site.

The Fort Mall site was designed to be an interactive, integrated, pedestrian-oriented mixed-use site with a large landscaped amenity area for all residents in the area to utilize. Enhanced pedestrian connections were planned to provide residents on and off site connections to this amenity area from all directions. The proposal is to utilize this large amenity space as intended and have it be shared by all those living and working in the Downtown. By creating a large amenity area, the vibrancy and energy is focused on the Fort Mall site, which is centrally location on both the site and downtown. This creates a natural gathering place that highlights 99 Avenue. Sharing the common space will accomplish three goals: improve greenspace connectivity between the Old Fort/Legacy Park and Langworthy Park, create a destination in the Downtown that is walkable from all directions for all residents of Fort Saskatchewan, and support a future transit line by creating a gathering place along 99 Avenue as indicated in the DARP.

## Private Amenity Area

The Downtown Land Use Bylaw that was recently passed by Council was used to revise the policies found in the C5 District to ensure consistency among the regulations. Under the Downtown Land Uses, Private Amenity Areas are to be accommodated through balconies. Balconies are defined under the Land Use Bylaw as being a platform with or without supporting structure above the first storey. By specifying balconies, this limits the diversity in housing choices that would utilize alternative forms of private amenity areas, such as stacked
townhouses, and ground level residential uses. As the east and south side of the Fort Mall site are intended to have residential frontages, ground floor dwellings or multi-family dwellings with porches would not be able to accommodate this regulation. Furthermore, rooftop terraces would also be restricted as they are not a platform that is attached to and projecting.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Regards,


Stephen Yu
Planner
Invistec Consulting Ltd.
780-217-7751
stephen.yu@invistec.ca

## Relevant Policies

| Community Sustainability Plan |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| CC - Compassionate Community \& Sense of Community |  |
| CC5 | Adapt land use policies to allow for a greater range of housing <br> options including mixed use and high density developments |
| SB2 - Supporting Businesses |  |\(\left|\begin{array}{ll|}\hline Proactive downtown revitalization including incentives, high density <br>


development, multiple uses and connectivity.\end{array}\right|\)| SB3 | Develop a strategy for redevelopment of the mall site to ensure best possible <br> future use. |
| :--- | :--- |
| UR - Urban Resources |  |

## Municipal Development Plan

Designation: Downtown (D)

### 6.1 Downtown

6.1.2 Promote the Downtown as the centre for commerce and civic life in Fort Saskatchewan
6.1.4 Establish the Downtown as the City's most prominent walkable, urban neighbourhood, providing a unique range of residential, commercial, entertainment, cultural, and recreational opportunities.
6.1 General Urban Area

| 6.2.5 | Consider proposals for sensitive residential infill redevelopment <br> projects that contribute to the livability of existing <br> neighbourhoods. |
| :--- | :--- |

### 7.1 Urban Structure and Placemaking Policies

7.1.1 Encourage the development of the Downtown and Mixed Use Centres as primarily walkable precincts, with special attention given to the public realm and facilities for pedestrians.
7.1.5 Encourage a variety of land uses in the Downtown, Mixed Use Centres, and the General Urban Area, to promote integrated,

| complete neighbourhoods where residents can carry out most of |
| :--- | :--- |
| their day-to-day activities. |$|$| 8.5 Parking | Review the Land Use Bylaw to implement reduced parking <br> requirements in all areas, such as the Downtown and Mixed Use <br> Centres, that have access to frequent transit and neighbourhood <br> amenities, or where other strategies can be employed to effectively <br> manage parking demand. |
| :--- | :--- |
| 9.0 Housing | Support sensitive infill and redevelopment in the Downtown, <br> Residential Mixed Use Centres, General Urban Area, and Core |
| 9.1.4Residential land use districts. |  |
| 13.0 Responsive Local Economy |  |
| 13.2.1 $\quad$Continue to encourage redevelopment of the mall and old hospital sites. |  |
| Downtown Area Redevelopment Plan \& Design Guidelines |  |
| Designation: Mall Redevelopment Precinct |  |

## CITY OF FORT SASKATCHEWAN

## Bylaw C11-16, a Bylaw of the City of Fort Saskatchewan to Amend Fees and Charges Bylaw C23-15

## Motions:

1. That Council give first reading to Bylaw C11-16, which amends Fees and Charges Bylaw C23-15.
2. That Council give second reading to Bylaw C11-16, which amends Fees and Charges Bylaw C23-15.
3. That Council provide unanimous consent to proceed with third and final reading to Bylaw C11-16, which amends Fees and Charges Bylaw C23-15.
4. That Council give third reading to Bylaw C11-16, which amends Fees and Charges Bylaw C23-15.

## Purpose:

To present Council with information and a request to give three readings to Bylaw C11-16, which amends Fees and Charges Bylaw C23-15.

## Background:

As per the Fees and Charges Bylaw C11-16, the following amendments are being proposed:

## Economic Development

Business Licence Bylaw C9-16 was approved at the May 10, 2016 regular Council Meeting. With the approval of the Bylaw, changes are required to the current Fees and Charges Bylaw. These include:
a) Simplification of Business Licence types (Resident and Non-resident).
b) Removal of Accessory Home Occupation fee. Accessory Home Occupations would be included under Resident Business Licence fees. The fees for both licences were the same, therefore the fee would remain at $\$ 90.00 /$ year.
c) Removal of the Transient Trader/Hawker, Peddler fee. This category currently has both a daily rate of $\$ 100.00$ and an annual rate of $\$ 300.00$. It will be replaced with the Temporary Business Licence fee, which would be valid for 28 days at a rate of $\$ 100.00$.
d) Removal of the Transfer Licence fee. The fee was eliminated because it was determined that charging a fee for this service deters businesses from informing the City of any change in ownership. Administration felt that the value of having up-to-date information was more valuable than the fee.
e) Removal of Revoked or Surrendered Licence Refund fee. As the fee is nominal and the frequency of revoked or surrendered licences is quite small, it was decided to eliminate the fee.

## Protective Services

Animal Control Bylaw C7-16 was approved at the May 24, 2016 regular Council Meeting. With the approval of the Bylaw, changes are required to the licensing section of the current Fees and Charges Bylaw. This includes the addition of a Nuisance Dog category and replacing the Vicious Dog category with a Restricted Dog category.

## Public Transit

At the November 17, 2015 regular Council Meeting, Council approved the 2016 Budget for transit services within Fort Saskatchewan. Option 3 - Commuter Transit Services, which modified existing local transit services with a capital investment to purchase transit equipment was approved. The recommended fares were included as part of the Draft 2015 Fort Saskatchewan Transit - Pilot Review Report, and Administration is following the recommendations indicated in the report to bring the City's fares closer to the Capital Region average, making tickets and passes more attractive to the City's transit customers.

Changes to the current Fees and Charges Bylaw are required for the Public Transit fares when the City's Commuter Transit Service is implemented on September 6, 2016. With the upcoming change in Transit Service fees, the new fees require approval to meet the timelines to advise the public and modify brochures.

## Recommendation:

That Council give three readings to Bylaw C11-16, which amends Fees and Charges Bylaw C23-15.

## Attachments:

1. Bylaw C11-16 - Amending Fees and Charges Bylaw C23-15
2. Bylaw C23-15 - Portions of Bylaw outlining sections to be amended

| Prepared by: | Sheryl Exley <br> Legislative Officer | Date: June 2, 2016 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Approved by: | Brenda Molter <br> Director, Legislative Services | Date: June 7, 2016 |
| Approved by: | Brenda Rauckman <br> General Manager, Corporate and Protective <br> Services | Date: June 7, 2016 |
| Reviewed by: | Kelly Kloss <br> City Manager | Date: June 7, 2016 |
| Submitted to: City Council | Date: June 14, 2016 |  |

## CITY OF FORT SASKATCHEWAN

## AMENDING FEES AND CHARGES BYLAW

## BYLAW C11-16

NOW THEREFORE, the Council of the City of Fort Saskatchewan in the Province of Alberta, in open meeting of Council, enacts as follows:

1. This Bylaw is cited as the Amending Fees and Charges Bylaw.
2. That Schedule "A" of Bylaw C23-15 be amended as follows:
(a) by removing the following fees:

CORPORATE AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES

| DESCRIPTION | $\begin{gathered} \text { GST } \\ \text { Applicable } \end{gathered}$ | Unit of Measure | 2016 * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Animal Licences |  |  |  |
| Vicious Dog Licence | E | per animal | \$150.00 |
| Animal Licences (Senior 65+) |  |  |  |
| Vicious Dog Licences | E | per animal | \$ 75.00 |

INFRASTRUCTURE AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

| DESCRIPTION | GST <br> Applicable | Unit of Measure | 2016 * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business Licence Fees - Renewal: |  |  |  |
| Accessory Home Occupation | E | per licence / per calendar year | \$ 90.00 |
| Transient Trader/Hawker, Peddler | E | per licence / per day | \$100.00 |
|  | E | maximum fee | \$300.00 |
| Transient Trader/Hawker, Peddler | E | per licence / per calendar year | \$300.00 |
| Transfer of Licence | E | per transfer | \$ 25.00 |
| Revoked or Surrendered Licence Refund | E | per refund | \$ 1.00 |

(b) by adding the following fees:

## CORPORATE AND PROTECTIVE SERVICES

| DESCRIPTION | GST <br> Applicable <br> $\substack{\text { taxaleb }=T \\ \text { texempe= }}$ | Unit of Measure | 2016 * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Animal Licences |  |  |  |
| Nuisance Dog Licence | E | per animal | \$100.00 |
| Restricted Dog Licence | E | per animal | \$150.00 |


| Animal Licences (Senior 65+) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nuisance Dog Licence | E | per animal | $\$ 50.00$ |
| Restricted Dog Licence | E | per animal | $\$ 75.00$ |

## INFRASTRUCTURE AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

| DESCRIPTION | $\begin{gathered} \text { GST } \\ \text { Applicable } \end{gathered}$ | Unit of Measure | 2016 * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business Licence Fees - Renewal: |  |  |  |
| Temporary | E | licence / 28 consecutive days | \$100.00 |


| Public Transit Fees * |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| * Fees effective September 6, 2016 |  |  |  |
| Student Fares: |  |  | eas (no charge travel |
| Student with U-Pass <br> within Fort Sask.) | E |  | each |
| Student with U-Pass with no <br> Companion Pass (one-way travel <br> between Fort Sask. and Clareview) | E |  | each |
| Student Fare without U-Pass (travel <br> within Fort Sask. only) | E |  | $\$ .50$ |
| Student Fare without U-Pass (one- <br> way travel between DCC and <br> Clareview) | each | each |  |
| Student Book of 10 Tickets (one-way <br> travel between DCC and Clareview) | E |  | $\$ 3.50$ |
| Student Monthly Pass (travel within <br> Fort Sask. and between DCC and <br> Clareview) | E | each | $\$ 33.00$ |
| Student U-Pass Companion (travel <br> between DCC and Clareview) | E | each | $\$ 35.00$ |
| Senior Fares: | E | each | $\$ 125.00$ |
| Senior (local only) | each | $\$ 1.50$ |  |
| Senior Cash (one-way travel between <br> Fort Sask. and Clareview) | E | each | $\$ 3.50$ |
| Senior Book of 10 Tickets (one-way <br> travel within Fort Sask.) | E | each | $\$ 10.00$ |
| Senior Monthly Pass (travel within <br> Fort Sask. and between DCC and <br> Clareview) | E | \$35.00 |  |


| Adult Fares: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adult Cash (travel within Fort Sask. only) | E | each | \$ 2.25 |
| Adult Cash (one-way between Fort Sask. and Clareview) | E | each | \$ 5.00 |
| Adult Monthly Commuter Pass (trave within Fort Sask. and between DCC and Clareview) | E | each | \$ 90.00 |
| Adult Book of 10 Tickets (one-way travel between Fort Sask. and Clareview) | E | each | \$ 40.00 |
| Integrated Fares: |  |  |  |
| Student/Senior Integrated Monthly Pass (travel within Fort Sask. to and around Edmonton) | E | each | \$118.50 |
| Adult Integrated Pass (travel within Fort Sask. to and around Edmonton) | E | each | \$181.50 |
| Edmonton Only Fares: |  |  |  |
| Adult Book of 10 Tickets (around Edmonton Only) | E | each | \$ 24.75 |
| Student/Senior Book of 10 Tickets (around Edmonton only) | E | each | \$ 21.50 |

(c) by amending the following wording:

## INFRASTRUCTURE AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

| DESCRIPTION | $\begin{gathered} \text { GST } \\ \text { Applicable } \end{gathered}$ | Unit of Measure | 2016 * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business Licence Fees - New: |  |  |  |
| Business Licence Issued Between: * |  |  |  |
| * Applies to Resident and NonResident |  |  |  |

(d) by adding the following wording:

| DESCRIPTION | $\begin{gathered} \text { GST } \\ \text { Applicable } \\ \text { turachle }=T \end{gathered}$ | Unit of Measure | 2016 * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public Transit Fees* |  |  |  |
| * Fees in effect until September 5, 2016 |  |  |  |

3. That if there are any inconsistencies between the fees, rates and charges imposed pursuant to this Bylaw and those imposed by any other bylaw of the City of Fort Saskatchewan, this Bylaw shall take precedence.
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4. That this Bylaw shall be in full force and effect upon third and final reading.

| READ a first time this | day of | , 2016. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| READ a second time this | day of | , 2016. |
| READ a third time and finally passed this | day of | , 2016. |

## MAYOR

DIRECTOR, LEGISLATIVE SERVICES

Date Signed: $\qquad$

## City of Fort Saskatchewan

## Fees and Charges

## Schedule A

Bylaw C23-15
Amended April 12, 2016 - Bylaw C5-16

| Description <br> *Fees and Charges exclude GST. Where taxable (T), GST is charged at point of sale. |  | Unit of Measure | 2016 * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - No Charge for Student (identification required) or Volunteer Purposes |  |  |  |
| Motor Vehicle Accident Report and Statement Fees |  |  |  |
| Reports: |  |  |  |
| - Copy of Subsequent Report to Driver | E | per report | \$ 20.00 |
| - Copy of Report to Lawyers or Insurance Companies * | E | per report | \$ 20.00 |
| Statements: * |  |  |  |
| - Papercopy | E | per statement | \$ 25.00 |
| - Each additional five pages | E | per set | \$ 20.00 |
| - Digital Camera Disk | E | per CD Disk | \$ 20.00 |
| - Pardon Application | E | per statement | \$ 30.00 |
| * Copy of Driver Consent Required |  |  |  |
| Animal Licenses |  |  |  |
| Animal License - Tag Replacement | E | per animal | \$ 5.00 |
| Animal Licence - New: |  |  |  |
| - Neutered or Spayed Cat or Dog | E | per animal | \$ 20.00 |
| - Unaltered Cat or Dog | E | per animal | \$ 30.00 |
| Vicious Dog License | E | per animal | \$ 150.00 |
| Animal License - New (Senior 65+ Rates): |  |  |  |
| - Neutered or Spayed Cat or Dog | E | per animal | \$ 10.00 |
| - Unaltered Cat or Dog | E | per animal | \$ 20.00 |
| Vicious Dog License | E | per animal | \$ 75.00 |
| Kennel Fees: |  |  |  |
| Kennel Impound Fee | T | per day or any part thereof | \$ 20.00 |
| For any required veterinary treatment, including drugs and medications | T |  | Actual cost of treatment |

## Department: Legislative Services

| Assessment Appeal Fees |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fees are Refundable to Successful Complainant |  |  |  |  |
| Residential and Farmland - All Values | E | per property under appeal | \$ | 25.00 |
| Non-Residential - Includes Machinery and Equipment and MultiFamily Developments that are more than 3 Dwelling Units: |  |  |  |  |
| - Under \$500,000 | E | per property under appeal | \$ | 100.00 |
| - \$500,000 to \$1,000,000 | E | per property under appeal | \$ | 250.00 |
| - \$1,000,001 to \$5,000,000 | E | per property under appeal | \$ | 450.00 |
| - Over \$5,000,000 | E | per property under appeal | \$ | 650.00 |
| Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy (FOIP) Act Fees |  |  |  |  |
| Fees are determined by Provincial Legislation If the total cost of processing a FOIP request is more than \$150, a $50 \%$ deposit is required |  |  |  |  |
| FOIP Request | E | per request | \$ | 25.00 |
| Photocopy of a Record * | T | per sheet | \$ | 0.25 |
| * 8.5" x 11" Single Sided, Black and White |  |  |  |  |
| Photocopy of Plans and Blueprints | T | per page | \$ | 0.50 |
| Producing a Copy of a Record on Memory Stick | T | per stick | \$ | 5.00 |
| Producing a Copy of a Record (color or black and white) Printed from a Negative, Slide or Digital Image: |  |  |  |  |
| -4" $\times 6$ " | T | per copy | \$ | 3.00 |
| -5" $\times 7$ " | T | per copy | \$ | 6.00 |
| -8" $\times 101$ | T | per copy | \$ | 10.00 |
| -11" $\times 14$ " | T | per copy | \$ | 20.00 |
| -16" $\times 20$ " | T | per copy | \$ | 30.00 |
| Preparing and Handling a Record for Disclosure | E | per 15 minutes | \$ | 6.75 |
| Searching, Locating, Retrieving and Copying a Record | E | per 15 minutes | \$ | 6.75 |
| Supervising the Examination of a Record | E | per 15 minutes | \$ | 6.75 |
| Subdivision and Development Appeal Board Fees |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial/Industrial | E | per appeal | \$ | 400.00 |
| Subdivision | E | per appeal | \$ | 600.00 |

## City of Fort Saskatchewan

## Fees and Charges

## Schedule A

Bylaw C23-15
Amended April 12, 2016 - Bylaw C5-16

| Description <br> * Fees and Charges exclude GST. Where taxable (T), GST is charged at point of sale. |  | Unit of Measure |  | 2016 * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Soccer Pitch Conversion | T | one time booking fee | \$ | 1,500.00 |
| Department: Economic Development |  |  |  |  |
| Business License Fees - New: |  |  |  |  |
| Business License Issued Between: * |  |  |  |  |
| * Applies to Residential, Non-Residential, Transient Traders <br> / Hawkers and Peddlers |  |  |  |  |
| - January 1st and March 31st | E | per license / \% of business license renewal fee |  | 100\% |
| - April 1st and June 30th | E | per license $/ \%$ of business license renewal fee |  | 75\% |
| - July 1st and September 30th | E | per license / \% of business license renewal fee |  | 50\% |
| - October 1st and December 31st | E | per license $/ \%$ of business license renewal fee |  | 25\% |
| Business License Fees - Renewal: |  |  |  |  |
| Resident | E | per license / per calendar year | \$ | 90.00 |
| Non-Resident | E | per license / per calendar year | \$ | 300.00 |
| Accessory Home Occupation | E | per license / per calendar year | \$ | 90.00 |
| Transient Trader/Hawker, Peddler | E | per license / per day | \$ | 100.00 |
|  | E | maximum fee | \$ | 300.00 |
| Transient Trader/Hawker, Peddler | E | per license / per calendar year | \$ | 300.00 |
| Transfer of License | E | per transfer | \$ | 25.00 |
| Revoked or Surrendered License Refund | E | per refund | \$ | 1.00 |

Department: Infrastructure Management

| Damage Deposits |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barricade | E | each | \$ | 50.00 |
| Cones / Pylons | E | each | \$ | 50.00 |
| Hard Hats | E | each | \$ | 50.00 |
| Sandwich Boards | E | each | \$ | 50.00 |
| Tripods | E | each | \$ | 50.00 |
| Vests | E | each | \$ | 50.00 |
| Picnic Tables Benches | E | each | \$ | 50.00 |
| Parks Gate Key Deposit (Refundable upon return of key) | E | each | \$ | 500.00 |
| Road Crossing Agreement | T | each | \$ | 350.00 |
| Rental Fees |  |  |  |  |
| Picnic Tables / Park Benches Rental: | T | per day | \$ | 6.86 |
| - Delivery - Within Municipal Boundaries * | T | per truckload | \$ | 95.24 |
| * 6 picnic tables or 20 benches |  |  |  |  |
| Turner Park Picnic Shelter Rental | T | per hour | \$ | 9.81 |
| Permit Fees |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Light Turn Permit | T | per traffic light | \$ | 165.00 |
| Curb Cut Permit | E | each | \$ | 350.00 |
| Cemetery Fees |  |  |  |  |
| All open / close fees may be pre-paid. If the open / close occurs outside of regular hours, overtime surcharges will be applied. |  |  |  |  |
| Plot Purchase: * |  |  |  |  |
| * Includes 25\% contribution to Perpetual Care Reserve |  |  |  |  |
| - Full Size Lot - $120 \mathrm{~cm} \times 275 \mathrm{~cm}$ | T | each | \$ | 532.00 |
| - Infant Lot -120 cm x 120 cm | T | each | \$ | 200.00 |
| - Legion and RCMP Member / Spouse Only -120 cm x 120 cm |  | each | \$ | - |
| - Legion and RCMP Member / Spouse Only -120 cm x 275 cm |  | each | \$ | - |
| - Cremation Lot - $120 \mathrm{~cm} \times 120 \mathrm{~cm}$ | T | each | \$ | 352.48 |
| Full Interment / Disinterment - Regular Hours: | T | each |  |  |
| - Open / Close 6' | T | each | \$ | 791.67 |
| - Open / Close 9' | T | each | \$ | 981.67 |
| - Open/Close - Infant Section | T | each | \$ | 480.00 |

## City of Fort Saskatchewan

## Fees and Charges

## Schedule A

Bylaw C23-15
Amended April 12, 2016 - Bylaw C5-16

| Description <br> * Fees and Charges exclude GST. Where taxable (T), GST is charged at point of sale. |  | Unit of Measure | 2016 * |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Columbarium Niche - Regular Hours: |  |  |  |  |
| - Level 1 and 2 * | T | each | \$ | 1,416.48 |
| - Level 3 and 4 * | T | each | \$ | 1,682.43 |
| * Includes \$65.00 contribution to Perpetual Care Reserve |  |  |  |  |
| - Cremation Remains - Open / Close | T | each | \$ | 102.85 |
| Cremation Remains - Open / Close - Augered Excavation | T | each | \$ | 369.86 |
| Overtime Surcharge | T | each | \$ | 279.29 |
| Monument Foundation | T | each | \$ | 209.00 |
| Monument Permit: * | E | each | \$ | 47.00 |
| * Included monument compliance authorization, new site locating and marking, and follow up inspection. Fee is date and time specific and is non-refundable |  |  |  |  |
| Register a Transfer of Deed | E | each | \$ | 30.00 |
| Re-Purchase of Plot Administrative Fee | E | each | \$ | 30.00 |
| Campground Fees |  |  |  |  |
| Overflow Camping - no services | T | per lot | \$ | 9.81 |
| * Harbour Pool and Jubilee Recreation Centre parking lot |  |  |  |  |
| Transportation |  |  |  |  |
| Snow Dump Fees | T | each key issued for the snow dump | \$ | 476.19 |
| Public Transit Fees* |  |  |  |  |
| * Provides service to Edmonton - Clareview Station |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly Pass: * |  |  |  |  |
| * The monthly pass is part of an integrated pass to be used in conjunction with an Edmonton Transit Pass |  |  |  |  |
| - Adult | E | each | \$ | 96.00 |
| - Post Secondary Student | E | each | \$ | 90.00 |
| 10 Ticket Book | E | each | \$ | 33.50 |
| Cash - One Way | E | each | \$ | 3.50 |
| Within Fort Saskatchewan | E | each | \$ | 1.00 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| Photocopying Charges: |  |  |  |  |
| -8.5" $\times 11^{\prime \prime}$ Single Sided | T | per sheet | \$ | 0.14 |
| -8.5" $\times 11$ " Double Sided | T | per sheet | \$ | 0.24 |
| -8.5" $\times 14$ " Single Sided | T | per sheet | \$ | 0.19 |
| -8.5" $\times 14$ " Double Sided | T | per sheet | \$ | 0.33 |
| -11" $\times 17$ " Single Sided | T | per sheet | \$ | 0.24 |
| -11" $\times 17^{\prime \prime}$ Double Sided | T | per sheet | \$ | 0.38 |
| - 24" $\times 36$ " Single Sided | T | per sheet | \$ | 7.62 |
| Engineering Standards Manual | T | per book | \$ | 53.00 |

Department: Utilities

| Wood Chips: |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 1/2 Ton Load | T | each | \$ | 9.52 |
| - Tandem Load | T | each | \$ | 51.43 |
| Screened Organic Soil: | T | per cubic meter | \$ | 14.29 |
| Wood Chip and Soil Delivery: |  |  |  |  |
| - Delivery - Within Municipal Boundaries | T | each | \$ | 71.43 |
| - Delivery - Outside Municipal Boundaries | T | one way - up to 25 km | \$ | 142.86 |
|  | T | per km over 25 km | \$ | 2.05 |
| Utility Fees - Water and Sewer Service Fees |  |  |  |  |
| Connection to Main: |  |  |  |  |
| - Water / Sewer Connection Permit | E | each | \$ | 360.00 |
| - Permit Deposit | E | per permit | \$ | 2,500.00 |
| - Development Inspection <br> (Construction Completion/Final Acceptance) | E | per inspection | \$ | 500.00 |
| Account: |  |  |  |  |
| - Utility Account Application Fee | E | each | \$ | 25.00 |
| - Service Deposit: |  |  |  |  |
| - Metered | E | per account | \$ | 75.00 |
| - Construction Water Account | E | deposit per account | \$ | 200.00 |


[^0]:    *Day Care Facility may not occur within an apartment dwelling
    ** Multi-attached Dwellings shall be limited to the Periphery Zone, as per Figure 6.13a
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